

FRAUDULENT ELECTION IN A FAILED STATE AND OTHER ESSAYS

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi*.

Copyright ©2020 A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

REASON, CULTURE AND HISTORY

Volume 105

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi*.

Copyright ©2020 A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FRAUDULENT ELECTION IN A FAILED STATE
A RED CANCER SPREADS THROUGH SOCIETY
THE REDS' FINAL SOLUTION AGAINST TRUMP
THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OF 2020: ANATOMY OF A CRIME
REDS PROVOKE SECESSION
THE PRESS ORGANS OF RED REVOLUTION
COURT GREENLIGHTS ELECTORAL FRAUD
REDS DECLARE WAR ON AMERICA
REDS REPEAL FREE SPEECH
FRITZ LANG DIRECTS FOR THE REDS
THE BIG BROWN BOOK OF THE REDS
THE REDS' BIG THREE
MINISTER ERHARD
ENGLAND'S EARLY REDS
THE REDS OF SHERWOOD FOREST
REDS STEAL THE ELECTION
GENERAL LUDD AND HIS GREEN PARTY
TITLE DEED OF THE REDS
DOCTOR OF CULTURES
A FORGOTTEN MAN'S PHILOSOPHY

FRAUDULENT ELECTION IN A FAILED STATE

A failed state is defined by political scientists as a state whose political system has become so weak that the law is no longer in control. A failed state has disintegrated, is no longer sovereign and no longer functions properly. America in the twenty first century has followed in the footsteps of all great nations in decline throughout history and descended into the senescence of a failed state. Civilized people have seen the past and future of America telescoped in the present period, in the first instance as a tragic culmination of past developments, and in the second instance, as a terrifying prediction of a future soon to come, and are awaiting the imminent arrival of the final act and bracing themselves for a violent collapse. Civilized people have heard the dismal theme of America played over and over again during the past one hundred years, played in every corner of the state and society, and blasted into the mind and heart of every man, woman and child. This is the theme which has guided the dark, satanic symphony accompanying and heralding the implosion of all civilizations throughout history. This is the theme of lawlessness. Since the dawn of the twentieth century, it has risen in a crescendo across America and climaxed in the present day in a deafening din and racket of unconstitutional state actions, pervasive street violence and national electoral fraud. Civilized Americans have seen lawlessness in the rise of social regulations on business peddled as progressivism and in violation of the constitution's substantive due process clauses protecting freedom of contract and enterprise. They have seen lawlessness in the rise of welfare entitlements and social security peddled in the name of a New Deal and in violation of the constitution's substantive due process guarantees of liberty and property. They have seen lawlessness in the rise of antidiscrimination laws which violate the constitution's substantive due process guarantees of freedom of association and private property. They have seen lawlessness in the rise of feminist star chambers in which men accused of sexual assault are presumed guilty as charged, are convicted on the basis of an accusation or a series of accusations, and are sentenced to life imprisonment on the charge of improperly touching adult women. They have seen the rise of feminist ideological chambers masquerading as courts of law which violate the constitution's guarantees of a fair trial and its prohibition of convictions of false and frivolous charges. They have seen lawlessness in the rise of speech codes and the enforcement of politically correct thought and opinion, which violate the constitution's guarantees of freedom of speech and expression. They have seen lawlessness in the rise of affirmative action programs which give preferential treatment to women, nonwhites, homosexuals and transgenders in the name of diversity, equity and inclusion, which violate the constitution's prohibitions on discrimination and its guarantees of equal protection under the law. They have seen lawlessness in the rise of rampages of arson, looting, shooting, vandalism and street occupations conducted with impunity in cities from coast to coast by Antifa and Black Lives Matter, organizations promoting Marxist and national socialist hate ideologies. They have seen, amidst the screeching, jarring cacophony and hubbub of present day lawlessness, the greatest act of political corruption ever committed in the history of the nation. Committed in order to ensure that government by the reds, for the reds and of the reds shall be consolidated forever and that the reds' rule of the mob shall enjoy untrammelled sway over the American republic, this act was the theft of the 2020 presidential election by the Democratic Party. Civilized people have studied documents and dossiers describing electoral irregularities which have dwarfed all previous acts of fraud committed in the history of mankind, acts which have inscribed the distilled essence of lawlessness and corruption upon the tombstone of the American nation. They have seen in that Georgia that 140,000 ballots were cast by people who did not reside in the state, 44,000 legal ballots cast by Republican

voters were not counted, and Dominion voting machines and Smartmatic software manufactured votes tens of thousands of votes for Joseph Biden. They have seen in Arizona that election observers were prevented from verifying the ballots being counted. They have seen affidavits from certified poll watchers in Pennsylvania which state the following: USB drives, containing data from precinct scanners, were uploaded to computers with no outside oversight; Republican poll watchers were prevented from entering counting centers; envelopes were separated from ballots and placed at the back of counting rooms in an attempt to render impossible recounts to certify the validity of the votes; warehouse supervisors uploaded thousands of votes for Joe Biden; and fifty USB drives and tens of thousands of mail in ballots went missing. Civilized people have seen the pretense of an election staged by the reds in November 2020. They have heard witnesses attest that they were prevented from observing the vote counting. They have seen that more mail in ballots were returned than were sent out. They have seen that hundreds of thousands of ballots cast for Biden appeared suddenly out of nowhere, while hundreds of thousands of ballots cast for Trump suddenly vanished into nothingness. They have seen that hundreds of thousands of mail in ballots were processed without third party observation, oversight, opportunity to challenge decisions, or proper chain of custody protocols enforced. They have seen an election managed and conducted to produce a result favorable to the reds in their quest to sweep away two hundred years of constitutional fairness, honesty, integrity and law: "Yes, there was serious, widespread fraud in the 2020 Pennsylvania election. 'The time for dithering and politics is over,' declared Senator Mastriano, who quickly introduced the Trump campaign's legal counsel, former New York Mayor Rudy Giuliani. Giuliani began with a blunt summary: 'Your election was a sham. A disgrace.' Giuliani then introduced the list of over a dozen witnesses, with groups of three appearing on a series of panels. The witnesses had been stationed in counting centers in Philadelphia, Allegheny, Montgomery, and Delaware counties. (Allegheny is home to Pittsburgh, Montgomery and Delaware are suburban Philadelphia). Members of the committee followed with questions, the hearing lasted almost four hours. The common thread with all the witnesses were repeated details of allegedly being blocked from observing the vote counting, and also the revelation that allegedly more mail in ballots were returned than were sent out, that hundreds of thousands of ballots suddenly appeared in a spike while others suddenly disappeared. For example, in Delaware County, said a witness, all chain of custody data had mysteriously vanished. Another witness, this one from Philadelphia, said 'The Philadelphia board of elections processed hundreds of thousands of mail in ballots with zero civilian oversight or observation. The board of elections erected a fence about 50 feet into the hall that ran the length of the room, all observers were corralled behind the fence. More than a hundred board workers processed and opened mail in ballots on the other side of fence. Due to the distance of the workers from the erected fences, it was impossible for me or any observer to see what the workers were doing with any type of specificity. The observers were not able to challenge any decision or determination being made about the processing of these mail in ballots.' When he called in, the President said this to his supporters: 'If you were a Republican poll worker you were treated like a dog. Our poll watchers were pushed out of the building.' And in a reference to the threats that have been made against both his legal team and witnesses he said 'don't be intimidated by these people.' One Trump lawyer had to have protection after being threatened by, you can't make this up, a lawyer at another firm. The president made it absolutely crystal clear that he was about overturning a fraudulent election. He added that there were 'many, many cases of fraud. They cheated. It was a fraudulent election. This election was rigged and we can't let that happen. We can't let it happen for our country. And this election has to be turned around, because we won

Pennsylvania by a lot and we won all these swing states by a lot.' Senator Mastriano closed out the hearing by directly addressing the media, 'The truth is out there, media you should have found these witnesses—do your job.' " (*Are Over A Dozen Witnesses Wrong About Vote Fraud in Pennsylvania?* by Jeffrey Lord). Red agitators making public incitements in the streets and marketplaces in violation of the law. Mass demonstrators and street gangs allowed to loot, kill, vandalize, terrorize, take over cities and do whatever they pleased in the name of social justice and in violation of the law. Elections rigged, fixed and abolished in favor of dictatorial rule by the reds' caesars and emperors. Gargantuan governments guaranteeing welfare for all while rescinding the people's constitutional rights and liberties. Civilized observers have seen in this dismal portrait two societies, two failed states, two cesspools of moral corruption, and two jungles of bestial lawlessness, separated by a span of two thousand years. They have seen a portrait of the last generations of Roman civilization, and a portrait of the last generations of American civilization. They have seen what nobody else has chosen to see and amidst the uproar and clamor of red lawlessness, they have run for their lives.

A RED CANCER SPREADS THROUGH SOCIETY

Cancer is a disease caused by an uncontrolled division of abnormal cells in an organ of the body. If left unchecked, a cancerous tumor will spread to other parts of the body and overwhelm and destroy the organism. Over the past century, a social and political cancer has been spreading across West and in the twenty first century, it has blackened and consumed every Western liberal democracy created by Anglo-Saxon culture in conjunction with the Enlightenment. This is the cancer of socialism and it is a product of mass psychology just as surely as blazing combustion is a product of intense heat. The mass mind is a barbarian mind which values tribalism and equality above freedom and dignity and has declared eternal war against political systems which treat people as individuals and guarantee the rights of the individual against spurious claims made by the state and community. In place of liberty, common ownership over the mind, the body, thoughts, feelings, decisions, actions, production, distribution and exchange: this is the attitude and ethos of the uncivilized, the uncultured, the savage and the brutish, and during the declining stages of a civilization, it has come to the fore again, having been suppressed for centuries, and invaded the minds and hearts of every member of society without respect to gender, race, age, class or station. When a civilization is on its way down and on its way out, every member has a predilection for socialism, every member young and old, white and nonwhite, male and female, rich and poor, employed and unemployed, educated and uneducated, skilled and unskilled, professional and vocational, every member from the Bill Gateses and the George Sorosés to the paupers and street sweepers who inhabit the gloomy inner cities, and this preference and special liking for a system which outlaws the right of the individual to live, work and flourish for himself is the tragic affinity which has killed and buried the West in the twenty first century. Civilized people have performed an autopsy on the West and observed the inroads of a metastatic growth which has left nothing and no one anywhere unharmed. They have observed red rioting, red looting and red statue smashing committed in cities from coast to coast with the approval of mayors and police. They have observed mayors and city councils defund and dismantle police operations. They have observed red occupiers set up autonomous zones in cities from which the law has been evicted and in which warlords terrorize the streets. They have observed the enactment of a Green New Deal which has rolled back the progress of science and technology in the name of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. They have observed the dissolution of national borders and the establishment of an open immigration policy for the Marxist and Muslim contaminated sewers of the world.

They have observed the dissolution of liberal arts education into a red curriculum of gender, race, ethnicity, class and environmental studies. They have observed the red political weaponization of professional sports. They have observed the final takeover of the Democratic Party, after a one hundred year development, by a communist faction led by Bernie Sanders, Kamala Harris, Elizabeth Warren, Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez, Ilhan Omar, Ayanna Pressley, Rashida Tlaib, Black Lives Matter and Antifa. They have observed the world of Big Tech, Silicon Valley and Wall Street placed under the control of red millionaires, red billionaires and red trillionaires. They have observed a national media establishment placed in the service of red activism. They have observed corporate boardrooms partnering with the forces of global socialism. They have observed chief executive officers subsidizing radical agendas in support of identity politics, red culture wars, and anticapitalist violence. They have observed white males cheated out of job positions and student placements at the hands of diversity, equity and inclusion jackboots. They have observed the transformation of the internet and social media from information delivery platforms into active instruments of red ideological indoctrination. They have observed the electronic accessing of knowledge, retrieval of information and communication across the world placed under the control and supervision of red corporate digital experts. They have observed the condemnation of the liberal democratic order as a regime of systemic racism which must be replaced by a black totalitarian dictatorship. They have observed the take over of society by radical formations of women, nonwhites, homosexuals and transgenders, proclaimed by the reds to comprise a "rainbow coalition of victims of capitalism". They have observed the supporters of liberal democracy condemned as "deplorables", "dregs", "scum" and "irredeemables". They have observed a president of the United States, Barack Obama, weaponize the Internal Revenue Service to target conservative foundations which opposed his red political agenda and observed him place press reporters who opposed his agenda under round the clock surveillance by the Department of Justice. They have observed the greatest political scandal in the history of Western democracies: the theft of the 2020 presidential election and the fraudulent victory of Joe Biden. They have studied over 1000 affidavits attest to the following: voting machines were programmed to allocate votes for Biden; tens of thousands fraudulent mail in ballots were cast for Biden in Milwaukee, Detroit, Philadelphia and Atlanta; vote counting was stopped in the middle of the night in Pennsylvania, Georgia, Wisconsin and Michigan and poll watchers were ordered to leave in order for the reds to prepare fraudulent mail in ballots cast for Biden and enter them into the vote count; votes for Biden were cast by deceased voters, unregistered voters, out of state voters, back dated ballots, mail in ballots never placed in envelopes, and ballots which exceeded the number of registered voters. They have observed the United States Supreme Court, cowed by red threats of nationwide riots and red threats to pack the court, dismiss on spurious procedural grounds a lawsuit launched by Texas which challenged the election results in Pennsylvania, Georgia, Wisconsin and Michigan. The suit recognized that the four states had unconstitutionally made changes to their voting rules through the courts and not the state legislatures, in violation of the Electors Clause of Article II of the Constitution, and had unconstitutionally allowed counties to change voting rules, resulting in different voting rules in different counties, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Nineteenth Amendment. The court stated that "The State of Texas's motion for leave to file a bill of complaint is denied for lack of standing under Article III of the Constitution. Texas has not demonstrated a judicially cognizable interest in the manner in which another State conducts its elections." Constitutional scholars and ordinary Americans who read the court's decision saw the ferocious advance of a social and political cancer in the court's reasoning that the people of Texas had no standing to sue. They

understood that the people of Texas have a constitutionally guaranteed right to vote in a national election, which means the right to vote in a fair and honest national election or it means nothing whatever, and were thus harmed by the unconstitutional actions of officials in the four states and were deprived of their right to vote, and had solid standing to sue. Look at the West today and see a red campaign to brand as racists statesmen who fought to expand the reach of freedom and civilization into savage regions. See a campaign to defend and exalt indigenous tribes of primitive subhumanity which worshiped at the altars of superstition and sacrifice. See renaming committees and commissars of political correctness hard at work erasing and expunging the words and deeds of progress and enlightenment and establishing the slogans and slavery of red totalitarian rule in their place: "A so-called renaming committee in San Francisco is erasing the name the 16th president of the United States from a school because Abraham Lincoln lived a life 'stained by racism.' 'Uprooting the problematic names and symbols that currently clutter buildings, streets, throughout the city is a worthy endeavor,' Jeremiah Jeffries, chairman of the committee and a first grade teacher in that California city, said. 'Only good can come from the public being reflective and intentional about the power of our words, names and rhetoric within our public institutions.' The president who ended slavery is now being called a racist, according to a report in the San Francisco Chronicle, which asks if Lincoln's true character has been 'whitewashed' to hide misdeeds toward Native Americans." (*San Francisco Wants To Rename Abraham Lincoln High School: Former POTUS 'Stained by Racism'* by Penny Starr). Civilized people have applied the probing knife of reason and sanity to the decaying body of the West. They have cut into the cancer, the abscess, the foulness and the putrescence. They have discovered malignant red cells multiplying by the minute and consuming mankind.

THE REDS' FINAL SOLUTION AGAINST TRUMP

The Final Solution was a national socialist plan for the extermination of the Jews during World War II. The complete code name was *The Final Solution to the Jewish Question* and its mission was the murder of all Jews within the reach of the German government. Today's reds in control of America have launched a campaign to eliminate the current preeminent hate object of socialists throughout the world, Donald Trump, together with his friends, allies, supporters and sympathizers. These socialist exterminators, like their national socialist predecessors, see in Trump, as their predecessors saw in the Jews, the symbol of a world which they hate and detest down to the core of their being, the world of reason, enlightenment, freedom and capitalism, and they are determined to eradicate every last trace of Trumpism from the face of the earth. Today's reds hate Trump for everything he has accomplished in the way of resisting a fire breathing red leviathan enforcing gender, race and class politics, and containing its fearsome advance. They hate Trump because he has reduced taxes across the board and created a record breaking, noninflationary economic expansion. They hate Trump because he has opposed the redistribution of wealth and the socialization of health care. They hate Trump because he has made the vast majority of Americans economically better off than they were during the Obama years. They hate Trump because he has reduced regulations on businesses, eliminating eight regulations for every new regulation imposed, reducing regulatory costs by 50 billion dollars, increasing annual incomes by 40 billion dollars, and saving households 3,000 dollars per year. They hate Trump because he has established energy self sufficiency and ended oil imports through the encouragement of fracking. They hate Trump because he has ended illegal immigration. They hate Trump because he has held back the advance of radical environmentalism and its

campaign to rollback the industrial revolution through a program of zero carbon emissions enshrined in the Paris Climate Accords. They hate Trump because he has opposed on grounds of principle, on grounds that in a free society the end does not justify the means, the regime of lockdown, shutdown and invasion of private homes established in the name of fighting the covid 19 pandemic. They hate Trump because he has opposed red political violence perpetrated by Antifa and Black Lives Matter in cities from coast to coast, which has seen murder, arson, vandalism, looting, riots and over two billion dollars in property damage. They hate Trump because he has sought to deploy the National Guard and the armed forces to quell the red urban disorder. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' campaign to dismantle and defund the police. They hate Trump because he has opposed red pseudoscholarship which places race and gender at the center of society. They hate Trump because he has opposed the red authoritarian cult of social justice. They hate Trump because he has opposed those who reject the virtues of limited government and the existence of universal human rights. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds who deny the right of free speech and the right to express politically incorrect views. They hate Trump because he has opposed social justice warriors who reject reason and empiricism and worship at the altars of critical race theory and identity politics. They hate Trump because he has opposed the doctrine of cultural relativism and stood up for the superiority of Western liberal, rationalist culture. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' doctrine that truth and morality are culturally constructed and that there exists no world of physical objects and no objective human good. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' doctrine that truth is socially manufactured by means of language games and is relative to particular cultures and serves the will to power of gender, race and class groups. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' doctrine that capitalism is a system of patriarchy, white supremacy, homophobia and transgender phobia. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' doctrine that only whites can be racist and only men can be sexist. They hate Trump because he has opposed all forms of discrimination on the basis of race and gender and the reds' regime of proportional race and gender representation and special treatment given to women and nonwhites in the name of diversity, equity and inclusion. They hate Trump because he has opposed the doctrine that gender can be changed at will and has nothing whatever to do with the existence of genes or chromosomes. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' doctrine that the purpose of education is not to transmit knowledge but to promote revolutionary social change. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' doctrine that philosophies which recognize the natural law rights of the individual against the state and society are complicit with systemic bigotry and must be outlawed. They hate Trump because he has opposed the reds' doctrine that a progressive university bases its courses, curriculum and departments upon the six pillars of postcolonial theory, queer theory, race studies, ethnic studies, gender studies and feminist studies which one and all deny the existence of universal, absolute, empirically established truths. Look at the world of America's red exterminationists and see their Schutzstaffel, Security Police, Gestapo, Kriminalpolizei, Sicherheitdienst, Order Police battalions, Waffen SS and Wehrmacht forces at work on a campaign of political mass murder code named the Trump Accountability Project. See left wing Democrats and Never Trump Republicans searching determinedly for Trump supporters and shooting them down in cold blood. See democratic socialists, democratic fascists and democratic communists firebombing courthouses in which Trump appointees preside. See red columnists and red commentators leading an operation to persecute, segregate, disenfranchise and discriminate against Trump supporters, deprive them of their livelihoods and careers, disqualify them from existing as persons with freedom and dignity, remove them from the ranks of society, and drum them out of the

