COMMON SENSE VERSUS FIELD THEORY

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. Stupor Mundi.

Copyright © 2024 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 3
COMMON SENSE VERSUS FIELD THEORY 4
RED PRIEST GATHERED THE FAITHFUL 6
GEOLOGY CRUMBLES 9
RED SCHOOLTEACHER RUNS AMOK 11
RED SCHOOL TARGETS BOY 13
GREAT TERROR PURGES TRUMP 16
JEWISH BLOOD SPILLS IN BELGIUM 18
CHILDREN IN FEAR 20
BRITAIN 1984 23

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

COMMON SENSE VERSUS FIELD THEORY

Everything flows and nothing is. No man ever steps into the same river twice. The road up and the road down are one and the same. Twenty five hundred years ago, these words were spoken by a philosopher of antiquity who acquired notoriety among colleagues. They condemned him for views which defied sanity and common sense. When Heraclitus proclaimed that reality consists of pure change without the existence of anything which changes, and hence that nothing is at it is, and A is non A, and that this ever flowing river produces the unity of opposites, he was called "the dark" and "the obscure," and held up to public shame and ridicule. Classical Culture adhered to the common sense view championed by its greatest philosopher, Aristotle, who affirmed that the world outside the mind is a world of physical objects which are as they are, and that change is certainly real but is not the primary reality. He affirmed that change presupposes the existence of objects which change, from something to something else, and that there no such thing as pure change or change as such. He affirmed that existence is noncontradiction, and that the most fundamental law of the universe is the law which says that a thing cannot both be and not be something at one and the same time, that a thing cannot be both black all over and white all over at one and the same time, and that those who deny this law cancel themselves because their denials are both true and false at one and the same time: "Clearly then it is a principle of this kind that is the most certain of all principles. Let us next state what this principle is. It is impossible for the same attribute at once to belong and not to belong to the same thing and in the same relation. This is the most certain of all principles, since it possesses the required definition, for it is impossible for anyone to suppose that the same thing both is and is not, as Heraclitus says. Is the man wrong who supposes that a thing is so or not so, and supposes both right? If he is right, what is the meaning of saying that 'such is the nature of reality'? And if he is not right, reality will at once have a definite nature, and this will be true, and not at the same time *not true*. And if all men are equally right and wrong, an exponent of this view can neither speak nor mean anything, since at the same time he says both yes and no. And if he forms no judgment, but thinks and thinks not indifferently, what difference will there be between him and the vegetables?" (Metaphysics by Aristotle, Book IV). In the twentieth century, the process theology of Heraclitus made a comeback in the domain of physics with the formulation of relativity theory, and the past one hundred years have seen the acceptance by the physics community of the view that reality is pure field and particles do not exist. The physics community also accepts quantum theory, which denies field ontology and affirms that everything is particles. Proclaiming in accordance with common sense that reality is "quantized" or is multiple and differentiated in character and consists of separate units, and that objects and objects alone exist outside the mind, quantum theory departs from common sense in its view that objects move by chance and cannot be known with any certainty to be located anywhere, because no such certainty exists in nature. The choice between relativity theory and quantum theory is the choice between a picture of reality which says that everything is field, in defiance of sanity and common sense, and a picture of reality which says that everything is particles but the particles can be located anywhere at anytime, and that if fire is brought into contact with paper enough times, at some point it will not burn the paper. The proponents of field theory have issued a program and manifesto for the rejection of sound judgment and empirical science, and the reversion of physics to the superstitions of Heraclitus. They proclaim that progressive physics rejects the existence of physical things perceived by the senses. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that a common sense object ontology fails to capture the shades and ambiguities inherent in the world, and must be replaced by an object ontology. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that a process ontology which projects existence as contradiction is the only ontology capable of reflecting the advances made by information technology. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that a common sense ontology of objects is useless for the comprehension of the advancements made by modern technology. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that there exist no particles which change, only pure