human race. See red commissioners of political correctness pursue today's political dissidents, composed exclusively of Trump supporters and those who affirm the fraudulent character of the 2020 presidential election, place them in police custody, subject them to round the clock torture, electrocute them for the crime of promoting truth, morality and the rule of law, brand them with the Yellow Badge of enemies of the people, hang them a thousand and one times, and submerge their human rights within the black waters of legal nonexistence: "Left wing Democrats and Never Trump Republicans appealed Friday for assistance in compiling lists of Trump supporters to they could be held accountable for supporting President Donald Trump after the election. Democratic socialist Representative Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (D-NY) tweeted: 'Is anyone archiving these Trump sycophants for when they try to downplay or deny their complicity in the future? I foresee decent probability of many deleted Tweets, writings, photos in the future.' Michael Simon, who describes himself as a former staff member in Barack Obama's administration, replied in the affirmative, linking to what he called the Trump Accountability Project. The database at the site includes a list of federal judges appointed by the president. Jennifer Rubin, a Washington Post columnist and Never Trumper, tweeted that anyone challenging the 2020 election results should not only be disqualified from holding public office, but ostracized from society. 'Any Republican now promoting rejection of an election or calling to not to follow the will of voters or making baseless allegations of fraud should never serve in office, join a corporate board, find a faculty position or be accepted into polite society. We have a list.' Prior to the election, some left wing commentators called for a South Africa style Truth and Reconciliation Commission for Trump supporters. The original Truth and Reconciliation Commission dealt with gross abuses of human rights, primarily by the apartheid regime, which imposed racial segregation and tortured and murdered political dissidents in custody." (*Leftists, Never Trumpers Begin Compiling Lists of Trump Supporters* by Joel Pollak). In the implementation of its campaign of cultural genocide directed against everything and everyone connected in any way, shape or form with Donald Trump, the red system which rules America has sent out its *einsatzgruppen* into every corner of the nation. Commanded by red civil servants, red state officials, red state legislatures, red election workers, the red political media, the red academic establishment, red think tanks, red corporations, the red private sector, the red Democratic Party, the red faction in the Republican Party, and red judges presiding in state and federal courts, these cultural death squads have assassinated the voices and values in support of liberal democracy and dumped them in mass graves marked *Western Civilization*. Burning down cities, pulling down statues, renaming buildings, rewriting textbooks, occupying streets, taking over campuses, indoctrinating students, silencing the voices of dissent and stealing the presidential election of 2020 by mail in ballot fraud attested by thousands of sworn affidavits submitted throughout the nation, a holocaust express train operated and stoked by the reds has arrived on the shores of the New World. It has deported Donald Trump and his movement to cultural gas chambers and annihilated the spirit and soul of America.

THE PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION OF 2020: ANATOMY OF A CRIME

A political scandal is a public event which people have judged to be morally and legally wrong and outrageous. In the United States presidential election of 2020, the greatest political scandal in modern times occurred when the greatest democracy in the history of the world was unable to conduct a fair and honest election and cheated Donald Trump out of victory. This was a scandal engineered by red political operatives representing the red majority which had assumed control over the nation and had been ruling the American state

and society for the past century. Civilized people have seen evidence of voter fraud, ballot mishandling, process violations, equal protection clause violations and voting machine irregularities which have defied their imagination, descended below the level of their worst nightmares, and compelled them to the conclusion that the 2020 election voted law and integrity out of power and hurled them into the dustbin of failed states. Civilized people have seen a weight of evidence which has established beyond a reasonable doubt that the reds destroyed a level electoral playing field, manipulated the electoral process, stuffed ballot boxes from coast to coast, and brought about the fraudulent victory of the Biden-Harris ticket. They have seen dozens of photographs, voluminous reels of surveillance video footage and hundreds of sworn affidavits attest to the following. The Biden campaign offered bribes to Native Americans in form of jewelry and Visa card gifts in exchange for their votes. A truck driver in New York picked up voluminous crates containing 100,000 fake ballots cast for Joe Biden and delivered them to a polling station in Pennsylvania. A poll worker in Pennsylvania used an unsecured USB flash drive to transfer tens of thousands of fake Biden votes into vote tabulation machines, making the resulting tabulations far greater than the mail in ballots scanned into the machines. At the State Farm Arena polling station in Atlanta, Georgia, poll watchers and media observers were ordered to leave in the middle of the night on the pretext that a water leak had to be repaired. After the room was cleared, election workers pulled out from underneath a draped table large boxes filled with tens of thousands of fake ballots cast for Biden and proceeded to tabulate and process them. In Arizona, over 75,000 absentee ballots cast for Trump were falsely reported as unreturned and were destroyed and replaced by fake ballots completed by elections workers for Biden. In Georgia, the family of an elderly resident in a nursing home discovered that a mail in ballot cast for Biden had been falsely submitted under his identity. In Pennsylvania, the parents of a person with Downs Syndrome discovered that a mail in ballot cast for Biden had been falsely submitted under her identity. In Wisconsin, thousands of Biden voters, with the encouragement and complicity of election clerks, falsely registered as "indefinitely confined" in order to evade identification checks. In Georgia, over 90,000 votes for Biden were cast by ineligible voters. In Pennsylvania, 100 votes for Biden were cast by ineligible out of state voters. In Nevada, 15,000 mail in votes for Biden were cast by ineligible out of state voters. In Pennsylvania, 8,000 mail in ballots for Biden were cast under the names of dead voters. In Georgia, over 12,000 mail in ballots for Biden were cast under the names of dead voters. In Michigan, thousands of ballots were cast for Biden under the names of dead voters. In Nevada, a widower discovered that a ballot for Biden had been cast under the name of his dead wife. In Wisconsin, poll workers ran ballots cast for Biden through tabulation machines multiple times. In Michigan, ballots cast for Biden were run through vote tabulation machines four times. In Pennsylvania, a resident voted for Biden twice on the same day by changing her appearance, residents voted twice for Biden by queuing up on different lines, and a resident voted twice in rapid succession on different machines. In Michigan, the head of a polling station allowed two Biden supporters to vote without presenting proper, state mandated, voter identification. In Nevada, unregistered Biden supporters were allowed to vote by presenting an appointment with the Department of Motor Vehicles to obtain a driver's license as proof of identity. In Georgia, the secretary of state lowered signature matching tests for mail in ballots to one verification instead of two, which resulted in 1.2 million votes cast for Biden. In Nevada, election officials used a machine to conduct signature matching checks, contrary to state law, which resulted in thousands of votes for Biden. In Wisconsin, election officials eliminated voter information certifications for mail in ballots, contrary to state law, which resulted in thousands of votes for Biden. In Pennsylvania, the secretary of state ordered that mail in ballots which lacked an outer

envelope with the voter's signature on it be counted, contrary to state law, which resulted in thousands of votes for Biden. Look at the 2020 presidential election and see an operation of election abuse without precedent in the history of modern democracies. See red operatives in Pennsylvania, Georgia, Wisconsin and Michigan violate state laws and abolish ballot security measures. See ballots with no mailed date, ballots returned on or before the mailed date, ballots impossibly returned one day later, ballots without matching signatures, ballots with missing information, ballots with inaccurate information, ballots without registration numbers which were manufactured by tabulation machines, more ballots cast than voters who registered, and ballots cast for Trump declared to be lost or missing. See a pervasive campaign of ballot fraud which produced hundreds of thousands of votes for Biden, deprived Trump of hundreds of thousands of votes, and made the 2020 presidential election a predetermined exercise in red coronation: "Attorneys general of eighteen states that joined a Texas lead in a lawsuit presenting compelling evidence of widespread election abuse in four battleground states that Biden claims to have won failed to gain standing in having their legal pleadings heard by the US Supreme Court. The Texas authored arguments asserted that the presidential elections as held (and as directed by government officials outside the legislature) in Pennsylvania, Georgia, Wisconsin and Michigan all flagrantly violated their own election laws by materially weakening or doing away with security measures. The lawsuit lists the violations in each of the defendant states and provides evidence of fraud (the number of ballots handled unconstitutionally) in each of the states sufficient to change the outcome of the ballot counts. 1. Pennsylvania: 9,005 ballots with no mailed date or evidence they were sent to a voter; 58,221 ballots returned on or before the mailed date, and 51,200 returned only one day after (highly unlikely). 2. Georgia: The mail in ballot rejection rate for missing or inaccurate information or for nonmatching signatures decreased from 6.42% in 2016 to 0.36% in 2020. Rejecting 2020 ballots at the same rate as 2016 would have resulted in a net gain of 25,587 votes for Trump—twice the number needed to overcome Biden's count. A six-fold increase in the number of mail in ballots would indicate that the rejection rate should increase, or at least stay the same, with so many first time mail in ballots. 3. Michigan: 174,384 mail in ballots in Wayne County had no valid registration number, indicating they likely resulted from election workers running the same ballots through the tabulator multiple times, as documented in numerous legal declarations. 71% of Wayne County absent voter counting boards were unbalanced, where the number of people who checked in did not match the number of ballots cast. 4. Wisconsin: One hundred thousand ballots were supposedly missing and directed to be found after Election Day. Cited in the legal brief, Managing Director at Berkeley Research Group Charles J. Cicchetti calculated the odds of Joe Biden winning the popular votes in those four states given President Trump's early leads in each at 3 AM on November 4th at less than one in a quadrillion, or 1 in 1,000,000,000,000,000. Cicchetti then calculated the odds of that event occurring collectively in all four states would decrease to less than one in a quadrillion to the fourth power (ie, 1 in 1,000,000,000,000,000,000⁴)." (*Election Legitimacy Faces Biggest Trial In Court of Public Opinion* by Larry Bell). Hoisting the white flag of surrender before the political pressure of red mobs who are the masters of America in the twenty first century, governors, state legislatures, state courts and the United States Supreme Court have abdicated their constitutional responsibility to protect the right of the people to vote and to vote in fair and honest elections, as established by the Fifteenth, Nineteenth and Twenty Sixth Amendments. Civilized, law abiding Americans were shocked and dismayed when the Supreme Court ruled that Texas lacked legal standing to sue Pennsylvania, Georgia, Wisconsin and Michigan for violations of Article II and the Nineteenth Amendment of the Constitution. They understood that when a group of states changes its election laws by

executive fiat or court rulings, in violation of Electors Clause of Article II, or authorizes the counting of votes differently in different counties within the same state, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Nineteenth Amendment, every state has standing to sue because every resident of every state, every citizen in every town and city in the nation, has a constitutional right to vote in a fair, honest and constitutionally sanctioned national election. Anatomists dissect animals to discover their bodily structure and internal parts. Civilized people have dissected the presidential election of 2020 and discovered the putrefying flesh and cancerous organs of the red collapse of America.

REDS PROVOKE SECESSION

The most famous monument in Washington DC is that of Abraham Lincoln. Sitting silently and majestically in judgment over the nation, Lincoln delivered a speech in Springfield, Illinois in 1858 which serves as an eerily prescient statement and a fearsome and terrifying warning to America in the twenty first century. Lincoln stated that "A house divided against itself cannot stand. I believe this government cannot endure permanently, half slave and half free." Since the dawn of the twentieth century, a clock of secession has been ticking in America, set into motion by the red majority's takeover of the nation under the guise of progressive politics. In the present century, this clock has struck zero hour and an operation among a dwindling community of civilized Americans to commence a moral and spiritual separation from the nation has commenced. This moral separation will eventuate in a political separation, in obedience to the logic and dynamics of moral separation, and the divided house which is America will finally come tumbling down into the dustbin of failed states and nonfunctioning societies. Civilized Americans have beheld a monster in the room, a monster breathing out a malarial fever of gender, race and class politics, and have stamped their exit passports to leave the country in their spirit and soul and never to return. This monster has roared out a program and manifesto for a red state, a red society, a red environment and a red economy which has forced civilized Americans to run for their spiritual lives as far away as they can from this fully grown, fire breathing menace. Developing into its present evil, inhuman form over a period of a hundred years, it has proclaimed that human industrial activity is a major contributor to catastrophic climate change and this activity must accordingly be stamped out. It has proclaimed that a progressive society marches in service to communal objectives and has repealed individual liberty in order to fight the covid 19 pandemic. It has proclaimed that a progressive society bans all travel to jurisdictions which do not recognize the truth of transgenderism, the position that gender can be chosen at will and has nothing whatever to do with genes or chromosomes, and do not recognize the rights of its psychotic adherents and practitioners. It has proclaimed that progressive corporations do more than simply manufacture commodities, but manufacture as well, as the crowning glory to their products, red political statements. It has proclaimed that a progressive society is a hyperpartisan society which has recruited workers, coworkers, neighbors, mothers, fathers sons, daughters, husbands and wives in a mighty crusade to tax, fine, regulate, supervise and collectivize every aspect of human existence and place the individual in the service of a gender, race and class leviathan. It has proclaimed that a progressive society prohibits all dissemination of the empirically grounded fact that forest fires are caused by incompetent forest management and mandates that the red myth that forest fires are caused by man made global warming be taught in every home, school, office and factory. It has proclaimed that a progressive society is a rainbow coalition colored in the shades of national socialism whose storm troopers have smashed shop windows and bloodied innocent passersby with the bludgeons of tribal ideologies. It

has proclaimed that black lives matter and only black lives matter and white people exist to serve the needs and interests of blacks and are completely expendable. It has proclaimed that America was founded in 1619, the year African slaves were brought to the English colony of Virginia, and has been a slave owning, racist state ever since. It has proclaimed that a progressive society hates America, loves communism, and recognizes that the number one enemy of the working class is the global system of free movement of goods, services, labor and capital. It has proclaimed that a progressive society is a black racist society whose calling cards are chaos, incivility, smoldering cities and the bloody hand of anarchy. It has proclaimed that capitalism is a lash of systemic racism and white privilege and must be replaced by a regime of black totalitarian tyranny. It has proclaimed that a progressive media establishment has filled its airwaves and internet transmissions with screaming red monologues demanding that every white person plead guilty to the crime of existing and submit himself as a rightless subaltern in the service of his black masters. It has proclaimed that a progressive society has dispensed with the liberal notion of equal protection under the law and established a regime of preferential treatment on the basis of race, gender and sexual orientation. It has proclaimed that a progressive society has rejected the liberal notion of race blind, gender blind, meritocratic selection and established a regime of race and gender proportional representation in the name of diversity, equity and inclusion. It has proclaimed that a progressive society is a socialist society which confiscates private wealth at a rate of one hundred percent, authorizes round the clock, stratospheric social spending, and worships at the altar of a civic religion of self sacrifice and self immolation. Look at America in the twenty first century and see a rigged presidential election which disenfranchised millions of voters for Donald Trump. See red controlled voting processes cheat Donald Trump of the votes he received and give Joe Biden votes which were illegally cast or else outright manufactured. See the counting and collection of ballots performed by the reds fabricate a massive victory for Joe Biden. See a red majority and its establishmentarian operatives allow battleground states, such as Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Michigan, Georgia, Arizona and Nevada, to commit electoral fraud, violate the Fourteenth Amendment and Article II of the Constitution, and give the presidency to Joe Biden. See a red Election Day, a red certification day, a red Electoral College vote, red lower court and Supreme Court abdication and a red Inauguration Day commit an act of political corruption without precedent in the annals of Western democracies and install Joe Biden as 46th president of the United States. See an America divided into two nations and two cultures separated by a gulf as wide as the universe. See one of these nations, the nation established at America's founding, the nation dedicated to constitutional liberty and the rule of law, separate in spirit from the other nation, and assume its station to preserve the lights of civilization: "When the Electoral College meets Monday, it will almost surely certify former Vice President Joe Biden as the 46th president of the United States. And he will take the oath of office Jan. 20. There is, nationally, a growing if grudging realization of that reality. Yet millions of Americans will refuse to accept the legitimacy of that election and its outcome and will continue to believe, with President Donald Trump, that it was rigged. 'Was the 2020 election stolen by the establishment to get rid of a president, Donald Trump, whom it loathed?' will be debated decades hence. That perception that something was afoot first arose in the minds of millions in the hours after the election on November 3, when 83% of Republicans polled by Gallup said they did not believe reports of Trump's defeat. Things perceived as real are real in their consequences. And there was justification for that perception. Who was not stunned to learn that as Trump seemed to be pulling ahead in the voting count on November 3, suddenly, around midnight, the vote counting appeared to stop in the crucial swing states, and we were told it would begin again early the next morning?

Why the halt? Again, things perceived as real are real in their consequences. The *Washington Post* reports this week that, midway between Election Day and Inauguration Day, only 27 congressional Republicans would acknowledge Biden's win. Some 220 GOP members of the House and Senate—88% of Republicans serving in Congress—would not say who won the election. And Trump continues to fight on, millions behind him, to persuade public officials in such battleground states as Pennsylvania, Wisconsin, Michigan, Georgia, Arizona and Nevada to withdraw their certifications. He is said to be working the phones to get Republicans in Congress to reject the designation of Biden as president. He has joined a suit by 17 states' attorneys general to have the Supreme Court accept Texas' argument that late alterations in the voting processes in four swing states be declared unconstitutional and illegal. Among the more credible charges is that, due to the pandemic, late changes were made in the voting processes of states, especially with mailed out and mailed in ballots. The contention is that votes cast as a consequence of changes done contrary to state laws or constitutions should be thrown out. Most of those votes came from the urban precincts where Biden's margins were massive. While some 50 lawsuits filed in Trump's cause may have been rejected in courts, the hourly allegations of fraud in the collection and counting of ballots have given credence to a generalized belief that Trump was cheated of votes he received and Biden received votes that were illicitly cast. Where will this leave us Jan. 20? We are two nations, two peoples seemingly separated indefinitely. Can a nation so divided as ours, racially, ideologically, religiously, still do great things together, as did the America of days gone by, to the amazement of the world?" (*Our Second Civil War--Also A 'Forever War'?* by Patrick Buchanan). No society can function in the absence of a moral consensus and shared foundational assumptions. The founding creed of America was the Enlightenment creed of free minds, free markets and man as a free born agent endowed with human rights and human dignity. In the twentieth century, a regime of red mobocracy replaced the American constitutional republic and set into motion the wheels of a secessionist movement led by the friends of liberty. In the twenty first century, a countdown has commenced. After one hundred years of red majoritarian violations of property rights, free speech rights, associational freedom, economic privacy, and liberty of contract and enterprise, the bomb of secession was finally detonated by the reds in the 2020 presidential election. Witnessed by hundreds of election workers throughout the nation who deposed what they saw in sworn affidavits, a fraudulent, dishonest, unfair, inaccurate, systematically flawed election system produced votes unworthy of ratification, stole the election from Donald Trump, and installed into power an illegitimate president from the Democratic Party. The last seconds have speeded by, the final moments have concluded, the rocket of secession has taken off, and the civilized Americans who have lost control over their government and society have formed a new union in their hearts dedicated to the cause of human freedom.

THE PRESS ORGANS OF RED REVOLUTION

On December 1, 1936, twenty five communist lawyers met at the City Club of New York to discuss the creation of a new legal organization to counter the American Bar Association. A year later, at the Hotel Washington in Washington DC, the National Lawyers Guild was founded and became the foremost legal bulwark and mouthpiece of the Communist Party. In America in the twenty first century, the national media establishment has become the faithful media organ of a campaign to sweep away the values, ideals, traditions and institutions of the liberal democratic order and establish in its place the red totalitarian tyranny of gender, race and class politics. Over the past two decades, students of journalism and ordinary

citizens alike have observed the transformation of the media into a columnar space of biased reportage and an editorial cesspool of red propaganda and lamented the fact that there is no longer in the mainstream media any place to read fair and honest reportage and upright, antired viewpoints and commentary. In articles, reports, analyses, features and opinion pieces, the misinformation peddlers and white hot agitators in charge of the national media establishment have composed a program and manifesto for the overthrow of the Enlightenment legacies of reason, truth, objectivity and liberty which for decades had defined the character of America and made it flourish like no other nation in the history of mankind. The new red establishmentarians have proclaimed that progressive journalism is unconstrained by demands for objectivity and is motivated exclusively by the search for social justice. They have proclaimed that progressive journalists have liberated themselves from the bourgeois ideals of truth and knowledge and serve as activists and moralists for the cause of socialism. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism is yellow journalism which traffics in exaggeration and sensationalism in the service of political extremism. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism manufactures news to fit its red agenda and treats its readers as subjects to be indoctrinated and stirred up rather than educated and informed. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism rejects the goal of traditional journalism to report to people about recent, noteworthy events and seeks instead to disseminate red moral messages with the crystal clarity of street corner slogans. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism recognizes that so-called objectivity is a view from nowhere and is thus impossible and that all so-called factual news presentations are in reality expressions of gender, race and class interests. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism recognizes that there are no objectively correct, empirically determined descriptions of reality but only subjective, apriori gender, race and class based screens and filters. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism is the voice of social justice warriors and proselytizers for red movements and is unencumbered by the traditional ideals of objective, unbiased reporting. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism never presents all sides of an issue, and never lets the reader reach his own conclusions, but functions as a hermetically sealed, ideological echo chamber which screams out one viewpoint, and one viewpoint alone, into the reader's face: the viewpoint of the reds. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism rejects the limitations of neutrality and feels free to misrepresent facts and concoct things out of whole cloth in the service of the people's political imperatives. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism rejects the Enlightenment notion of factual truth and is an overt promoter of red causes, red systems of government and red political activity. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism recognizes that such things as journalistic integrity and honest reportage are Enlightenment phantoms because all so-called truths and facts have been hammered and forged on the anvil of political advertising, political promotion, political blogging and political bias on behalf of genders, races and classes. They have proclaimed that progressive journalism rejects the Enlightenment inspired notion that reportage should be a scientific process of discovering objective truths rooted in facts, evidence and proof and recognizes that reporters should not report on anything which is real, or on anything which has happened, but should fight to achieve for social and political change by the propagation of myths, legends and narratives whose only value resides in their pragmatic and expedient functions. Look at the world of today's journalism and see the heavy hand of the red censor. See the silencing of all forms of speech which exposes the reds' commission of fraud in the presidential election of 2020. See the blatant propaganda which fills all channels and crowds all videos with the red party narrative that the 2020 presidential election was conducted freely and fairly. See the use of digital platforms to purge the voices of those who witnessed pervasive corruption and

nationwide vote rigging in the 2020 presidential election. See the suppression of all discussion and debate about whether the 2020 presidential election was honest and above reproach and the inculcation of the official red line, in the face of overwhelming eyewitness evidence to the contrary, that it was not stolen. See the red publishers, the red hardware, the red companies and the red editorialists acting round the clock to prevent the dissemination of unfavorable news about Democratic Party officials, candidates and their families and to prohibit the free and open exchange of ideas and opinions. See the transformation of news and information agencies into publicity and promotional towers pulsating a plain and open message of red politicization of journalism: "Harvard Law School professor emeritus Alan Dershowitz is daring YouTube to censor him for interviewing Breitbart News Senior Editor at Large Joel Pollak Thursday about his new ebook, *Neither Free Nor Fair: The 2020 U.S. Presidential Election*. In his book, Pollak argues that the 2020 election fell short of international standards for a 'free and fair' election, and he discusses the possibility that fraud could have taken place. As *Breitbart News* reported Wednesday, YouTube has announced that it will remove all videos questioning Joe Biden's victory in the 2020 presidential election. Dershowitz, a lifelong civil libertarian and free speech advocate, was outraged. Dershowitz interviewed Pollak on the *Dershow*, his semieponymous podcast. He noted news reports of YouTube's termination of over 8,000 channels alleging widespread voter fraud in the 2020 presidential election. He described the purge of such channels as 'disenfranchising' of Americans by 'denying them the right to express a view on an extraordinarily influential platform like Google YouTube.' Dershowitz asked, 'Can you imagine anything more inconsistent with the spirit and culture of the First Amendment than to stop a debate about a presidential election?' Pollak noted, 'A huge portion of the electorate—let's say close to 100 million people, in the ballpark—believe that the election was stolen. Now, that doesn't mean it was actually stolen, but a lot of people believe that it was or may have been.' Dershowitz remarked: 'So YouTube, here's my message to you. You've just heard an extremely distinguished journalist, a man who has enormous credibility, a man who has enormous influence over tens of millions of Americans who reasonably believe him. You've just heard him fit the criteria that you have set out for taking down channels. He has alleged—not in direct dramatic terms, but he certainly as alleged—that there is a substantial possibility that this election may have been influenced, determined, the outcome changed by systemic errors, by the ability to vote by mail. Is it true or isn't it true? That's for Americans to debate. So YouTube. I challenge you, take down this show. I challenge you, take down this channel. I challenge you, do not allow Joel Pollak to express his views on my show, and if you do, there will be a response. There will be response, because you will have lost your exemptions under Section 230. You are no longer, at that point, a platform. You are making editorial judgments. Why are you being treated more favorably than the New York Times or the Washington Post or Breitbart? Why do you get an exemption if you're making these kinds of decisions?' Pollak said Google and YouTube 'have long since proven themselves to be publishers and not platforms, and the same is true of Twitter and Facebook.' Pollak added, 'The news today and yesterday that Hunter Biden is under investigation for financial crimes—we don't know the full extent of the investigation—but that news confirms the legitimacy of the New York Post story about Hunter Biden's laptop and his emails, but that was suppressed by the major tech platforms. Increasingly, YouTube, Google, Facebook, Twitter, these other companies [are] interfering in political debates and yet claiming exemption under Section 230.' " (*Alan Dershowitz Dares YouTube To Censor Him For Interview With 'Neither Free Nor Fair' Author Joel Pollak* by Robert Kraychik). The transformed character of the American media establishment in the twenty first century is epitomized in its reports on Donald Trump's legal challenges to the 2020 election results. These reports describe

Trump's case using such terms as "baseless", "unproven" "fictional" and "unsubstantiated". Students of journalism and ordinary citizens alike have blown the whistle on these reports and condemned them on two grounds. Firstly, they have pointed out that such terms represent opinion and commentary and have no place in news columns. Secondly, they point out that such terms happen to be false and they provide one thousand reasons why they are false. This is the number of affidavits filed by citizens throughout the nation attesting to criminal activities committed by Democratic Party operatives to fix the election in favor of Joe Biden. False information, inaccurate information, misleading information, distorted information, deceptive information, exaggerated information, sensational information, political advocacy contaminating news spaces, biased reporting tainting news platforms, and megaphone blasts of red propaganda masquerading as editorials, opinions and analyses. Such are the building blocks of a sinister edifice called the American media establishment, loyal mouthpiece and broadcaster of the reds' revolution against the West.

COURT GREENLIGHTS ELECTORAL FRAUD

Citizen X witnesses a robbery committed down his block and notifies the police. The court later dismisses his intervention on the grounds that he lacks legal standing and is not a party to the case. Citizen X is outraged and refuses for a moment to believe that he lives in America. He wants to believe that he is experiencing a dark, frightening dream and looks forward to waking up into the placid, civilized, noontime light. On December 12, 2020, the United States Supreme Court issued a ruling which plunged the nation into the nightmare world inhabited by Citizen X. It dismissed a lawsuit filed by Texas to invalidate the results of the 2020 presidential election due to the unconstitutional actions of four states on the grounds that "Texas has not demonstrated a judicially cognizable interest in the manner in which another State conducts its elections." The court stated that citizens in states outside these four were not harmed by these states' actions and thus lacked legal standing to sue. The court's decision filled constitutional scholars and ordinary citizens throughout the nation who still upheld the rule of law with feelings of shock and dismay. They recognized that voters throughout the nation had legal standing to challenge the illegal actions of officials in the four states on two grounds. First, on the general grounds that they were witnesses to crimes committed by state officials, in this case the crimes of acting unconstitutionally, and had standing to sue just as witnesses to ordinary street crimes have standing to sue the perpetrators. Second, on the grounds that voters throughout the nation were in point of fact harmed by the actions of the election officials in these four states because their right to vote in a fair and honest federal election, in an election in which all legal votes are counted and all illegal and fabricated votes are excluded, was denied. The right to vote means the right to vote in a fair and honest election or it means nothing whatever. The authority to manage federal elections is a collective, nationwide authority which is governed by the federal constitution and must ensure that all voters are protected by a fair and honest electoral process. The Texas suit recognized that four states, Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin, unconstitutionally made changes to their voting rules through the courts and local officials and not through the state legislatures, in violation of Article II of the Constitution: "Each state shall appoint, *in such manner as the Legislature thereof may direct* [emphasis added], a number of electors, equal to the whole number of Senators and Representatives to which the State may be entitled in the Congress." The exclusive right of state legislatures to determine the rules for appointing electors of the president and vice president is reaffirmed in the Supreme Court decision *McPherson v. Blacker* (1892): "Under the second clause of Article II of the Constitution, the legislatures of the several states have

exclusive power to direct the manner in which the electors of President and Vice President shall be appointed. Whatever provisions may be made by statute, or by the state constitution, to choose electors by the people, there is no doubt of the right of the legislature to resume the power at any time." The Texas suit also stated that differences in voting rules from county to county violated the Fourteenth Amendment, which provides that all voters throughout the state be treated equally: "All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the state wherein they reside. No state shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any state deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law; *nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws* [emphasis added]." The suit recognized that officials in the four states exploited fears of an outbreak of Covid 19 as a pretext to violate the Constitution's Electors Clause and Equal Protection Clause with the real aim of facilitating electoral fraud and ensuring the victory of Joe Biden. Seventeen states and one hundred and twenty members of the House of Representatives joined the lawsuit. By a vote of 7 to 2, the Court ruled that Texas had no legal standing to launch the suit and the two dissenting judges, Samuel Alito and Clarence Thomas, ruled that the Court should hear the case as a mere formality and then quickly dismiss it and refuse to do its duty and uphold Article II and the Fourteenth Amendment: "TEXAS V. PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL. The State of Texas's motion for leave to file a bill of complaint is denied for lack of standing under Article III of the Constitution. Texas has not demonstrated a judicially cognizable interest in the manner in which another State conducts its elections. All other pending motions are dismissed as moot. Statement of Justice Alito, with whom Justice Thomas joins: "In my view, we do not have discretion to deny the filing of a bill of complaint in a case that falls within our original jurisdiction. See *Arizona v. California*. *I would therefore grant the motion to file the bill of complaint but would not grant other relief, and I express no view on any other issue* [emphasis added]." The Supreme Court's refused to get involved in the election and to send a legal, judicial, constitutional message to the nation. It instead chose to send a message of red politics and the American majority's detestation of Donald Trump: "This Supreme Court decision sends a message. The majority included the three justices appointed by President Trump, and they all said, 'We're not going to hear the Texas case. We're not going to get involved in this election.' 'I think this sends a message. It's not a legal message, but it's a practical message: the Supreme Court is out of this game.'" (*Dershowitz To Newsmax TV: Supreme Court Just Didn't Want to Get Involved* by Brian Trusdell, quoting Alan Dershowitz). Look at the Supreme Court and see its refusal to recognize that voters throughout America were witnesses to the crimes committed by election officials in Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin and on that ground had standing to sue them. See its refusal to recognize that voters throughout America were harmed by the unconstitutional actions of Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan and Wisconsin because through these actions, which altered the outcome of the election, they were effectively deprived of their right to vote. See its refusal to decide cases of unconstitutional state actions on their merits, to give the plaintiffs a fair and honest hearing, and its dismissal of these cases on spurious grounds of no standing. See its refusal to protect the constitutional rights of American citizens everywhere to vote in federal elections: "Rudy Giuliani, President Trump's personal attorney, said Friday on Newsmax TV's *Stinchfield* that the president's legal team will continue filing lawsuits even after the Supreme Court dismissed a lawsuit by Texas, which was backed by 17 other states and 126 House Republicans, to overturn the election results in four swing states. Giuliani said, 'The case wasn't rejected on the merits, the case was rejected on standing. So the answer to that is to bring the case now to the

district court by the president, by some of the electors, alleging some of the same facts where there would be standing and therefore get a hearing.' Host Grant Stinchfield said, 'Mr. Mayor, I know you talked to the president just after this ruling came down. What's his reaction, and how's he doing through all this.' Giuliani said, 'The president's reaction is to look at other options. I mean, we always knew that this was an option, that we would have to convert this into—in fact, originally, we thought about this as possibly four or five separate cases. So that is the option we are going to have to go to. There's nothing that prevents us from filing these cases immediately in the district court in which the president, of course, would have standing, some of the electors would have standing in that their constitutional rights have been violated.' He added, 'We're not finished. Believe me.' " (*Giuliani Says Trump Team 'Not Finished' After Supreme Court Defeat* by Pam Key). Look at the Supreme Court and see its granting to states permission to act unconstitutionally, to do violence to federal elections, and to nullify the constitutional rights of all Americans to rely upon and participate in undamaged and untainted national electoral procedures. See its failure to hold states who violate the Article II of the Constitution and the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution accountable for their actions. See its failure to protect a constitutional republic, a union of law abiding states, from the illegal actions of state election officials whose goal was to subvert a federal election and disenfranchise Trump voters throughout the nation: "The Supreme Court, in tossing the Texas lawsuit that was joined by seventeen states and 106 US congressman, has decreed that a state can take unconstitutional actions and violate its own election law, resulting in damaging effects on other states that abide by the law, while the guilty state suffers no consequences. This decision establishes a precedent that says states can violate the US constitution and not be held accountable. This decision will have far reaching ramifications for the future of our constitutional republic. Perhaps law abiding states should bond together and form a Union of states that will abide by the constitution. The Texas GOP will always stand for the Constitution and for the rule of law even while others don't." (*Chairman Allen West's Response to SCOTUS Decision*, Republican Party of Texas). Texas had no "judicially cognizable" standing to sue four states which violated the Article II of the Constitution and the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution during the presidential election of 2020: thus spoke a politically controlled and compromised Supreme Court in the final days of the American republic.