changes, forces and fields themselves. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that a process ontology which denies that anything exists or is as it is, is the only means of grasping reality. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that a process ontology which says that a thing can be both A and non A, a unity of opposites, and be both a square and a circle at one and the same time, is corroborated by all the evidence of science reaching across all scientific discipline. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that a process ontology which says that existence is both A and non A at one and the same time, and is thus indetermination and nothingness, is the basis of all true philosophy and science. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that reality is pure variation and oscillation without the existence of anything which varies or oscillates. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that there exist no definite entities, points, air temperatures or chemical compositions in the world, and the belief that there does betrays a lack of flexibility in studying reality. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that the belief in the existence of individuals is irrelevant to the course and progress of science. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that reality is pure violence, trembling, revolution, leveling and a whirling flux of rubble. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that the belief that reality is intelligible and noncontradictory is static, stagnant and mind shackling. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that there exists change over time but nothing individual which in fact changes over time. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that reality can only be grasped mystically, holistically, not in terms of individual objects, but as a single, undifferentiated one in eternal change and movement with nothing which changes or moves. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that reality is a mystical antisystem containing contradictory elements. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that reality consists of peaks and valleys of change with nothing which rises or falls. They proclaim that progressive physics is pure dynamism, interaction and interconnection with nothing which accelerates, interacts, interconnects or related to anything else. They proclaim that progressive physics affirms that reality is a continuous flowing in and flowing out with nothing which flows in or out or is bounded or limited by anything, and is pure endless insanity: "Traditionally, conceptual modeling has followed the ontology of individual substances, which suggests reality consists of independent substances, often described as objects, entities, individuals, or things. These substances possess properties or attributes and experience changes, leading to events and processes. This perspective is best represented by Bunge's ontology, which asserts that the world is composed of things that are substantial individuals. However, this perspective may limit our ability to model and capture nuances represented in our world. To continue aligning conceptual modeling with modern information technology landscape, we consider an alternative ontology. Although the traditional ontology of individual substances is useful in many situations, it may encounter limitations when dealing with today's IT [Information Technology]. Notably, a similar challenge has been identified in philosophy and the natural sciences. For example, advances in physics led to the assertion that 'there are no particles, only fields.' This conclusion was reached based on the accumulation of evidence from various scientific disciplines. A unique aspect of the ontology of fields is the notion of a field. The concept of a field is central in both modern science and philosophy, offering a valuable model for understanding reality. A field is defined as any physical or conceptual entity that displays varying values across space and time, driven by the oscillations that form and maintain these fields. By embracing the scientific notion of a field, we can conceptualize a wide range of aspects of existence. Fields provide powerful flexibility during analysis. Fields can be analyzed by studying properties at specific points within them, and also considered more broadly as an entity with global or even emergent properties in and of itself. For instance, a particular point in the sky modeled as a field might have attributes like its chemical composition. However, when considered collectively, other properties of interest emerge such as air temperature and humidity. The notion of shifting modeling perspectives from individual objects to fields may result in new conceptual modeling constructs. It could be that some properties depicted in conceptual models are only relevant as we move from the individual object focus to the

focus on fields. It is recognized that fields can change over time. At one minute, a mountain might exist. However, after a sudden and violent earthquake occurs, that very mountain might be leveled to rubble. Unfortunately, conceptual models themselves have remained somewhat static and stagnant after their creation, often leaving those who consult them wonder if they are current. The ontology of fields explicitly encourages conceptual modeling to consider how some aspects of a model might change over time. Applying field theory to conceptual modeling encourages a holistic view, considering the entire ecosystem of interactions and dynamics rather than primarily isolated components." (The Ontology Of Fields As A Foundation For Conceptual Modeling by Arturo Castellano, Jon Beard, et al). Particle physics commenced with the Greek philosopher Democritus in the sixth century BC and progressed through Isaac Newton, Roger Boscovitch, Michael Faraday and Ernest Rutherford, followed by quantum physics in the twentieth century. Since the 1950s, discoveries in particle physics have sparked the assembling of a veritable particle zoo containing a gargantuan list of subatomic particles. Every discovery of a subatomic particle has driven one more nail in the coffin of field theory. In 2012, the European Center for Nuclear Research in Geneva, Switzerland discovered the Higgs particle, the source and symbol of the existence of mass, weight and particles in the universe. The high energy particle colliders in the world today have done something which common sense accomplished over two thousand years ago. They have smashed the superstitions of field theory.