REDS DECLARE WAR ON AMERICA

A declaration of war is manifested in two ways. It is first a formal act by which one nation goes to war against another. But in addition to this, nonstate organizations and ideological movements can declare war against nations when breaking their laws, engaging in violent acts or subverting their institutions. Since the early decades of the twentieth century, the international movement of the reds has been conducting a war against the liberal democratic nations of the West, war against everything liberalism represents, a war against reason, science, enlightenment and a form of government which recognizes and protects the rights, freedoms and dignity of the individual, and in the twenty first century, it has celebrated a final victory in the destruction of human order and civilization. The war of the reds against America offers a case in point of this movement of destruction, for America for centuries had been the preeminent beacon of hope and inspiration for those oppressed and exploited by red regimes, and America's downfall offers the most tragic and terrifying picture possible of the suicide of the West at the hands of its red majorities. This wave of red lawlessness has seen riots, looting and violence committed by Antifa and Black Lives Matter descending on cities and campuses from coast to coast. It has seen social agitators smashing windows and

pulling down monuments of American heroes and statesmen. It has seen mayhem and breakdown dissolving families, homes, neighborhoods and schools. It has seen produced breaking levels of gun sales and concealed carry applications made by law abiding, antired Americans. It has seen the cancerous growth of the welfare state, business regulations and antidiscrimination laws which violate constitutional due process guarantees of private property, economic privacy, and freedom of contract, enterprise and association. It has seen the reds' destruction of businesses driving down demand for commercial property. It has seen the reds' covid 19 regime of nationwide lockdowns and shutdowns devastating small businesses, putting millions of Americans out of work and making them homeless, unable to afford rent and mortgage payments, and placing constitutional rights under permanent confinement in the jail cells of the people's health and welfare police. It has seen reason, truth, objectivity and science condemned as forms of hate speech and burned at the stake by the reds' inquisition of speech code enforcers. It has seen President Obama weaponize the Department of Justice, the Federal Bureau of Investigation and national intelligence agencies to spy on Republican presidential campaigns. It has seen members of the Obama administration illegally surveil General Michael Flynn's telephone calls, order the FBI to illegally entrap him, and illegally withhold exculpatory evidence at his trial. It has seen the failure to prosecute Hillary Clinton for illegally managing thousands of classified documents, illegally using her personal email server to conduct government business, and illegally destroying tens of thousands of emails, computers and cellphones which were under subpoena. It has seen the most egregious act of electoral fraud committed in the annals of modern politics: the theft of the presidential election of 2020 and the illegal election of Joe Biden as president. The reds' electoral crime of the century was as follows. Four states, Georgia, Pennsylvania, Michigan and Wisconsin, violated Article II of the Constitution when their governors, courts or local officials changed the rules of the election. According to Article II, each state "shall appoint its electors in such manner as the legislature thereof may direct." It is the state legislatures alone, and not the state executives, state courts or local officials, which have the authority to set the rules for a presidential election. This sole authority of state legislature was reaffirmed in the Supreme Court decision *McPherson v. Blacker*: "Whatever provisions may be made by statute, or by the state constitution, to choose electors by the people, there is no doubt of the right of the legislature to resume the power at any time." In Pennsylvania, the state supreme court extended by three days the deadline for receiving mail in ballots, in violation of the law passed by the state legislature. In Georgia, the secretary of state changed the signature verification requirements for mail in ballots, in violation of state law. In each of the four states, counties changed the manner in which they would receive and evaluate ballots, in violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. In the landmark case *Bush versus Gore*, the Supreme Court held that voters in a state have a constitutional right to have their ballots treated equally. In Wayne County, Michigan, election officials denied poll watchers the right to observe vote counting, in violation of state law and in violation of the right of voters in the county to be treated equally with voters in all other counties under the Fourteenth Amendment. In Milwaukee, Wisconsin, the administrator of the elections commission ordered election workers to write in the addresses of witnesses on the envelopes of the mail in ballots which lacked them, in violation of state law and the Fourteenth Amendment, because ballots without witness addresses were judged to be invalid in all other jurisdictions in the state. The purpose of these illegal changes to the election rules was to allow the commission of voter fraud and hand victory to Joe Biden. Look at the tactics of the reds and see their shameless violation of state and federal election laws. See their attempt to deform and contort the Constitution. See their attempt to disenfranchise millions of people who voted for Donald

Trump by predetermining the outcome of the election in favor of Biden. See their attempt to misrepresent, pervert and falsify the processes and results of the election and reduce election integrity to a dead letter. See their campaign of electoral fraud and their contempt for the rule of law, a campaign which has outraged constitutional scholars and ordinary citizens throughout the nation. This vanishing community of law abiding Americans has witnessed a staged election, an election conducted under the auspices of lawless reds for whom the end justifies the means, and an election the likes of which had never before been recorded in the annals of modern Western history, and it has seen the face of an American future blemished by electoral insecurity and red totalitarian politics: "Six states have formally joined Texas in its Supreme Court lawsuit seeking to overturn the results of the 2020 election. Texas Attorney General Ken Paxton said Thursday that Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina and Utah have intervened as plaintiffs in its suit against the battleground states of Georgia, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin. All four of the defendant states have filed their replies to Texas, meeting the deadline set by Associate Justice Samuel Alito of 3 pm Thursday. Texas alleges the four states exploited the coronavirus pandemic to 'justify unlawfully enacting last minute changes and ignoring both federal and state election laws, thus skewing the results of the 2020 General Election.' Missouri filed a friend of the court brief Wednesday afternoon that was joined by Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah and West Virginia. The District of Columbia filed a friend of the court brief on Thursday on behalf of the defendant states that was joined by 20 states and the territories of Guam and the Virgin Islands. And 106 House Republicans have signed a brief presenting their 'concern as Members of Congress, shared by untold millions of their constituents, that the unconstitutional irregularities involved in the 2020 presidential election cast doubt upon its outcome and the integrity of the American system of elections.' Paxton said in a statement Thursday that Texas 'continues to lead the fight to protect election security and integrity, and today I gladly welcome Missouri, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, South Carolina and Utah to this historic endeavor. By flouting state and federal election laws, the defendant battleground states have tainted the integrity of citizens' votes across the entire nation.' In a brief on behalf of President Trump requesting he join the case, constitutional scholar John Eastman argued it's not necessary for the plaintiff 'to prove that fraud occurred, however; it is only necessary to demonstrate that the elections in the defendant States materially deviated from the manner of choosing electors established by their respective state Legislatures. By failing to follow the rule of law, these officials put our nation's belief in elected self government at risk.' Eastman, a constitutional scholar at the Claremont Institute, said in a *Fox News* interview Tuesday that the 'underlying issue there is a terrific one for the Supreme Court, because it doesn't turn on proof of the allegations of fraud, the Dominion machines and all these other things that are huge evidentiary matters.' Eastman said the case 'turns on a very simple legal question: Did these states conduct an election in violation of their state law?' He explained that Article II of the US Constitution says the state legislatures have the sole authority to create the manner for choosing presidential electors." (*Six States Formally Join Texas In Supreme Court Election Challenge* by Art Moore). On December 11, 2020, the United States Supreme Court dismissed a suit filed by Texas which sought to invalidate the election results in Georgia, Michigan, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin. The suit argued that the four states illegally made changes to voting rules through courts or local officials instead of through legislatures, in violation of Article II of the Constitution. The suit also argued that different voting rules in different state counties treated voters unequally and thus violated the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. In its dismissal of the suit, the

court stated that "Texas has not demonstrated a judicially cognizable interest in the manner in which another state conducts its elections." Constitutional scholars and ordinary citizens stood aghast at a decision which stated that one state has no "judicially cognizable interest" in exposing another state's malfeasance and violation of the law. They stood in shock and horror at the advancing lawlessness, reaching up to the highest levels of the government, in the reds' war against America.

REDS REPEAL FREE SPEECH

On December 10, 1948, the United Nations General Assembly adopted a resolution which filled reds throughout the world with feelings of anxiety and foreboding. This was Resolution 217, entitled *The Universal Declaration Of Human Rights*. This declaration was adopted by forty eight nations, while the Soviet Union led the communist bloc in opposition to it. Among the focal points of red opposition to the declaration were Articles 18 and 19, which guaranteed freedom of thought, conscience, speech and expression: "Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance." (Article 18). "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference and to seek, receive and impart information and ideas through any media and regardless of frontiers." (Article 19). Proclaiming the primacy of the state over the individual and society above the self, reds of all stripes and varieties have been intrinsically opposed to the right of an individual to articulate his opinions and ideas without fear of official censorship or sanction. In the twenty first century, reds throughout the world have launched a campaign to rescind legal guarantees of free speech, sweep away this precious legacy of the Enlightenment, and establish a regime of totalitarian control over mental processes and activities. In the name of promoting progressive politics, the reds have set forth a program and manifesto of speech limitations, speech controls, speech criminalization and speech prosecution whose virulence and intensity have broken the bounds of all legal and moral customs and traditions and are without precedent in the annals of Western political history. Effecting a headlong plunge into the icy waters of thought crimes and thought transgressions, the reds have rolled back a right recognized by civilized people since civilized people first trod the face of the earth thousands of years ago: the right of an individual to own his own mind and to dispose of the products of his mind, his language, words and speech, as he deems fit, without seeking permission or suffering reprisals from the state. Driven by an insatiable desire to achieve total control over the individual down to invading and occupying the innermost precincts of his spirit and soul, the reds have donned the mantle of thought police and undertaken to suppress all ideas which deviate from the way of thinking they have judged to be politically correct. They have proclaimed that a progressive society violates the right to private property and punishes individuals who in the privacy of their own homes criticize transgender ideology. They have proclaimed that a progressive society punishes people who dissent from transgender values in private conversations with their spouses, families and friends. They have proclaimed that a progressive society punishes people whose political views depart from the values and beliefs held by the majority. They have proclaimed that a progressive society makes people afraid to express their support for reason and science and their opposition to transgender psychosis anywhere in public, anywhere on the internet, and in the smallest and most intimate circles of their companions and associates. They have proclaimed that a progressive society places speech controls among its core values and

brings down the guillotine of pervasive, relentless state censorship in the name of combating hate speech. They have proclaimed that a progressive society rejects the liberal free speech values of Europe and America and follows in the red totalitarian footsteps of the Soviet Union. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is a hermetically sealed, ideological echo chamber which prohibits all forms of speech and expression which challenge the people's party line of gender, race and class politics. They have proclaimed that a progressive government is an anticonstitutional, antifree speech administration which has demanded that individuals relinquish the sanctity of their inner spiritual life and march down the road of mental slavery. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is a tranquil society which has locked up the opponents of socialism in the dungeons of forced silence. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has outlawed all opposition to red ideologies in the name of tolerance and pluralism. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has prohibited all controversial political and religious viewpoints, all viewpoints which run afoul of the orthodoxy of the red establishment, in the name of protecting social peace and harmony. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has prohibited all forms of speech which provoke or humiliate communists, terrorists, the peddlers of religious superstition, and the citizens of subhuman cultures. Look at the world of the reds and see its ban on free speech in the name of promoting diversity and coexistence. See its rejection of the right to speak one's mind and to anger those who peddle the gospel of violence sweeping across the moral wastelands of the Middle East. See its rejection of the right to condemn primitive peoples and cultures which promote mass murder in the name of sharia and jihad. See its rejection of the right to call savages savages and to champion the values of civilized man. See its granting to governmental authorities the right to delay, postpone and prevent the posting of controversial viewpoints which violate red taboos. See its prohibition of the right to incite hatred and violence against Marxists, Muslims and all red totalitarian tub thumpers, and the right to breach the peace of lockstep enforced by the reds. See its rejection of all speech to which the reds have negative, violent and hate filled reactions. See its ejection of liberal constitutional order onto the arenas of amphitheaters crowded and overflowing with reds shouting *Death To Free Speech!* See its rejection of the right to talk about subjects which the reds do not want to talk about and its imposition of criminal penalties on those who so provoke the reds. See its regime of intolerance and censorship directed against speech which the reds have condemned as inflammatory, discriminatory, intimidating, aggressive, a nuisance and a source of irritation. See the Klan riders of the reds burning crosses on private lands, crosses upon which hang the right of free speech, and crosses whose raging fires have scorched the foundations of Western civilization: "A willingness to confine free speech in the name of social pluralism can be seen at various levels of authority and government. In February, for instance, Pennsylvania Judge Mark Martin heard a case in which a Muslim man was charged with attacking an atheist marching in a Halloween parade as a 'zombie Muhammed.' Martin castigated not the defendant but the victim, Ernie Perce, lecturing him that 'our forefathers intended to use the First Amendment so we can speak with our mind, not to piss off other people and cultures—which is what you did.' Of course, free speech is often precisely about pissing off other people—challenging social taboos or political values. This was evident in recent days when courts in Washington and New York ruled that transit authorities could not prevent or delay the posting of a controversial ad that says: 'In any war between the civilized man and the savage, support the civilized man. Support Israel. Defeat jihad.' When US District Judge Rosemary Collyer said the government could not bar the ad simply because it could upset some Metro riders, the ruling prompted calls for new limits on such speech. And in New York, the Metropolitan Transportation Authority responded by unanimously passing a new regulation banning any

message that it considers likely to incite others or cause some 'other immediate breach of the peace.' Such efforts focus not on the right to speak but on the possible reaction to speech—a fundamental change in the treatment of free speech in the West. The much misconstrued statement of Justice Oliver Wendell Holmes that free speech does not give you the right to shout fire in a crowded theater is now being used to curtail speech that might provoke a violence prone minority. Our entire society is being treated as a crowded theater, and talking about whole subjects is now akin to shouting 'fire!' In the United States, hate speech is presumably protected under the First Amendment. However, hate crime laws often redefine hateful expression as a criminal act. Thus, in 2003, the Supreme Court addressed the conviction of a Virginia Ku Klux Klan member who burned a cross on private land. The court allowed for criminal penalties so long as the government could show that the act was 'intended to intimidate' others. While the conviction was vacated, the intention factor was a distinction without meaning, since the state can simply cite the intimidating history of that symbol. The very right that laid the foundation for Western civilization is increasingly viewed as a nuisance, if not a threat. Whether speech is deemed inflammatory or hateful or discriminatory or simply false, society is denying speech rights in the name of tolerance, enforcing mutual respect through categorical censorship. (*The Death Of Free Speech* by Jonathan Turley). In the Palais de Chaillot in Paris, decorated with eight gilded figures symbolizing the rights of man, a foundational text in the history of human rights was ratified by forty eight out of fifty eight members of the United Nations. In the twenty first century, the *Universal Declaration Of Human Rights*, with its guarantees of freedom of the mind and intellect, has been rendered null and void in the fearsome and terrifying social justice universe of the reds.

FRITZ LANG DIRECTS FOR THE REDS

In Germany in the early decades of the twentieth century, as the Weimar Republic was being consumed by a rising tide of chaos fueled by radicals of all stripes and varieties, a director commenced his career in film studios in Berlin and became one of the few German filmmakers to achieve international renown. But his large audience throughout the world was of a special kind. It was an audience composed exclusively of dedicated reds which cheered on and gave standing ovations to his dissemination of red propaganda and saw him as a supremely skillful, creative cinematic soldier in the reds' war against the values and ideals of liberal democratic society. Delighting his special audience, he utilized films as a medium of magic, expressionist, spectacular red shows which exalted the inverted moral universe of Marxism as they condemned the civilized values laid down by the Enlightenment. His first major political assignment from the reds was *Dr. Mabuse: The Gambler*. In true Marxist fashion, he condemns capitalism as a system of fascist master criminals and their network of operatives who manipulate the masses with the strings and wires of greed, paranoia, hatred and rage. To the wild applause of red theaters throughout the world who were swept up in fervor following the communist revolution in Russia, Lang's *Metropolis* depicts capitalism as a system in which wealthy industrialists and business magnates in pleasure gardens rule over vast armies of underground dwelling slave workers. A system in which workers are endlessly sacrificed in a temple of Moloch and ceaselessly fill its brimming coffers. A system of hellish machine rooms supervised by idle, privileged elites. Look at the world of *Metropolis* and see its red meanings, red qualities, red colors and red connotations. See its depiction of capitalism as a system in which the working class is immiserated, exploited, downtrodden and reduced to the round the clock clacking of marching machines. See its depiction of capitalism as a system of oppressed, slave laborers

and poor, starving children under the whip hand of a wealthy aristocracy and its Club of Sons. See its depiction of capitalism as the face of a grotesque monster in whose fiery maw the workers are fed, one by one. See its depiction of capitalism as a system of crass materialism presided over by the whores of Babylon. See its depiction of capitalism as a system of ruthless oppression, commodification and robotization of the poor the only antidotes to which are the people's commissars, preachers and narcotic powders of red totalitarian tyranny: "From the opening scenes, Marxist overtones dominate the story. Workers are shown marching into the factory. They look depressed and overworked: their heads are down, their shoulders are slumped, and they march in a robotic fashion. This is reflective of the 'oppressed, slave like' condition of this poor class of workers. In contrast, the film then shows scenes of the Clubs of Sons, which is a wealthy elite class enjoying recreation and the outdoors. It is at this point that Master Freder notices a young woman with a saintly appearance, surrounded by poor and starving children. This event sets Master Freder on his journey of finding this woman, whose name is Maria. As Freder enters the factory, he is horrified by the working conditions. After a brief explosion, he visualizes the giant face of Moloch, and the factory workers then begin walking into his fiery mouth. This religious symbolism comes from the Bible, and the connotations were clear—the elite wealthy were essentially sacrificing these poor workers' health and livelihood in the pursuit (worship) of materialism and wealth. This is not the only religious symbolism in the film, either. The wealthy city is referred to as Babylon, and capitalists are clearly connected with this Babylonian system. Maria is always portrayed in a saintly way, and she preaches to the poor. A whore of a woman rides a beast in this film as well—a clear reference to the whore mentioned in the book of Revelation. It is interesting that this film used such imagery, for Karl Marx often said that religion was the 'opiate of the masses' and that it was a means for the wealthy rulers to oppress the poorer classes. Eventually, a scientist/inventor by the name of Rotwang creates a robotic version of Maria, and this robot temporarily holds Freder back from assuming his mediator role. Drawing from Marxist philosophy, the ruler tells Rotwang to make this robot in the image of Maria so that he could use the robot as a tool to keep the poor in oppression. However, in the end, Master Freder fulfills his role of mediator, and he successfully bridges the 'head and the hands.' " (*Marxism In The Film 'Metropolis'* by registerednurses.com). Causing an international sensation among the reds, Lang's *M* is screening of empathy for the murderers of children and a plea to abolish criminal responsibility. Look at the upside down world of *M* and see its refusal to hold murderers accountable to the bar of justice and its excusing of depravity on the grounds of physical compulsion and medical sickness: "But I, I can't help myself! I have no control over this, this evil thing inside of me, the fire, the voices, the torment! It's there all the time, driving me out to wander the streets, following me, silently, but I can feel it there. It's me, pursuing myself! I want to escape, to escape from myself! But it's impossible. I can't escape, I have to obey it. I have to run, run down endless streets. I want to escape, to get away! And I'm pursued by ghosts. Don't want to, but must! And then a voice screams! I can't bear to hear it! I can't go on! I can't! I can't!" (*M*, the character Hans Beckert). Look at the world of *M* and see its sympathetic treatment of monsters who stalk the earth. See its defense of all that is ugly, frightening, cruel and inhuman and its joyful excursions into a killer's mind. See its protection of those who kill innocent children and make their mothers drown in sorrow and tears. See its rejection of reason and conscience and all that raises man from the bestial swamplands and its desire to blacken the human soul with the stain of cold blooded torturers. See its dismissal of courts of justice, bars of accountability and verdicts of punishment and its exaltation of the barren, windswept plains of moral ambiguity, moral corruption, moral perversion and moral evil: "But while the film shows the court preparing

to declare its verdict, it never lets that verdict be heard. Instead, Lang cuts to a group of weeping mothers, one of whom says, 'This will not bring our children back. One has to keep closer watch over the children.' Justice has been done, and one man responsible for monstrous acts has been apprehended, but there remains much to fear in the world. In that moment, the story of one particular murderer in one corner of the world takes on universal implications. That partly explains why *M* has lingered so long, and why other creators keep coming back to it for inspiration. Its influence can be felt in film noir, a genre almost unthinkable without Lang's film, police procedurals, and in every film that dares plumb the depths of a killer's mind and look for humanity beneath the monstrous actions." (*The Long Shadow of M* by Keith Phipps). In theaters throughout the West, the reds raised the curtain on Lang's *Scarlet Street* to the wild cheering, whistling and bravos of the audience. Look at the world of *Scarlet Street* and see its subversion of justice and morality by allowing a murderer to walk free. See its granting to criminals immunity from prosecution, judicial accountability and apprehension by the police. See its incitement to wrongdoing and its assassination of respect for the law with the reds' rifles of moral obscenity, moral indecency, moral dirt and moral sleaze: "On January 4, 1946, the New York State Censor Board banned *Scarlet Street* entirely, relying on the statute that gave it power to censor films that were 'obscene, indecent, immoral, inhuman, sacrilegious' or whose exhibition 'would tend to corrupt morals or incite to crime.' As if in a chain reaction, one week later the Motion Picture Commission for the city of Milwaukee also banned the film as part of a new policy encouraged by police for 'stricter regulation of undesirable films.' On February 3 Christina Smith, the city censor of Atlanta, argued that because of 'the sordid life it portrayed, the treatment of illicit love, the failure of the characters to receive orthodox punishment from the police, and because the picture would tend to weaken a respect for the law,' *Scarlet Street* was 'licentious, profane, obscure and contrary to the good order of the community.' " (A Tale of Three Cities: The Banning of *Scarlet Street* by Matthew Bernstein). In 1933, Reich Propaganda Minister Joseph Goebbels called Lang into his office, praised his films, and offered him the position of head of the German Cinema Institute. Lang declined the offer and soon after the meeting fled the country. Goebbels had misidentified the world famous director. He was a communist red and not a national socialist red and carrying his director's chair, marked *communist inspired moral decadence*, to America, he launched his Hollywood career. He became a naturalized American citizen, had his films preserved in the Academy Film Archive, and received a star on the Hollywood Walk Of Fame. Buried in the Hollywood Hills Cemetery, he left a legacy of moral inversion on the silver screen which has inspired red filmmakers throughout the world screening the last days of the West.

THE BIG BROWN BOOK OF THE REDS

Known internationally as *The Little Red Book*, *Quotations From Chairman Mao Zedong* is a book of statements taken from speeches and writings of the former communist leader. It has been regarded as a canonical work of Marxism by true believers throughout the world since it was first published in 1964. Over the past four decades, another book has risen to prominence among the reds and inspired them in their campaign to overthrow liberal democracy with the hoodlum's clubs of gender, race and class politics. Known among its followers as *The Big Brown Book*, it was written a century ago by the leader of the National Socialist German Workers' Party and its themes are racial philosophy, racial politics, racial propaganda, racial revolution, racial movements, racial parties, racial wars, racial alliances, racial personality, racial defense, racial will, racial power, racial terror, racial purity, racial conspiracy, racial fronts, racial states and racial extermination. Since its publication in 1925,

Adolf Hitler's *Mein Kampf* has inspired tens of millions of reds throughout the world in their campaign to smash the legacies of the Enlightenment and has taken its place on the reds' list of sacred books alongside of *The Communist Manifesto* and *Quotations From Chairman Mao*. Springing from the pages of *Mein Kampf* is a program and manifesto for a race and gender based *neuordnung* and *lebensraum* which has composed a portrait of Western cultural collapse and the engulfment of the planet in firestorms of chaos and violence. The new *Mein Kampfists* of today, Hitler's restless children agitating in the malarial swamplands of the twenty first century, have set forth their aims and policies with stark, unequivocal, terrifying clarity and made civilized people see the explosions of global doomsday detonating all around them. Under the impact of Hitler's new reds, the nations of the West have abandoned their founding principles of liberal equality and nondiscrimination and through the imposition of diversity, equity and inclusion programs, have become systemically racist and sexist. Rendered intoxicated and febrile from round the clock injections of Marxist, communist and national socialist identity theory, today's neoHitlerites have proclaimed that a progressive system of higher education has the following terms at the top of its lexicon: *diversity*, *inclusion* and *tribal representation*. They have proclaimed that a progressive university fills its student body in accordance with a race and gender quota system which gives preferential treatment to women and nonwhites. They have proclaimed that a progressive university is a tribal university which has rejected the principle of meritocracy and allowed unqualified members of underrepresented groups, women and nonwhites, to graduate with the highest honors. They have proclaimed that a progressive university has established a balkanized campus marked by racial and gender segregation, chauvinism, theological disputation and victimization politics. They have proclaimed that a progressive university has abolished freedom of speech and the free interchange of opposing points of view and established a regime of censorship and speech codes to protect the rights of women and nonwhites. They have proclaimed that the belief in the existence of truth and objectivity is a product of invisible racism and implicit bias against blacks and must be replaced by a system of racial subjectivism and black supremacy. They have proclaimed that capitalism is a system of white privilege and aggression against blacks. They have proclaimed that a progressive university forces all students and faculty who uphold the liberal principles of equal rights and equal opportunity without respect to race to undergo sensitivity training sessions, join the Black Lives Matter movement, and demand the exclusion of all whites from campus. They have proclaimed that a progressive university has replaced liberal arts programs with ethnic studies and race focused courses and replaced the liberal system color blind advancement with the recruitment of black students and teachers. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is officially racist and promotes the ideology and behavior of hatred and violence against whites. They have proclaimed that those who reject the system of special treatment given to women and nonwhites in the name of diversity, equity and inclusion are racist, sexist and bigoted and must be drummed out of the state and society. They have proclaimed that a progressive university has replaced the liberal principle of academic excellence with the reds' principle of diversity and inclusion and made race and gender determining factors in the selection of scholars, academics, postdoctoral researchers, professional staff, administrators and students. Look at the program of the Hitlerite reds and see its war to abolish liberal meritocracy. See its campaign to dissolve society into a jungle of racial, gender and sexual identities. See its blatant promotion of discrimination in the basis of race and sex. See its quotas for tribal groups established in the name of diversity and inclusion. See its reduction of flesh and blood human beings to the level of phantom group abstractions. See its prohibition on selecting job candidates on the basis of personal achievements and qualifications. See its rejection of the

liberal assignment of jobs to the best and the brightest and in favor of assignment to racial and sexual orientation groups: to blacks, homosexuals, bisexuals, gender dysphorics and gender psychotics. See its sacrifice of individual ability upon the altars of proportional representation and diversity statistics. See its abolition of civil liberties, equal protection under the law, and job selection in accordance with individual characteristics by state mandates to install race, gender and sexual orientation groups upon thrones of power and privilege. See its reversal of five centuries of Western progress and enlightenment through the boards, commissions, officials and directors of a new national socialist order: "Nasdaq wants to require listed companies to include on their boards at least one woman and one person from an underrepresented racial minority or someone who is lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, gender-queer, or identifies with the myriad of sexual identities activists include under the rubric of LGBTQ+. Nasdaq, the second largest US stock exchange, filed a proposal with the Securities and Exchange Commission that would mandate listed companies to have a minimum of two 'diverse directors,' including one who 'self identifies as female and one who self identifies as either an underrepresented minority or LGBTQ+.' The practice of requiring a quota for preferred sexual orientation and racial groups could run afoul of US laws prohibiting discrimination based on race and sex. The quota rule would require an massive churn of corporate boards. According to Nasdaq, over the past six months, more than three quarters of its listed companies would have fallen short of the woke quotas. The new listing rules would require all companies listed on Nasdaq's US exchange to publicly disclose consistent, transparent diversity statistics regarding their board of directors. The rule would let foreign companies off easier than US companies. Foreign companies would be able to fill the quota with two female directors, ignoring the requirement for an underrepresented racial minority or LGBTQ+ director. Companies that do not meet the quota would be forced to explain why they did not have directors with the preferred racial and sexual identities. If the proposal is approved by the SEC, Nasdaq listed companies would be required to disclose board diversity statistics within a year. Trump appointed SEC chairman Jay Clayton has said he will step down from the post at year's end—which would likely mean Joe Biden will appoint the next head of the commission. Nasdaq said that all companies will be required to have at least one diverse director within two years. Time frames to meet the requirement for the second preferred diversity director will vary depending on the market tier of the companies. A Nasdaq official described the new requirements and diversity quotas as an 'opportunity to make progress.' 'This proposal and partnership gives companies an opportunity to make progress toward increasing representation of women, underrepresented minorities, and the LGBTQ+ community on their boards,' said Nelson Griggs, president of Nasdaq Stock Exchange. The announcement of the proposal included an endorsement by Anthony Romero, the executive director of the American Civil Liberties Union." (*Nasdaq Wants To Require Racial And Sex Identity Diversity Quotas For Corporate Boards* by John Carney). Since its publication a century ago, Hitler's *Mein Kampf* has been studied by tens of millions of reds throughout the world, has been translated into a dozen languages, and has gone through multiple editions. Its latest edition is subtitled: *Diversity, Equity And Inclusion Politics In The Last Days Of The West*.

THE REDS' BIG THREE

Triplets are one of three children or animals born at the same birth. They are extremely rare, occurring in one in 10,000 pregnancies. During World War II, to the shock and dismay of civilized people throughout the world, something happened which they hoped and prayed and never imagined in their worst nightmares would ever happen. Triplets were born in the

heart of the Europe, born of a red mother and a red father, and they went on to make a mockery of the war and make it the most meaningless and evil war ever fought in the history of mankind. These triplets were America, Britain and the Soviet Union, and they formed a Grand Alliance to defeat Germany. They were born of the same parents, the red mobs which had assumed control over the world at the dawn of the twentieth century, and they shared the same political and ideological aims: the destruction of human freedom within the charnel houses of proletarian class politics. These satanic triplets formed a natural alliance against their rival brother socialist power in Germany, which championed totalitarian terror in the name of the race and not the class. Civilized people who studied the nature and character of the members of the Allied powers knew exactly why they had joined together. They saw what the Soviet Union was and always had been, and saw what America and Britain had recently become under the pressures of the mob, and they saw behind them and looming over them the shadow of their fearsome and terrifying progenitor: the figure and spirit of Karl Marx. The founder of communism reigned from day one in the Soviet Union. Civilized people listened to his words and heard the clacking footsteps and the rattling chains of Satan who had come to earth looking for souls to snatch. They listened to the words of Marx's premier henchman, Joseph Stalin, leader of the Soviets during the time of the Grand Alliance, who set out a program and manifesto for the overthrow of the magnificent legacy of the Enlightenment: the order of human reason and human liberty. Stalin proclaimed that a progressive society is a collectivist society which has cut down the individual with its firing squads, its people's farms and its five year plans. He proclaimed that a progressive society is a plundering society which seizes the wealth flowing from the springs of intelligence and ability and hoards it in its people's warehouses, grain stores and silos. He proclaimed that a progressive society is a radical society which has rejected the bourgeois system of individual liberty and turned toward socialism, slavery and government regimentation. He proclaimed that a progressive society is an army of people's hoodlums on the field and in reserve who triumphed over the forces of law and morality. He proclaimed that a progressive society is a stateless, lawless society which is guided and controlled by the people's mobs, the people's bosses, the people's soviets and the people's parties who have committed mass depredations, mass terror, mass upheavals and mass murder in the name of justice for the working class: "The Soviet government's successes in the sphere of the collective farm movement are now being spoken of by everyone. Even our enemies are forced to admit that the successes are substantial. And they really are very great. It is a fact that by February 20 of this year 50 per cent of the peasant farms throughout the USSR had been collectivized. That means that by February 20, 1930, we had overfulfilled the five year plan of collectivization by more than 100 per cent. It is a fact that on February 28 of this year the collective farms had already succeeded in stocking upwards of 36,000,000 centners, i.e., about 220,000,000 poods, of seed for the spring sowing, which is more than 90 per cent of the plan. It must be admitted that the accumulation of 220,000,000 poods of seed by the collective farms alone—after the successful fulfillment of the grain procurement plan—is a tremendous achievement. What does all this show? That a radical turn of the countryside towards socialism may be considered as already achieved. There is no need to prove that these successes are of supreme importance for the fate of our country, for the whole of the working class, which is the directing force of our country, and, lastly for the Party itself. To say nothing of the direct practical results, these successes are of immense value for the internal life of the Party itself, for the education of our Party. They imbue our Party with a spirit of cheerfulness and confidence in its strength. They arm the working class with confidence in the victory of our cause. They bring forward additional millions of reserves for our Party." (*Dizzy With Success* by Joseph Stalin). Civilized people listened to the words of President Franklin Roosevelt

who proclaimed the primacy of state power over individual freedom. Who advocated government ownership of the individual and the advancement of majoritarian tyranny in the name of social inclusion and a progressive people's democracy. Who advocated government control of the business system in the name of the liberty for the proletariat. Who advocated equal distribution of income, earnings, goods and services in the name of social justice. Who advocated community ownership of labor and capital in the name of social equity. Who advocated government control of planning and production in the name of economic effectiveness. Who advocated guaranteed lifetime state employment and make work for all. Who advocated the concentration of power and wealth into the hands of the state and the repeal of one thousand years of liberal constitutional order as the path to securing the rights of the sewers, the cesspools, the rabble and the riffraff of society: "Unhappy events abroad have retaught us two simple truths about the liberty of a democratic people. The first truth is that the liberty of a democracy is not safe if the people tolerate the growth of private power to a point where it becomes stronger than their democratic state itself. That, in its essence, is fascism--ownership of government by an individual, by a group, or by any other controlling private power. The second truth is that the liberty of a democracy is not safe, if its business system does not provide employment and produce and distribute goods in such a way as to sustain an acceptable standard of living. Both lessons hit home. Among us today a concentration of private power without equal in history is growing. This concentration is seriously impairing the economic effectiveness of private enterprise as a way of providing employment for labor and capital and as a way of assuring a more equitable distribution of income and earnings among the people of the nation as a whole. Today many Americans ask the uneasy question: Is the vociferation that our liberties are in danger justified by the facts? Today's answer on the part of average men and women in every part of the country is far more accurate than it would have been in 1929 for the very simple reason that during the past nine years we have been doing a lot of common sense thinking. Their answer is that if there is that danger, it comes from that concentrated private economic power which is struggling so hard to master our democratic government. It will not come, as some of the possessors of that private power would make the people believe--from our democratic government itself." (*Message To Congress On The Concentration Of Economic Power* by Franklin Roosevelt, April 29, 1938). Civilized people listened to the words of Winston Churchill who rejected the indigenous liberalism of his Anglo-Saxon nation and championed the omnipotent state of socialism. Who exalted state enterprise above private enterprise and peddled political tyranny packaged as social effort. Who proclaimed that a progressive society is a red totalitarian nightmare which has abolished individual rights and enacted universal enslavement in the name of help for the poor, the young, the sick, the aged, the shiftless and the idle: "And we are all agreed, every one in this hall who belongs to the Progressive Party, that the state must increasingly and earnestly concern itself with the care of the sick and the aged, and, above all, of the children. I look forward to the universal establishment of minimum standards of life and labor, and their progressive elevation as the increasing energies of production may permit. I do not think that liberalism in any circumstances can cut itself off from this fertile field of social effort, and I would recommend you not to be scared in discussing any of these proposals, just because some old woman comes along and tells you they are socialistic. If you take my advice, you will judge each case on its merits. Where you find that state enterprise is likely to be ineffective, then utilize private enterprises, and do not grudge them their profits." (*Liberalism And The Social Problem* by Winston Churchill). While the capitals of America, Britain and Russia were separated by thousands of kilometers, nothing whatever separated the ideologies which ruled these nations at the time of the Grand Alliance. Communicating by telephone, telegraph and

rare in person meetings, Roosevelt, Churchill and Stalin formed a single, monolithic being which loomed across the sky. This being was the mob with its passion for equality above liberty, its exaltation of welfare above freedom, its worship of security above dignity, and its dark, swirling currents of envy and hatred directed toward the doers, the dynamos and the achievers of the world. On New Year's Day in 1942, this mighty mob sky being composed of three nations signed the United Nations' Declaration, which pledged to defeat the fascist Axis Powers. What ensued was a classic internecine struggle. It was a struggle conducted between two brother collectivist ideologies: between the Allied powers championing communist collectivism, and the Axis powers championing racialist collectivism. The victory of the Allies brought about the world wide victory of communism: communist welfare statism, enacted in America and Britain, and communist totalitarianism, which ruled over the Soviet Union. The conclusion of World War II brought about the collectivisation of the planet under the terrifying symbols of the hammer and sickle and the welfare state. Civilized people understood that victorious communism had written the epitaph of the West.