RED PRIEST GATHERED THE FAITHFUL

It is the brightest body in the sky. It is more dazzling than any star and does not twinkle, but glows and emits a steady silver light. It has many names, and for centuries, these names were thought to refer to different objects. It is called the Morning Star, the Evening Star, the Shepherd's Star and the Night Light Of The Sky. It is the planet Venus, and its different names provide a vivid illustration of the common situation in which a host of different names refers to one and the same reality. On October 22, 2024, a Catholic priest, professor and theologian died who had achieved worldwide fame and notoriety for having given an old movement a new name, and peddling it among the faithful under this new name. The old movement, gathering the diseased, delinquent and disaffected classes swimming in the sewers of the world, was Marxism, and the name Gustavo Gutierrez gave to it was liberation theology, and his 1971 book, A Theology Of Liberation, launched this Marxist movement within the church, a movement which would gain a worldwide following in and out of Catholicism. Able to see what high officials in the Catholic Church, including Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, later Pope Benedict, refused to see, refusing to see the affinity between Marxism and Christianity, an affinity in which the Church's defense of the poor appears in Marxism as a defense of the proletariat, Gutierrez issued a program and manifesto for a Christian-Marxist destruction of the traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment. Listen to the program and hear the tanks of Marxist imperialism roll into free nations and spread panic in the streets. Father Gustavo Gutierrez, Christian, Marxist and dedicated revolutionary, proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates political violence as a means to popular liberation. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates Marxist ideas in the name of social progress. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church affirms that Christian faith and Marxist faith are fundamentally the same. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church has installed the Vatican as the world headquarters of Marxist revolution. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church is a church for the poor, the victimized, the oppressed and the exploited under capitalism. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates Marxism in the name of the outcasts, the discarded and the scum of the Earth. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church includes the works of Karl Marx in the church's canon next to the Old Testament and the New Testament. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church is a communist church whose prophets are Jesus and Marx. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church supports Marxist dictators and regimes, such as the Sandinistas in Nicaragua, and justifies totalitarian tyranny in the name of

anticorruption and antireaction. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church affirms that the Christian Bible is the first edition of the Communist Manifesto. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates communism to smash the chains of capitalist poverty and deprivation. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church sends its priests, theologians, university lecturers, organizers of spiritual retreats and friends of the people on a mission to foment world socialist revolution. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates communism in the name of doing good, advancing human happiness and uplifting the poor. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates communism in every sector of society, among youth, in chess clubs, in music concerts, in cinemas, at weddings, and in the filthiest basements and beerhalls inhabited by subhumanity: "A Peruvian priest who founded liberation theology, a movement advocating an active role for the Roman Catholic Church in fighting poverty and injustice but reviled by some as Marxist, has died. Father Gustavo Gutierrez was 96 when he passed away on Tuesday in his hometown of Lima. A theologian who later became a Dominican friar, he revolutionized Church teachings with his 1971 book *Theology Of Liberation*. His progressive theories were embraced by many in his native Latin America but were also met with opposition and even disdain from more conservative voices within the Church. He drew criticism from no other than Cardinal Joseph Ratzinger, who later became Pope Benedict. The cardinal feared that liberation theology's Marxist ideas would foster rebellion and division, even labelling it as a 'fundamental threat to the faith of the Church.' Relations between the Vatican and Father Gutierrez thawed somewhat after his fellow Latin American, Jorge Mario Bergoglio, became Pope. Father Gutierrez praised Pope Francis for speaking about 'a poor Church for the poor' and in 2018, Pope Francis sent Father Gutierrez a letter for his 90th birthday thanking him 'for what you have contributed for the Church and humanity through your theological service and your preferential love for the poor and the discarded of society.' Before becoming a priest, Gustavo Gutiérrez had studied medicine and literature in Peru, philosophy and psychology in Belgium, and theology in France. During his time in Europe, he read the works of German philosopher Karl Marx. His detractors often said his emphasis on helping the poor was Marxist and decried him as a communist. Liberation theology became particularly controversial when priests who followed a radical strand of liberation theology joined revolutionary movements such as the Sandinistas in Nicaragua, who overthrew the dictatorial government of the Somoza family. But Father Gutierrez maintained that his teachings were far from revolutionary but rather squarely rooted in the Bible. He said that upon his return from Europe to Peru, he had found that the Church was often 'answering questions that weren't being asked,' implying that the Church hierarchy had become too far removed from the troubles of its parishioners, especially in deprived and poor areas. He argued that the clergy had a lot to learn from the faithful in the poorest parishes who, he said, demonstrated day after day how hope could spring amidst suffering. In his book The Hermeneutic Of Hope, he recalled how he had fought against a view prevalent among many faithful at the time that 'we are born to suffer.' 'No one is born to suffer, but to be happy,' he wrote. 'Poverty is a human construction; we have made these conditions.' Described by his parishioners as a 'humble man with a great capacity to make friends,' he combined his work as a theologian and lecturer at top universities with his work as a priest, officiating at weddings and holding retreats. Felix Grandez, a Peruvian sociologist who first met Father Gutierrez at a spiritual retreat in 1978, said the priest radiated 'a happiness which stemmed from doing good, from his dedication to the poor.' Mr Grandez told the BBC that one of the priest's gifts was to distil theology into clear messages which appealed to the young, something he said he saw Father Gutierrez do when he officiated at Mr Grandez's own wedding and again at that of his daughter. 'He was well known as a theologian but the way he connected with people was through talking about chess, traditional music, cinema, and his support for Alianza Lima football club.' Another parishioner who was married by Father Gutierrez said she felt 'immense gratitude for his life and all that he has contributed to the Church.' " (Liberation Theology Icon And Champion Of The Poor Gutierrez Dies by Vanessa Buschschluter). Marxist Father Gustavo Gutierrez proclaimed that a true Catholic Church is an organization of Marxists. He