MINISTER ERHARD

A miracle is an event which is not explicable in terms of law. It is an improbable, impossible and amazing development which is cheered on and welcomed by the people. On August 14, 1949, the day of the first free elections in West Germany after the era of the Third Reich, a miracle occurred. On this day, in the district of Baden-Wurtemberg, a staunch, principled antired was elected to the federal parliament and was appointed federal minister of economic affairs. He held this position for the next fourteen years and during this time, he spread freedom throughout a land which for centuries had known only various stripes of red philosophy and been sullied by the rule of the Bismarckian redshirts, the Marxist redshirts and the national socialist redshirts. During this postwar period, Minister Ludwig Erhard established the most extensive program of liberalization and deregulation in modern times and transformed Germany into the freest nation in Europe. Erhard sought to enact his vision for the future of Germany, a future in which free individuals would prosper and flourish through their energy and initiative untrammelled by the chains of slavery to social justice and social responsibility. Abolishing price controls, rescinding tariffs, reducing corporate and personal income taxes, championing a sound currency and creating the *deutsche mark*, and unleashing a wave of business deregulation, Erhard inspired the voices of liberalism throughout the world and gave them spirit and courage against the rising tides of a red onslaught, in the form of welfare statism, Third Wayism, and gender, race and class politics, which would soon engulf them. Listen to the voice of a historic champion of the capitalist system. Listen to his rejection of economic restrictions championed by the reds and his songs in praise of personal freedom and private markets. Listen to his rejection of cradle to grave welfare security as a policy which enslaves the individual from the moment of his birth and reduces him to a ward of the state. Listen to his worship of the individual, with all his rights, freedoms, dignity, energy, effort, enterprise, intelligence, initiative and foresight, and his condemnation of public policies which subordinate the individual to a social economy and to a red totalitarian monolith: "I have repeatedly stressed that I consider personal freedom to be indivisible. With this conviction I have worked since 1948 to reduce all economic restrictions. A free economic order can only continue if and so long as the social life of the nation contains a maximum of freedom, of private initiative and of foresight. If, on the other hand, social policy aims at granting a man complete security from the hour of birth, and protecting him absolutely from the hazards of life, then it cannot be expected that people will develop that full measure of energy, effort, enterprise and other

human virtues which are vital to the life and future of the nation, and which, moreover, are the prerequisites of a social market economy based on individual initiative. The close link between economic and social policy must be stressed; in fact, the more successful economic policy can be made the fewer measures of social policy will be necessary." (*Prosperity Through Competition* by Ludwig Erhard). Listen to the voice of a preeminent defender of a free social, spiritual, material and economic order. Listen to his radiant love of liberty and liberty's world of private markets, private initiative, private planning, private provision and private responsibility. Listen to his exceptional wisdom which taught the world, the wisdom which understands that the system of socialism, social security, social insurance and social distribution is a system of compulsion and coercion of the individual and has no place in a civilized, law based, human order: "If we desire to guarantee a permanent free economic and social order, then it becomes essential to achieve freedom with an equally freedom loving social policy. That is why, for example, it is contradictory to exclude from the market economic order private initiative, foresight and responsibility, even when the individual is not in a material position to exercise such virtues. Economic freedom and compulsory insurance are not compatible." (*Prosperity Through Competition* by Ludwig Erhard). Listen to a voice of conscience and hear its condemnation of socialism as the destroyer of rational happiness and rational well being. Hear its condemnation of social solidarity as a social danger and the path to an aggressive, omnipotent, all pervasive state. Hear its condemnation of mass politics with its sacrifice of freedom upon the altar of security and its regime of total enslavement. Hear its condemnation of the welfare state with its abolition of self responsibility, self management, self direction, self care, self help and a human way of life: "This danger must be energetically countered. More differences of opinion exist on this point than on any other problem. Some say that the happiness and well being of the people are based on some form of general solidarity, and that one should progress along this path at the end of which, of course, stands the power of the state. The calm and comfortable life so pursued might not perhaps be luxurious, but it would be all the more secure. This form of living and thinking is visibly expressed in the so-called welfare state. On the other hand, the natural efforts of the individual to be thrifty on his own account and to think of his future, his family and his old age cannot be abolished—even if great efforts have been made indirectly to kill the human conscience." (*Prosperity Through Competition* by Ludwig Erhard). Listen to a sworn enemy of welfare security and an implacable foe of slavery in the social sphere. Listen to him champion liberty and self responsibility and declare war against the dark and gloomy swamplands of collectivism. Listen to his condemnation of the mass enthusiasm, the mass excitement, the mass obsession, the mass blindness, the mass ignorance, the mass delusion, the mass fever and the mass mental illness which deny the existence of flesh and blood individuals with rights, freedoms and dignity all their own and with responsibilities toward nobody except themselves. Listen to his condemnation of the reds' exaltation of a mystical state which floats far above the individual and is granted the right to expropriate the individual, confiscate his wealth, regiment his thoughts and actions, and reduce him to a ward and slave of the social organism. Listen to his exaltation of the virtues which make man human, the virtues of purpose, planning, production, achievement, self empowerment, self responsibility, self provision and self perfection, and his condemnation of the moral antiuniverse of the reds. This is a universe in which the mob has placed its paws around the wealth of the producers, and ground and crushed the streets of civilized societies, and exalts hatred of talent, ability, work and success as the highest virtues known to man. This is a universe based upon proletarian class supremacy and is without humanity, soul, freedom or conscience of any kind. This is a universe expressed in the form of a relentless, fire breathing, triple headed monster, marked *gender, race and class politics*,

which has incinerated liberal constitutional order and defined the term *disaster* in the final days of the West: "In recent times I have frequently been alarmed by the powerful call for collective security in the social sphere. Where shall we get to and how are we to maintain progress if we increasingly adopt a way of life in which no one wants any longer to assume responsibility for himself and everyone seeks security in collectivism? I have drastically described this flight from responsibility when I said that if this mania increases we shall slide into a social order under which everyone has one hand in the pocket of another. The principle would then be: I provide for someone else and someone else provides for me. The blindness and the intellectual inertia which are pushing us towards a welfare state can only bring disaster. This, more than any other tendency, will serve slowly but surely to kill the real human virtues—joy in assuming responsibility, love for one's fellow being, an urge to prove oneself and a readiness to provide for oneself—an in the end there will probably ensue not a classless but a soulless mechanical society." (*Prosperity Through Competition* by Ludwig Erhard). It is said that miracles occur only once in a lifetime. In the lifetime of postwar Germany, a miracle occurred and will be the final miracle in the nation. This was the miracle of a public figure who fearlessly championed libertarian philosophy and ascended to the heights of political power. He sent out a message which the mob did not want to hear and would soon shout down. He sent out a message which proclaimed that collectivism is vicious nonsense, that social responsibility is a superstition, that social justice is a fiction, that central planning is a state yoke around the people, that business regulations are an act of state invasion, that welfare support payments are products of state aggression, that state enterprises have no place in a free society, and that envy, jealousy and wealth redistribution are the essence of evil. This message was the official policy of Germany under the guidance of its minister of economic affairs, Ludwig Erhard. The policy would last for fourteen years, Erhard's tenure in office, from 1949 to 1963. After this, Germany fully joined the welfare states of the West and accelerated down the path of the West's decline.

ENGLAND'S EARLY REDS

A negative is a photographic image on film which shows the light, darkness and color values reversed from the original. In the inexpressible tragedy of cultural senescence, in the fearsome and terrifying picture of the decline and fall of the West, the present century presents a negative image, a stark and unequivocal reversal, of an original exposed during the springtime, youth and vibrancy of the culture. In the present century, submerged in the sewers of mass politics and overtaken by the currents of red totalitarian tyranny in all of its varieties of gender, race and class factionalism, the voices of dissent are the upholders of liberal democratic order whose fulcrum and centerpiece is the rights, freedoms and dignity of the individual. In the seventeenth century in England, by contrast, the moral and political establishment upheld and enforced the traditional Anglo-Saxon system of liberty, while the voices of dissent were the various movements of socialism. Declaring war against the world of traditional England, against the world of private right and private property protected by the Royalists, the Stuarts, the Cromwells and the Fairfaxes of the time, these early English reds set forth a program and manifesto for the establishment of a society successfully popularized and proselytized centuries later by a German refugee with a pass to the reading room of the British Museum: Karl Marx. These seventeenth century preMarxist reds proclaimed that a progressive society has smashed the chains of capitalism and reclaimed the freedom of tribal submission. They proclaimed that capitalism is a system of slavery to property and must be replaced by the use of force and violence which will seize private lands and transform the individual into a slave of the common good. They proclaimed that a

progressive society is a one class, proletarian, collectivist society which has rejected the liberal order of human rights established by the Norman Conquest. They proclaimed that a progressive society is a radical democracy and people's commune which has defied the landlords, the army and the law and placed its inhabitants under the control of a private government. They proclaimed that a progressive society is a people's liberation labor army which has crushed individual liberty beneath its brutal, blazing charges and stampedes. They proclaimed that a progressive society is a mutiny of the underclasses against the authority of morality and the law. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is a band of radicals who have overthrown reason, truth and liberty in the name of free thought and secular values. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is an agreement among the people to do away with private spheres of existence and establish common ownership of the mind and body. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is a people's republic constituted of the lowest social classes which are steeled and disciplined to brutally suppress the voices of capitalist deviation. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is an alliance of radical idealists for the cause of universal enslavement and rejection of the modern capitalist economy. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is a primitive communist society in which every thought, feeling, blood pulse and heart beat of the individual is held in common by the people. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has abolished the freedom of the individual and enforced the rule that every person and his property belong to all people as a right. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is a commonwealth of the mob which wields absolute power without the intervention of the law, the courts or officers of the peace. They have proclaimed that a progressive society has made it a crime against humanity to be talented and successful and seized all private property and redistributed it into the hands of the inept and the incompetent. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is formed when a spontaneous outbreak of mob rule has placed republican commissars in charge of the state and economy. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is governed by a people's constitution which consists in a series of demands that the class of talented, ambitious, dynamic, intelligent people hand over all their wealth and property to the shiftless, bacterial scum who have been installed upon the thrones of power. They have proclaimed that people who manage their own businesses constitute a class of parasites, leeches and exploiters of the people and must be ruthlessly put down and expropriated in a civil war. They have proclaimed that a progressive society is a socialist imperialist society which has overthrown the power and authority of liberal constitutions and established a global dictatorship in the name of freedom and equality. Listen to the voices of England's early reds and hear their demands that the moral vermin and filth of the world live well and comfortably on land seized from the creators and producers. Hear their demands that those without purpose or ambition become the landlords of the earth and feed off the honest toilers. Hear their demands that the principles of justice and morality be turned on their head and criminals be set free from jails, the producers of wealth be placed behind bars, and the state be granted a license to ransack and plunder in the name of making the planet the commons of the people and safeguarding rights of the poor. Hear their program of socialist tyranny and oppression marching under the banners of freedom, community and Mother Earth: "Take notice, That England is not a Free People till the Poor that have no land have a free allowance to dig and labor the Commons, and so live as comfortably as the landlords that live in their enclosures. For the people have not laid out their monies and shed their blood that their landlords, the Norman Power, should still have its liberty and freedom to rule in tyranny, but that the Oppressed might be set free, prison doors opened, and the Poor People's heart comforted by an universal consent of making the Earth a Common Treasury, that they may live together united by brotherly love into one

spirit, and having a comfortable livelihood in the Community of one Earth their Mother." (*The True Levelers Standard Advanced* by Gerard Winstanley). Listen to the voices of England's early reds and hear their demands that the worthless, contemptible dregs of society become the lords of the manor and the lords of the earth. Hear their demands that beggars and thieves become dukes and barons and those who earn their daily bread become their servants and slaves. Hear their demands that the earth be dug up and plowed over by human appearing swine and reduced to a moral, spiritual and economic wasteland. Hear their demands that the society bow down before the King of Evil and the Prince of Darkness, banish justice and righteousness from the land, and make rivers flow with the blood of the innocent in the name of social justice and the rights of the working class: "We whose names are subscribed, do in the name of all the poor oppressed people of England, declare unto you who call yourselves Lords of Manors and Lords of the Land, that the King of Righteousness, our Maker, has enlightened our hearts so far as to see that the Earth was not made purposely for you to be Lords of it, and we to be your Slaves, Servants and Beggars, but it was made to be a common livelihood to all. And further, the King of Righteousness has made us aware of our burdens, and the cries and groanings of our hearts have come before Him, we take it as a testimony of love from Him, that our hearts begin to be freed from slavish fear of men such as you are, and that we find Resolutions in us, grounded upon the Inward Law of Love one towards another, to dig and plow up the Commons and Waste Land through England. And that our conversations shall be so unblamable that your Laws shall not reach to oppress us any longer, unless you by your Laws will shed the innocent blood that runs in our veins." (*A Declaration From The Poor And Oppressed People Of England* by Gerard Winstanley). In seventeenth century England, a counterculture emerged which challenged the nation's founding principles and ideals. It dug a dark road and paved it with the asphalt of envy, jealousy, hatred and victimhood. It covered it with the stones of community ownership and the bricks of self immolation. Its unholy engineers in the service of communist slavery were the Diggers and the Levelers of the realm. This was the road not taken and the revolution not commenced by the English. It faded into oblivion before the people's cheering adulation of freedom. For three centuries, the vitriol peddled by this movement led by Gerard Winstanley and William Everard was an object of national mockery and scorn. Then in the twentieth century, this satanic sulfuric acid wafting down the corridors of history from England's first malarial fever swamps burned up the nation. Making common cause with their Marxist fellow travelers, England's first socialists have come alive and composed the final act of their land.

THE REDS OF SHERWOOD FOREST

An exemplar is a person who serves as a model of excellence of something. In any organization, the leader should serve as a model and exemplar of the organization's guiding principles and ideals. The proof positive of the constitutional affinity of the masses for socialism and their inbred contempt for the system of liberty is the story of a man whom the masses revere as one of their preeminent moral leaders, guides, representatives and exemplars. It is the story of an outlaw who lived in Sherwood Forest in the thirteenth century and fought for the rights of the proletarian underworld and outwitted the Sheriff of Nottingham, symbol of liberal, constitutional law and order. Dressed in garments of Lincoln green, Robin Hood was skilled in archery and his arrows of red agitation, red tub thumping and red ideological rectitude sailed through the towns and countryside of a free land, the only free land in Europe, captured the hearts and minds of those who hated their land of freedom and longed for a land of equality, sailed through the decades and centuries, speeded

down the corridors of time and history, galvanized the refuse and riffraff rising to power in country after country, and landed in the twentieth century, at the end of its journey, to the wild acclaim of the new establishment which had finally assumed control over the West: the radical left mob. Sharpened at the front on the whetstones of gender, race and class politics and bristling with the feathers and vanes of red totalitarian terror at the back, the arrows shot eight centuries before from the bow of the Prince of Thieves in the royal forest of Nottinghamshire set forth the program and manifesto of a mass religion which has ruled the West for the past one hundred years. The best known figure in English folklore, Robin Hood pitched his appeal to the malcontented and discontented classes of English society; he was a faithful socialist and champion of redistribution; and in the forests fighting the royal sheriff protecting English liberty, his soul time traveled a millennium forward in history and merged into the soul of Karl Marx. This leader of the English peasant rabble, this crusader on behalf of the vengeful and hate filled cesspools of society, this political revolutionary, this socialist, this communist, this Marxist named Robin Hood has proclaimed the following. He has proclaimed that a progressive society is a single class society, a society in which only the proletariat are allowed to exist, and in which those who are skilled, talented, intelligent, ambitious and successful have been exterminated. He has proclaimed that a progressive society has eliminated private ownership of property and mandated state ownership of the people. He has proclaimed that a progressive society exalts equality above liberty and has reduced human beings to the level of rightless resources and means of production in the service of the state. He has proclaimed that a progressive society has transformed all property into communal property, all labor into communal labor, and placed the life and thought of the individual under the surveillance and control of the government. He has proclaimed that the true working class is the class of unskilled, bestial, muscular laborers and this class must rise up and take back the profits stolen from them by the skilled, entrepreneurial bourgeois. He has proclaimed that a progressive society is a slave society in which everyone is forced to support the state and receives from the state his bare subsistence. He has proclaimed that a progressive society is a dictatorship of the mob which has seized control over all businesses and estates and over all aspects of life and has suppressed the voices of dissent. He has proclaimed that a progressive society has nationalized the soil in the name of land reform and indoctrinated the people in the name of education for all. He has proclaimed that in a progressive society nobody has claim to anything, the fruits of all labor and production are given over to the state, and the class of moral filth and vermin are supported on the backs of the producers in the name of social justice and welfare entitlement. He has proclaimed that a progressive society has established public ownership over the mind and body, instituted class war to eliminate those who seek to think and work for themselves, and has forced everyone to work for the state according to his abilities and has paid him the minimum amount necessary to satisfy his vegetative needs. He has proclaimed that capitalism is a system of poverty, immiseration and exploitation of the working class and must be replaced by a regime of state control over all thinking, feeling, creating, producing, distributing, trading, living, walking, talking and breathing. Look at the exploits of Robin Hood and see a vivid prefigurement of the communist party line. See an outlaw who robs from the producers and gives to the nonproducers and calls it the justice for the oppressed. See a populist who has declared war against law and order and individual rights. His war has shot and blasted its way through the ages, flooded the streets of civilized societies with rivers of blood and tears, composed hymns of praise for the killing of the innocent, and placed them on the pages of the reds' textbooks and on a gravestone marked: *Western Civilization*: "There is a communist directive in education now to stress the story of Robin Hood because he robbed the rich and gave it to the poor. That's the

communist line. It's just a smearing of law and order and anything that disrupts law and order is their meat. To take from the rich and give to the poor is a favorite communist policy. Because I'm trying to get communist writers out of textbooks, my name is mud. Evidently I'm drawing blood or they wouldn't make such an issue out of it." (*This Day In History*, November 13, 1953, in *history.com*, quoting Ada White, Indiana Textbook Commission). Look at the bold and daring feats of Robin Hood. See a campaign of proletarian violence centuries before the world knew the word *proletarian*. See a campaign to establish a system of serfdom in the name of the people which would dwarf all previous systems of serfdom. See the most evil and most vile of fruits hanging in the gardens of Satan. These are the fruits marked *friends and comrades in murder and robbery, criminals let loose from jail cells, raids conducted on private homes and businesses, the solidarity of sociopaths and psychopaths ranged against the armies of justice and right, the flotsam and jetsam of the town and country burying liberal rules and ideals, underworld rebellions staged against good government and independent brigades of outcasts and wanted criminals pushing the law off its throne and assuming the station of lords and masters of the earth*. These products of moral and psychological degeneracy raised in the hothouses of Robin Hood grew to frightening, monstrous proportions over the centuries. The bows and arrows shot by the Grand Archer of Sherwood Forest have evolved in the present day into the bombs and missiles of gender, race and class politics. The latter's hammers, sickles and swastikas are the intensified swords of Robin Hood and have cut out the soul of civilization: "*Bows Against the Barons* is deliciously of its time. When Trease said it was a Marxist account of Robin Hood as a people's rebellion, he wasn't joking. I've not read the 1934 edition before. The serfdom Trease describes is closer to the slavery of the American South, and he easily drops in a reference to the strange fruit hanging from the trees at the edge of the forest. Robin Hood asks Dickon to call him 'comrade' and the outlaws plan a raid on the town jail, while the castle figures as a Bastille. The townsfolk preach the solidarity of Norman and Saxon against the Masters, and country and town against all. When the outlaws begin their attack, it looks much like the guerrilla warfare of the Italians which Trease was to fictionalize in *Follow My Black Plume*. In chapter eight, *Hammers and Sickles*, when Dickon is rescued from the Foresters, it is by the people of the village who rise up, 'Thank these people,' grinned the outlaw. 'It was hammers and sickles did it today.' says Alan-a-Dale. 'Ay, hammers and sickles,' broke in the blacksmith, 'and if every man who used hammer or sickle used it as we've done, there's soon be an end of masters.' 'And an end of swords, too,' said a woman. 'It's the masters who make the wars, not us.' I know this book was reedited but I wasn't sure for what edition, so ordered the 1948 as it claimed to be a New Edition, not a reprint. In the edition of 1948 which I have to hand, chapter eight has been retitled, *Friends in Need* and that last section reads as follows: 'Thank these people,' grinned the outlaw. 'It was they did it, today, not the bows and bills of Sherwood.' 'Ay, the people,' broke in the blacksmith. 'and if every man who used tools used it as we've done, there's soon be an end of masters.' 'And an end of swords, too,' said a woman. 'It's the masters who make the wars, not we.' I begin to see why Trease doesn't seem to have had much of a following in the US." (*treaseproject.livejournal.com*). In *Dives And Pauper*, a commentary on the Ten Commandments written in the fifteenth century, the author complains that "people would rather listen to tales and songs of Robin Hood than attend Mass." The Master of Sherwood Forest had indeed established a new religion of Society which displaced God in heaven as the dictator of mankind and won the allegiance of the lower classes. Born in the forests of free ranging criminality packaged as progressive politics, Robin Hood's black gospel of state power and confiscation is the defining ethos of our time and the dismal epitaph of the West.