proclaimed that a true Catholic Church affirms that Christian social teaching is in essence Marxist social teaching. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates the Marxist creed of critical theory, called such because it criticizes the liberalism, rationalism and human universalism which marked the Enlightenment, and advocates Marxist race and gender politics, in the form of black supremacy and radical feminism. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church supports left wing politicians and their crusade to dismantle modern industry in the name of reversing climate change, and confiscating the world's private wealth in the name of solving the world's social crises. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates totalitarian government in the name of advancing the common good. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates socialist slavery in the name of creating a better world. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church is in constant dialogue with Marxists in order to advance a common mission to destroy freedom everywhere. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates the imposition of the Soviet iron heel in the name of realizing the noblest dreams of mankind. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church appeals to the lowest, broadest cesspool stratum of society in its advocacy of communism. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church affirms that socialists, Marxists and Christians are in essence one and the same people. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church affirms that Christianity and the Western left are in essence one and the same movement. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates communist collectivization and the abolition of intellectual and economic freedom in the name of fighting poverty, inequality, the lash of the market, and the Devil's creed of placing profits above people. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church has installed Jesus and Marx as its official theologians, myth creators and story tellers, and advocates their ideology of universal enslavement to a deity which Marx calls Society and the Church calls God, but which in reality are one and the same thing. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church advocates the destruction of human freedom in the name of dislodging the powerful from their thrones and eliminating the degradation, enslavement and abandonment brought by capitalism. He proclaimed that a true Catholic Church is guided by a single categorical imperative, stated by Jesus, the Virgin Mary and Karl Marx. This is the imperative to storm the ramparts of free nations and place their inhabitants behind an Iron Curtain marked the duty to sacrifice for the poor: "Pope Francis met with a group of Marxists in the Vatican on Wednesday and exhorted them not to let up in their pursuit of dialogue with Christians. On Wednesday, the pontiff received a delegation from the Transversal Dialogue Project (DIALOP), a group devoted to producing a 'differentiated consensus' from Christian social teaching, Marxist critical theory, and feminism. For many years, DIALOP has 'been committed to promoting the common good through dialogue between Socialists/Marxists and Christians,' the pope said in his address, calling its endeavor 'a fine program!' 'Never lose the ability to dream!' he told them. 'Don't back off, don't give up, and don't stop dreaming of a better world.' The leaders of DIALOP credit Pope Francis as the inspiration for their project, noting that the pope met with two left wing politicians in 2014, Alexis Tsipras and Walter Baier, and discussed the environmental crisis and the worldwide social crisis. 'At the end of that audience, Pope Francis called the visitors to launch a transversal dialogue, capable of involving the broadest strata of society and above all of the youth, DIALOP's website states. 'We agreed on the need to continue the dialogue between the European left and the Christian church,' Tsipras said. 'There is a need to create an ecumenical alliance against poverty, inequalities, against the logic that markets and profits are above people.' In its 2022 position paper, DIALOP compared the words of the Virgin Mary in the Magnificat to Karl Marx's 'categorical imperative.' 'As careful readers of the Marxian tradition rightly stress, to overthrow the powerful from their throne is similar to the categorical imperative of Karl Marx, which demanded that all conditions should be reversed in which man is degraded, enslaved or abandoned,' the paper states. Little by little, the Catholic Church has moved from its position of early condemnations of socialism to a more welcoming attitude, the paper asserts. 'Probably the best comment on the change in mentality that this represented came from liberation theologian L. Boff when he stressed that the pope (Francis) has made liberation theology a full part of the official narrative of the