REDS STEAL THE ELECTION

Transparency International is a nongovernmental organization whose purpose is to take action to combat global political corruption. The organization publishes the *Global Corruption Barometer*, which tracks public opinion on corruption, and the *Corruption Perceptions Index*, which ranks countries by their perceived levels of corruption. Fair minded, nonpartisan observers of corruption in the public sector have brought to the attention of the organization an operation which dwarfs all previous instances of corruption and ranks as the greatest criminal conspiracy to corrupt a political system in the history of nations. They have found the following facts which have filled their minds with fear and foreboding concerning the future of their nation and its continued viability as a legitimate, law based political entity. They have found a case of corruption which has composed a tragic inscription on the tombstone of a nation which once shined throughout the world as a model and exemplar, first among nations, of political integrity and liberal constitutional order. They have set forth their findings to their fellow citizens and to the people of every nation and have offered a sad, dismal, negative judgment concerning the survival and success of truth and justice in the political affairs of the world. They have found that the presidential campaign of Joe Biden stole the 2020 election. They have found that eight states, Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan, Wisconsin, Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico and Virginia, were scenes of vote irregularities. They have found that there was an electronic manipulation of the vote through private contractors working for local and state election officials. They have found that large blocks of votes were entered into systems in Pennsylvania, Michigan, Wisconsin and Georgia which eliminated or diminished Donald Trump's lead. They have found that fraudulent, defective ballots for Joe Biden were introduced after the election in Philadelphia, Detroit, Milwaukee, Pittsburgh and Atlanta and were counted illegally. They have found the operation of algorithms in vote tabulation software which produced fractional voting in favor of Biden. They have found the operation of Trump to Biden software in tabulation machines, and found that in Antrim County, Michigan, the software shifted 6,000 votes from Trump to Biden. They have found in Wisconsin and Michigan large dumps of votes cast exclusively for Biden, dumps which had been placed at the same time at 4 AM on November 4. They have found voter update logs which gave Trump and Biden equal numbers of votes in batches counted, which is a statistical impossibility. They have found in Michigan the application of an algorithm which discounted Trump votes and made him underperform in Republican precincts. They have found that the signatures on ballots did not match the signatures on file. They have found that Republican poll watchers were refused entrance into polling stations to examine the ballot counting. They have found that batches of ballots were run through voting machines multiple times to increase Biden totals. They have found the counting of votes of out of state residents which resulted in a 300 percent overcount of votes. They found that in Wisconsin, 100,000 illegal votes were cast as result of fraudulent use of the mail in ballots. They have found widespread intimidation of Trump voters. They have found truckloads of Biden only ballots arriving in the early hours of November 4 through the back doors of counting houses. They have found multitudes of ballots with the signatures of dead people. They have found absentee ballots coming from people who never requested them. They have found that voters for Biden were given the opportunity to correct flawed ballots while voters for Trump were not given the same opportunity. They have found that this unequal treatment of Biden voters and Trump voters to be in clear violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. They have found that Trump voters in Pittsburgh who arrived at the polls on November 3 were

told that they had been listed as having already voted. They have an affidavit from an election worker in Michigan who said that she had been ordered by her supervisor to illegally backdate absentee ballots, to disregard signatures on absentee ballots, and to ignore disqualifying deficiencies in the ballots. They have an affidavit which claims that election workers were ordered not to request state mandated photo identification from Michigan voters. They have an affidavit which claims that election workers in Philadelphia had been ordered to randomly assign names to unidentified ballots with the result that people seeking to vote were told that their votes had already been cast. They have found that because of pervasive electronic and paper fraud in the vote counting, the election results in eight disputed states, Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan, Wisconsin, Arizona, Nevada, New Mexico and Virginia, cannot be certified. Look at scenes from the 2020 presidential election and see a picture of crime splashed in dazzling technicolor. See ballots opened up and completed by Democratic Party operatives. See Democratic Party operatives preventing independent poll watchers from performing their duties. See ballot machines programmed to produce a Biden victory. See tabulation centers receiving bins, boxes and containers of forged ballots for Biden by the thousands in the dead of night while rejecting legitimate ballots cast for Trump. See a portrait of fraud and corruption which has incurred the opprobrium of honest people as it has placed election integrity in vaults with metal seals and cast it into the dustbin of failed states: "What might constitute even stronger evidence are the 400 affidavits submitted by eyewitnesses in swing states whose vote results are in dispute. These affiants have placed their observations on paper, from the fishy to the fraudulent. And, crucially, they have sworn that their statements are true, signed these documents, and notarized them—all under penalty of perjury and potential imprisonment. 'Affidavits are routinely accepted as evidence in federal courts,' said former Justice Department election integrity official J. Christian Adams, Esq. This is particularly true when affiants offer to bolster their affidavits through courtroom testimony. • 'I personally witnessed two people handing multiple unopened mail in ballot envelopes to two other people who then opened and filled out the ballots against the side of the Biden/Harris van,' said one Nevada affidavit. The name of the affiant, an employee of the Clark County Election Department, was redacted. 'The same two people who marked the ballots then put the marked ballots in official pink and white envelopes. These people were not poll workers.' Soon, the affiant swore, 'there were five or six additional people who formed a human wall, which moved as I walked by, apparently in an attempt to block my view of the four people who were opening envelopes, marking ballots, and placing those ballots in the pink and white return envelopes.' • 'On Tuesday, November 3, 2020 approximately 4:00 to 5:30 pm' John C. Palmer III recalled, 'I watched ten or more vehicles with out of state license plates drive up to the loading dock areas. I remember seeing Illinois, Minnesota, Missouri, Virginia, etc.' Palmer was a 'volunteer observer' stationed just outside Detroit's Department of Elections Building. 'I was shocked to see that the ballot machines were controlled by these individuals and not Michigan and/or Detroit residents,' Palmer continued. 'Many of these out of state people carried boxes with *Dominion* written on them from their vehicles.' • 'At approximately 4:03 am, a poll worker announced that 50 boxes of ballots were coming in. Election workers loudly cheered this announcement,' Republican challenger Articia Bomer swore. She was stationed at Detroit's TCF Center, where plenty seemed amiss. Especially in the wee small hours after Election Day—Michigan's most pivotal tabulation facility resembled a crime scene. • 'Large quantities of ballots were delivered to the TCF Center in what appeared to be mail bins with open tops,' poll challenger Daniel Gustafson affirmed. 'These ballot bins and containers did not have lids, were not sealed, and did not have the capability of having a metal seal. The ballot bins were not marked or identified in

any way to indicate their source of origin.' • 'On Wednesday, November 4, 2020, Detroit election officials told us that they were going to process military ballots last,' challenger Robert Cushman observed. 'I was surprised to see numerous new boxes of ballots arrive at the TCF Center in the evening. I first noticed these boxes in the distribution area after many of the military ballots had been distributed and processed. I estimate these boxes contained several thousand new ballots when they appeared.' " (*No Evidence of Vote Fraud! At Least 400 Affidavits Swear Otherwise* by Deroy Murdock). In the presidential election of 2020, two colleges rendered their decisions. Drawn from the ranks of backdated ballots, systematic overcounting, blocked polling station inspections and dishonest vote counting systems, the Electoral College has chosen Joe Biden as president. Consisting of four hundred affidavits from Americans who witnessed or were asked to participate in criminal acts, the moral college has given victory to Donald Trump. Launched by the reds, a nationwide attack against two hundred years of free and fair election in America has made the early decades of the twenty first century the time of the nation's death rattle.

GENERAL LUDD AND HIS GREEN PARTY

In 1779 in Anstey, England, near Leicester, a weaver named Ned Ludd, shouting "death to machines!", took a hammer and smashed two stocking frames. Beating these new mechanical knitting machines into a tangled mass, he banged his Devil's gavel and called to attention, called to order and commenced a fearsome and terrifying movement in the West. This was a movement of romanticism and reaction ranged against the march of science and technology and its leader is revered by his followers in the present day as a heroic captain, general and prophet of a religion which has captured the hearts and minds of masses. This is a religion whose temple is the air, the water, the trees and the animals and its infamous career began with the depredations of Ludd's people two centuries ago. The Luddites met at nights on the moors surrounding industrial towns, swore secret oaths, practiced military drills and maneuvers, and planned their attacks. Their first target was Nottinghamshire in 1811, followed by West Riding of Yorkshire in 1812, and Lancashire in 1813. Armed with sledgehammers, they smashed knitting machines, lacemaking machines and cropping frames, and attacked magistrates and food merchants. In 1817, armed with pykes, scythes and guns, they attacked the village of Pentrich in Derbyshire and smashed every machine they saw. In the wake of six years of Luddite attacks, thousands of machines were destroyed, thousands of factories were burned down, and dozens of factory owners were shot dead while trying to defend their sites. The majority of the British people supported the march of industrial progress and demanded that the British government suppress the Luddite movement. In response, in 1812, parliament passed the Frame Breaking Act which made industrial sabotage a capital crime. In 1813, the British government sent 14,000 army soldiers to fight the Luddites at Lancashire and held a mass trial of Luddites at York. The Luddites were found guilty and were hanged or sent to Australia for penal servitude. In the face of popular opposition and resolute government action, the movement soon dissolved. Listen to a newspaper account of the murder of factory owner William Horsfall at the hands of Luddites in the village of Marsden in West Yorkshire in 1812. Listen to an act of savagery committed in cold blood on a public road. Listen to the pistol shots of cultural retrogression assassinating the voices of manufacturing and modernity. Listen to barbarians smashing shearing machines and assaulting the people who work at them. Listen to machine destroyers attacking persons, property and the existence of civilization. Listen to the rampagers against mills, the perpetrators of crimes against humanity, the sworn enemies of a world which had ascended to undreamed of heights of prosperity and well being on the

shoulders of reason, science, industry and technology: "On Tuesday evening last, about half past six o'clock, as Mr. WILLIAM HORSFALL, a very extensive Woollen Manufacturer, at Marsden, about seven miles from Huddersfield, was returning from the market at that place, he was assassinated on the public road, on Crossland Moor. The circumstances as stated to us by an eyewitness of this most barbarous Murder are these: Mr Horsfall and a Manufacturer of the name of Eastwood, had left Huddersfield together, and at a short distance before they came to the fatal spot, Mr Eastwood stopped to water his horse, while Mr. Horsfall rode leisurely along the road; soon after he had part the Warren Inn, a distance of about a mile and a half from Huddersfield, on the Manchester road, four men, each armed with a horse pistol, appeared in a small plantation, and placed the barrels of their pistols in apertures in the wall, apparently prepared for that purpose; the muzzle of two of these pieces Mr Horsfall distinctly saw, but before he had time to extricate himself from his perilous situation, they all four fired, and inflicted four wounds in the left side of their victim, who instantly fell from his horse, and the blood flowed from the wounds in torrents. A number of passengers, both horse and foot rushed almost instantly to the spot, and, after disentangling his foot from the stirrup, he was with some difficulty got to the Inn. The Murderers, after they had perpetrated the sanguinary deed, walked to the distance of some yards, and soon after briskening their speed, they ran towards Dungeon Wood, and entirely escaped undiscovered, no pursuit or search having been made after them, till the arrival of a troop of the Queen's Bays about three quarters of an hour afterwards. One of the Assassins is described to us as about 6 feet high, another as a low portly man, and the two others as about five feet six or seven inches high, and rather slender; they all wore dark coarse woollen cloth coats, and appeared to be working men. From a professional Gentleman, who was called in to visit Mr. Horsfall, we learn, that three of the wounds, out of the four, were slight, and unattended with danger, but the fourth, which was made by a musket ball, proved fatal to him. The ball struck the lower part of the belly on the left side; but did not appear to have entered the cavity. It passed behind the integuments, on obliquely downwards towards the right groin; and then penetrating the thigh, passed behind the bone towards the skin, whence it was extracted. He was much exhausted at first, by the loss of blood but on the following day (Wednesday,) was so far recruited as to afford some slight hopes of his recovery. In the course of Wednesday night, however, a fresh bleeding seemed to have taken place, and saw the thigh become largely swollen, with some appearance of incipient mortification. On Thursday morning, between eight and nine o'clock, he expired, having retained, till within a short time of his death, the perfect possession of his faculties. Mr Horsfall had a very large Woollen Manufactory at Marsden, wherein about 400 work people were employed; and in a part of his premises there are Shearing Machines, which have been erected about seven years and have attained considerable perfection; this circumstance, with the additional one of his unremitting activity in detecting, and bringing to justice the persons engaged in the attack at Rawfolds, and other Mills, had rendered him obnoxious in a high degree to the machine destroyers, who knowing his premises were too well defended to justify an attack on his property, committed a crime against his person, that will embitter every future day of their existence, and that will, in all probability, through the retributive justice of that Being, from whom no secrets are hid, bring the blood stained perpetrators of this worst of crimes, to an ignominious end. A reward of £2000 will, we understand, be offered immediately to any person who will give such information as will lead to the conviction of any one or more of the four men concerned in the murder of Mr. Horsfall." (April 30, 1812). In the late eighteenth century, Ned Ludd led his followers on a mission of mass destruction. Supported by Lord Byron and the romanticist worshipers of blood and soil, the Luddites rampaged across Britain and smashed their way down the corridors of history. Their specters and

manifestations have appeared with increasing violence and intensity as the West moves closer to its collapse. They are the voices which condemn the march of progress as a regime of pesticide poisoning, radiation exposure, deforestation, urban sprawl, land and fishery depletion, and aggression against the earth. They have proclaimed that modern technology has run wild and must be eliminated. They have proclaimed that modern technology is a regime of toxic wastes, poisonous food irradiation, carcinogenic asbestos contamination and ecological devastation. They have proclaimed that industrial civilization is a dark, satanic chimney belching out carbon emissions which are incinerating the planet. They have proclaimed that modern technology is a juggernaut which frightens and threatens the people. They have proclaimed that modern industrial civilization has desacralized man's connection with the cosmos. They have proclaimed that modern industrial civilization has severed man's link with the earth and its wild roots. They have proclaimed that modern industry and technology have murdered the special, mystical life force which coursed through the soul of primitive man. They have proclaimed that human society must copy animal organization and sweep away all forms of civilization in order to save the environment. They have proclaimed that in order for any forms of life on earth to survive, all forms of advanced industry and high technology must be obliterated. They have proclaimed that the progress of industrialization, automation and digitalization has made virtuous, right thinking people unemployed, miserable and angry. Published in 1812 by Messrs Walker and Knight of London, a hand colored etching of Ned Ludd leading and his followers was a picture of reactionaries and romanticists fighting and clamoring against the legacy of the Enlightenment. Riding on ghostly rays through the passage of the decades, this picture has landed in the twenty first century and is an emblem of the decline and fall of the West.

TITLE DEED OF THE REDS

Ownership is defined as the general act, state or right of possessing something with or without qualification. In the West, the ownership of personal property such as a bicycle or an automobile, in contrast to the ownership of land, is absolute, unqualified and not subject to the rules of the state. Since the early years of the twentieth century, America has been the personal property of its red majority and has seen the reduction of its constitutional order to a dead letter. This is an ownership without rules, restraints, regulations or limitations of any kind and has transformed a constitutional republic predicated upon the rights of the individual into a mob controlled amphitheater presided over by an untrammelled caesar figure intent upon feeding the mob the raw flesh of envy, hatred, victimization and vengeance. Caesarism and mob rule: such is the fate of all civilizations in a state of decline and as it robbed the Roman world of its spirit and soul and ground its laws and institutions into dust, so it has descended upon the West and razed to the ground the West's preeminent arsenal of liberty, the American City Upon A Hill. The twenty first century has seen the culmination of the reds' attack on America's constitutional system and a look at the new dispensation is a look into a future which will see the physical collapse of the nation. The present century will be the final century of America and the forms and lineaments of the nation's coming collapse inundate the portals of the senses. This century has seen the presidential candidate of the Democratic Party, Joe Biden, receive 80 million votes, or 52 percent of the voting population, the largest majority ever given to a candidate openly advocating a "reset" to socialism and statism. It has seen the Republican Party lose the popular vote for the seventh time in the last eight presidential elections. It has seen the Democratic Party's reconstruction of its Blue Wall by winning back the 18 states it had won in the presidential elections from 1992 to 2012. The bulwark of red power, this Blue Wall

consists of the following states plus the District of Columbia: California, New York, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Michigan, New Jersey, Washington, Massachusetts, Maryland, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Oregon, Connecticut, Hawaii, Maine, Rhode Island, Delaware and Vermont. It has seen the number of American who are beneficiaries of federal government programs, such as Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, the Affordable Care Act, food stamps, student loans, housing subsidies and affirmative action, expanding by the hour and by the minute. It has seen the top 50 percent in income of the population paying 97 percent of federal income taxes and the bottom 50 percent paying 3 percent. It has seen the onset of a virulent class war between the givers and the takers of wealth and value, between the producers and dynamos and the leeches and parasites of society. This dismal culmination of democratic mass civilization into the swamps and jungles of proletarian spoils and plunder was foreseen by John C. Calhoun in the nineteenth century: "It must necessarily follow, that some one portion of the community must pay in taxes more than it receives back in disbursements; while another receives in disbursements more than it pays in taxes. The necessary result, then, of the unequal fiscal action of the government is, to divide the community into two great classes: one consisting of those who, in reality, pay the taxes, and, of course, bear exclusively the burden of supporting the government; and the other, of those who are the recipients of their proceeds, through disbursements, and who are, in fact, supported by the government. Or in fewer words, to divide it into taxpayers and tax consumers." John C. Calhoun, 1810). This century of America's collapse has seen campaigns to double the membership of the United States Supreme Court and pack it with radical judges and thereby neutralize and blow up the third branch of government. It has seen campaigns to grant citizenship and voting rights to illegal aliens. It has seen the proliferation of programs which mandate racial and gender discrimination in employment, education and contracting and the disenfranchisement of white males in the name of diversity, equity and inclusion. It has seen the consolidation of an imperial presidency in which presidents have usurped congressional law making authority and created laws via executive orders and sent troops into foreign countries without a constitutionally mandated congressional declaration of war. It has seen the state in the name of social progress aspire to control the life of the individual, control the economy and intervene in the affairs of the world. It has seen the pervasive violation of private property rights in the transformation of private businesses into public property and places of public accommodation and the denial of the right of private business owners to trade with, employ and associate with whomever they choose. It has seen individual liberty placed under lockdown, shutdown, house arrest and government invasion of private homes in the name of protecting public health. It has seen a socialist antiindustrial revolution packaged as Medicare for All and a Green New Deal. Listen to the reds' attack on freedom of speech in the twenty first century and hear the sounds of totalitarian tyranny rising. Hear the voice of America, the voice of liberty, the beacon of freedom for Europe and the world, suppressed by red calls for censorship and speech controls. Hear the reds enforce politically correct speech as official government media policy. Hear the reds prohibit, as forms of hate speech and as incitements to hate, criticisms of the red hate universe, the red netherworld of radical feminism, black nationalism, Black Lives Matter, Antifa, Marxist class war, Islamic fanaticism and Islamic terror. Hear the reds laying down guardrails surging with 50,000 volts of government power, slicing through homes, schools, offices and businesses, and incinerating all those who challenge red narratives, red ideologies, red religions and red lies. Hear the reds launch an onslaught against one thousand years of liberal order, wipe out free speech from continent to continent, and place America into the model of Soviet style suppression. Hear the reds declaim that what the people need is less free speech and more ideological lockstep in the name of achieving social and political harmony. Hear the reds

prevent the people from accessing the antired opposition and being exposed to truth and right condemning the march of unbridled power. Hear the reds denounce free speech offline, free speech online, free speech among friends, free speech next door, free speech around the corner, free speech at the other end of the earth, and free speech whenever and wherever they can find it, and empower their global agencies and global media empires to raze to the ground the shining legacy of the Enlightenment: a free world: "For those of us who have been critical of the growing antifree speech movement in the Democratic Party, the Biden transition team just took an ominous turn. The *New York Post* reports that Biden tapped Richard Stengel to take the 'team lead' position on the US Agency for Global Media, including Voice of America, the Middle East Broadcasting Networks and Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty. As I previously addressed in a column, Stengel has been one of the most controversial figures calling for censorship and speech controls. For a president elect who just called for everyone to 'hear each other,' he picked a top aide who wants to silence many. Since it would be difficult to select a more antifree speech figure to address government media policy, one has to assume that Biden will continue the onslaught against this core freedom as president. This is not the first Biden aide to indicate a crackdown on free speech in the new Administration and Biden himself has called for greater censorship on the Internet. Last year, Stengel wrote a chilling *Washington Post* op-ed that denounced free speech as a threat to social and political harmony. Like a number of liberal and Democratic figures, Stengel struggled to convince readers that what they need is less freedom: 'All speech is not equal. And where truth cannot drive out lies, we must add new guardrails. I'm all for protecting thought that we hate, but not speech that incites hate.' It is the European view that has destroyed free speech on that continent. It is a trend that seems now to be find support in the media, which celebrated the speech of French President Emmanuel Macron before Congress where he called on the United States to follow the model of Europe on hate speech. In January, Biden called for greater speech controls on the Internet and denounced Twitter for allowing others to speak freely. In insisted that tolerating such views in the name of free speech is same as 'propagating falsehoods they know to be false.' " (*All Speech Is Not Equal': Biden Taps Anti Free Speech Figure For Transition Lead On Media Agency* by Jonathan Turley). America is unique in the world. It is the only country founded upon moral and political ideals and not upon ties of blood or ethnicity. These moral and political ideals are the principles of limited government and man's individual rights as enshrined in a written constitution. If these principles and this constitution are rejected and swept away, the physical collapse of the nation will soon follow. In the twenty first century, after one hundred years of sustained bombardment and attack on America's founding document and ideals by ascendant red majorities, this nightmare reality has reared its evil head.

DOCTOR OF CULTURES

In the early part of the twentieth century, an unknown teacher in Munich laid down his pen. He had just written over a half a million words and would soon give the world its most definitive, authoritative work on the nature and evolution of human cultures. With the publication of *The Decline Of The West* in 1918, Oswald Spengler was catapulted from obscurity to world renown for two achievements which in civilized communities made him an intellectual hero of the first order. The first achievement consisted in the following. He was the first historian to identify cultures as coherent, unified, spiritual totalities and not mere random collections of intellectual achievements occurring in certain geographic locales. If cultures were the latter, Spengler argues, then Western culture would encompass

the achievements of ancient Greece and Rome. Spengler was the first to point out that such a proposition is facially incoherent. If the achievements of the West and the achievements of ancient Greece and Rome could be subsumed under the same culture, Spengler argues, then the term *culture* would vanish into meaninglessness. Spengler's argument stands unrefuted in civilized circles. Spengler was the first to show that a single cultural entity could not subsume the thought of an Aristotle and the thought of a Kant. It could not subsume the belief of Aristotle that when we perceive, we perceive something, which something is a physical object, with the belief of Kant that when we perceive, we perceive nothing beyond the forms and categories of our own consciousness, nothing beyond our own delusion of physical objects existing in space and time. It could not subsume the belief of Aristotle that primary existence is the perceived world of physical objects with the belief of Kant that primary existence is an unperceivable world of nonphysical, nondimensional, nonlocational, nontemporal entities. It could not subsume the belief of Aristotle that all knowledge comes from experience and is produced by abstraction from the data of man's senses, with the belief of Kant that all knowledge is produced by deduction from the categories of the mind independently of all experience. It could not subsume the belief of Aristotle that man's good resides in the performance of his distinctive function and means of survival, the use of his faculty of reason and intelligence, with the belief of Kant that man's good resides in categorical obedience to the principles of his will, obedience without regard to objects, interests, justice or the rule of reason and common sense. It could not subsume the belief of Aristotle that man's good is produced by consequences, and is the state of a rationally ordered and organized life, with the belief of Kant that man's good is produced independently of consequences, and is the performance of duty for the sake of duty, and obedience to the principles of his will for the sake of obedience to the principles of his will. It could not subsume the belief of Aristotle that beauty is a property of physical objects and is the condition of order, harmony, coherence and complementarity of parts, with the belief of Kant that beauty is pure subjective taste or preference and exists only in the eye of the beholder. It could not subsume the belief of Aristotle that art is a recreation and representation of reality in a physical medium which seeks to portray an ideal, essentialized condition, with the belief of Kant that art is the free play of pure forms, sensations, feelings and imagination unmoored to the facts of reality or to the natures, essences and conditions of things. Spengler subsumes the culture of the ancient Greeks and Romans under the figure of Apollo, son of Zeus, god of reason, knowledge, light, reality, definition and determination. He subsumes the culture of the West under the figure of Faust, German astronomer and necromancer, symbol of will, emotion, power, freedom, license, subjectivity, expression and disorder. Spengler points out as self evident and demonstrates beyond a shadow of a doubt that the world of Apollo could never coexist with the world of Faust without a redefinition of the term *culture* to refer to a mere assemblage of human achievements within a given geographical locale, an assemblage without rhyme or reason or unifying principle of any kind, and without an obliteration of the concept of culture as students of history have known and understood it for thousands of years. Spengler identifies two separate and independent cultures developing on the same continent, an Apollonian culture which sprang from the noonday light of the Aegean Islands in the tenth century BC, and a Faustian culture which sprang from the twilight and gloom of the German forests in the tenth century AD, and he identifies that one and the same geographical locale gave rise, in an irony of ironies, to the two most antithetical cultures the world has ever witnessed, cultures which are as far apart spiritually, intellectually and philosophically as a radiant, beautiful, static, sun drenched, meadowland scene stands removed from a dark, churning, tearing, storming mass of trees and undergrowth. Listen to Spengler describe the fundamental difference between the

Classical Apollonian world view and the Western Faustian world view. The difference between an ontology of physical objects and an ontology of pure space. The difference between an ontology of matter and an ontology of pure consciousness. The difference between the exaltation of reason and common sense and the exaltation of a driving, dynamic, force field of the will to power. Summarized by Aristotle and Kant respectively, it is the difference between the philosophy which affirms that perception is the perception of external existence and the philosophy which proclaims that perception is the perception of a subjective, apriori nullity and is an act of nonperception: "The actual designation of the Faustian principle, which belongs to us and to us alone, is a matter of indifference. A name is in itself mere sound. Space, too, is a word that is capable of being employed with a thousand nuances—by mathematicians and philosophers, poets and painters—to express one and the same indescribable; a word that is ostensibly common to all mankind and yet, carrying a metaphysical under meaning that we gave it and could not but give it, is in that sense valid only for our culture. It is not the notion of *will*, but the circumstance that we possess it while the Greeks were entirely ignorant of it, that gives it high symbolic import. At the very bottom, there is no distinction between space as depth and will. For the one, and therefore for the other also, the Classical languages had no expression. The pure space of the Faustian world picture is not mere extension, but efficient extension into the distance, as an overcoming of the merely sensuous, as a strain and tendency, as a spiritual will to power. I am fully aware how inadequate these periphrases are. It is entirely impossible to indicate in exact terms the difference between what we and what the men of the Indian or the Arabian Culture call space, or feel or imagine in the word. But that there is some radical distinction is proved by the very different fundamentals of the respective mathematics, arts of form, and, above all, immediate utterances of life. We shall see how the identity of space and will comes to expression in the acts of Copernicus and Columbus—as well as in those of the Hohenstaufen and Napoleon—but it underlies also, in another way, the physical notions of fields of force and potential, ideas that it would be impossible to convey to the comprehension of any Greek. 'Space as the apriori form of perception,' the formula in which Kant finally enunciated that for which Baroque philosophy had so long and tirelessly striven, implies an assertion of supremacy of soul over the alien; the ego, through the form, is to rule the world." (*The Decline Of The West* by Oswald Spengler, Chapter IX). Spengler's second achievement consists in his correct prediction of the decline and fall of the West. Spengler foresees the onset of the decline occurring in the twentieth century. He foresees the revival of religion. This has come to pass in the proliferation of gender, race and class politics, radical environmentalism and the doctrine of transgenderism which, one and all, reject the world of reason, truth, science, liberal order and human enlightenment. He foresees the degeneration of Faustian subjectivism into formalist decadence and the transformation of painting, music, sculpture, literature and architecture into expressions of license and disorder. This has come to pass and can be observed in the obliteration of the arts as these have been known and understood by civilized people for thousands of years. He foresees the rise of caesarism. This has come to pass in the rise of an executive, administrative state enforcing statism and socialism. It has come to pass in the rise of the Mussolinis, the Hitlers, the Roosevelts and the imperial presidencies enacting welfare entitlement states, mob rule, majoritarian tyranny, and sweeping away the liberal constitutional order. Students of history have discussed and debated Spengler's views in support of cultural determinism and cultural relativism and found them wanting. But they have praised Spengler's two unassailable empirical achievements. They have praised his identification of cultures as internally coherent units and been convinced by his portrayal, based upon a wealth of empirical data, of Western Faustian culture of the driving, relentless,

subjective will, Classical Apollonian culture of reason, sanity and physical reality, Arabian Magian culture of the mystical, domed, overarching cavern, Chinese culture of the endless, aimless, wandering garden, Egyptian culture of the resolute march in two dimensions down the path of death, Russian culture of the boundless, horizontal steppe without upthrust or individuality of any kind, and Indian culture of the wet monsoon with its dreamy withdrawal from the outer world. They have praised Spengler's prediction of the doom of the Faustian West and have braced themselves for the crash.

A FORGOTTEN MAN'S PHILOSOPHY

The involuntary commitment ward of a mental hospital is an exceedingly difficult place from which to escape. The doors are locked with key cards and number pads and there is an airlock system in which only one door is unlocked at a time. In the eighteenth century, a philosopher from Scotland effected a daring escape from a mental hospital called Western Culture and told civilized people who had also managed to escape and run for their lives all that he had seen and experienced as a probing, critical philosopher inside. He told them this as a warning and lesson of what happens when a culture founded upon false philosophic premises is allowed to grow and develop through the corridors of time and allowed to bear its bitter fruit. He told them this as a counsel and admonition to check the philosophic premises of their culture and sweep them away as false gods. As opposed to the philosophic premises of Classical Culture as an evil nightmare is opposed to a radiantly beautiful dream, the philosophic premises of the West are those of subjectivism and idealism, the doctrines that the objects of perception are mental in character and that the nature of reality is mental in character. These are the doctrines which animated the thought of a German astronomer and necromancer who sold his soul to the Devil and became the preeminent symbol and representative of the West: Johann Faust. A key player in the Royal Society of Edinburgh and the Scottish Enlightenment and the founder of the Scottish School of Common Sense, Thomas Reid challenged the Faustian doctrines of subjectivism and idealism and when he escaped from the Faustian madness sought to help others find their way out of the darkness. Civilized people listened attentively, listened wholly inspired and listened with gladness coursing through their hearts to all that Reid had to say. He proclaimed that a return to common sense is the only way to deal with the problems engendered by the skepticism of Descartes, Locke, Berkeley and Hume. He proclaimed that the Cartesian doctrine of ideas is a poisonous well at the base of the theory of knowledge. He proclaimed that the good sense and sound judgment of sane people affirms that when we perceive, we perceive something, which something is a world of physical objects. He proclaimed that an act of perception which is held to be of nothing more than our own ideas is an act of nonperception and is a contradiction in terms. He proclaimed that the sheer act of perception and nothing else provides immediate, irrefutable proof and demonstration that there exists a world which is independent of consciousness. He proclaimed that the philosophy of subjectivism is a philosophy of unconsciousness and has no place in the philosophy of those who are mentally stable and balanced. He proclaimed that if all we can directly and immediately perceive is just the contents of our own minds, we can achieve no certainty whatever about the nature or existence of reality. He proclaimed that the common fund of intelligence of mankind and the opinions expressed by those who possess normal mental equipment affirm that human beings acquire knowledge through the empirical observation of the external world, through the direct perception of physical objects, and are not confined to know only the contents of their own minds. He proclaimed that acceptance of the fact that we perceive physical objects is a precondition for the possibility that sane, civilized human beings can communicate and

reason with each other. He proclaimed that physical objects are the subjects of qualities and the latter cannot exist floating in space independently of things of which they are qualities. He proclaimed that objects are not qualities but are things which have qualities and objects without qualities are as impossible and inconceivable as qualities without objects. He proclaimed that the litmus test of fundamental human sanity is the acceptance of two beliefs: that when we perceive, we perceive physical objects, and physical qualities are qualities of objects and cannot exist apart from objects. Now listen to Reid describe the raging psychosis which plagues Western philosophy. Listen to him describe its rejection of the self evident existence of physical objects. Its view that the sun, the stars, the mountains and the oceans may be specters of man's imagination. Its view that the things which people of sound mind have always believed existed, the things which are perceived in the world of nature, may not in fact exist at all. Its view that there is no rational reason, proof, argument, warrant or evidence which establishes a world of existence outside of consciousness. Its view that we can be certain of nothing beyond the existence of ourselves and our own minds. Condemned by Reid, the sons of Satan, the princes of darkness, the sworn enemies of wisdom and knowledge, the false gods preaching at the altars of ignorance and folly, are the reigning monarchs of Western philosophy. Condemned by the Reid, the vandals of mental peace and security, the terrorists ranged against common sense, the malignant cancers of the human soul, are the riders of an apocalypse. They have engulfed the culture in a cloud of mental sickness and halted the progress of thought with a phantom and ghost called subjectivism: "Descartes, Malebranche and Locke have all used their talents and skill to prove the existence of a material world; and with very little success! Poor uneducated folk believe unquestioningly that there is a sun, moon and stars; an earth that we inhabit; country, friends and relations that we enjoy; land, houses and furniture that we possess. But philosophers, pitying the credulity of the vulgar, resolve not to trust anything that isn't founded on reason. These philosophers ask philosophy to supply them with reasons for believing things that all mankind have believed without being able to give any reasons for doing so. One might expect that in matters of such importance the proof would be easy; but in fact it is the most difficult thing in the world. For these three great men, with the best good will, have not been able to draw from all the treasures of philosophy one argument that is fit to convince a thinking man of the existence of anything other than himself. Admired Philosophy! Daughter of light! Parent of wisdom and knowledge! If that's what you are, then surely you haven't yet risen and started to shine on the human mind, or blessed us with more of your rays than are sufficient to cast a 'darkness visible' on the human faculties, and to disturb the peace and security enjoyed by happier people who never approached your altar or felt your influence! But if indeed you aren't able to dispel those clouds and phantoms that you have revealed or created, withdraw this skimpy and malignant ray: I despise philosophy and renounce its guidance; let my soul dwell with common sense." (*An Inquiry Into The Human Mind* by Thomas Reid). Now listen to Reid condemn Western philosophy's war against sanity and common sense. Condemn its attacks against those who affirm the validity of perception and the existence of physical objects. Condemn its chains of pseudoreasoning which purport to prove that we perceive only our own ideas but which in point of fact prove only its own defects and deformities. Condemn its rejection of philosophy as a discipline predicated upon rational judgment and mentally healthy attitudes. Condemn its campaign to dethrone the authority of plain people who are in their right mind and able to reason clearly; its campaign to conquer the territory of wisdom and knowledge upon which mankind has trod and advanced to the heavens; and its campaign to bring both under the dark rulership of the disordered and the deranged. Condemn the deniers of truth and objectivity under whose aegis the kingdom of thought has been disgraced and dishonored and Western civilization

has withered, wilted, rotted and died: "It may be observed that of all the defects and blemishes in the accepted philosophy concerning the mind, the ones that have most exposed it to the contempt and ridicule of sensible men have chiefly come from this: The adherents of this philosophy, led by a natural prejudice in her favor, have tried to extend her jurisdiction beyond its proper limits by setting her up as a judge of the dictates of common sense. But these dictates refuse to be judged in this way; they despise the trial of reasoning, and disown its authority; they don't look to reason for help or fear its attacks. In this unequal contest between common sense and philosophy the latter will always come off with both dishonor and loss; nor can she ever prosper until this rivalry is dropped, philosophy gives up encroaching on the territory of common sense, and a cordial friendship is restored; for the fact is that common sense doesn't need philosophy's permission to say what it does, nor does it need philosophy's help. On the other side (if I may be permitted to change the metaphor), philosophy's only root is the principles of commonsense; it grows out of them, and draws its nourishment from them; when it is cut off from this root its honors wither, its sap is dried up, it dies and rots. The philosophers of the recent past whom I have mentioned did not attend to preserving this union and subordination as carefully as the honor and the interests of philosophy required; but philosophers of the present time have openly waged war with common sense, and hope to make a complete conquest of it through the subtleties of philosophy—as audacious and futile as the attempt of the giants to dethrone almighty Jove!" (*An Inquiry Into The Human Mind* by Thomas Reid). Promoting the Classical, common sense philosophy of direct realism which affirms that the objects of perception are things in the world and not ideas in human minds, Thomas Reid is a buried and blotted out voice today. Banished from classrooms, textbooks and from the pages of encyclopedias and dictionaries, his philosophy is kept alive in the vanishing societies of civilized thinkers who value the standards and methods of sane thinking.