Church,' it declares." (*Pope Francis Praises Marxist-Christian Dialogue: 'A Fine Program'* by Thomas Williams). Carl Bloch was a nineteenth century Danish artist specializing in scenes from the life of Jesus. His most famous work is the 1877 oil painting *Sermon On The Mount*, depicting Jesus evangelizing among his disciples. Jesus prays to his Father: "Your kingdom come, your will shall done on Earth as it is in heaven." The will of God, says Jesus, the will of Society, says Marx. The first is the precursor of the second, the second is the terrifying implementation of the first, submerging the world in rivers of blood.

GEOLOGY CRUMBLES

It all began with Theophrastus. In the fourth century BC, this Greek philosopher and colleague of Aristotle wrote a book called *On Stones* and inaugurated the science of geology. In the first century AD, the Roman naturalist Pliny the Elder advanced the field with his multivolume, encyclopedia Natural History, in which he studies an extensive variety of minerals and metals. In the sixteenth century, Georgius Agricola wrote the groundbreaking book Fossils Of Nature. The eighteenth century saw the publication of On The Strata Of The Earth by Mikhail Lomonosov and Theory Of The Earth by James Hutton. The nineteenth century saw the publication of Charles Lyell's famous Principles Of Geology. The twentieth century saw the development of plate tectonics, the theory that the Earth's lithosphere is comprised of large, slow moving tectonic plates, by astrophysicist John Wilson. In the twenty first century, this venerable, illustrious 2500 hundred year old scientific study of the Earth's history, structure and substance has been struck by an earthquake. It has been reduced to rubble by an invasion of a movement which for the past one hundred years has been the number one religion of our time. It has been transformed beyond recognition by the onrushing tides of a party, a faction and a group of people working to advance their terrifying goal of overthrowing the traditions of the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, the science founded by the ancient culture whose noble epithet is Apollonian has been swept away by a movement known as Marxism and replaced with an ideological construct. In the twenty first century, Marxist academics led by Kathryn Yusoff, professor of geology at the prestigious Queen Mary University of London, have issued a program and manifesto to abolish their discipline. Listen to it and hear a declaration of war against the ideas of empiricism, objective truth and human universalism which formed the basis of geology as known and practised in Classical Culture and in the Enlightenment, and the establishment of an apriori, party political, red army faction pseudogeology in its place. The program of the new Marxist invaders proclaims that empirical geology is a system of white oppression. Empirical geology is a system of white destruction of the environment through greenhouse gas emissions. Empirical geology is a system founded on white values and forms of life. Empirical geology is a system of white colonialism. Empirical geology is a system of white destruction of indigenous lands. Empirical geology is a system of white religion and ideology. Empirical geology is a system of white materialism. Empirical geology is a system of white calculations and control. Empirical geology is a system of white power and politics. Empirical geology is a system of white expulsion of indigenous people into an underground of total deprivation: "Colonial Earth is the product of white geology: a historical regime of material power that used geologic minerals, metals, and fuels, combined with the epistemic violence of the category of the inhuman to shape regimes of value and forms of subjective life. As 'end times' frame climate change conversations, this book goes back to look at the beginnings of the field of geology as a colonial practice that created normative orders of materiality and destroyed worlds. I investigate how we might understand geology as an ideological and material infrastructure of matter and materialism that shapes subjective and planetary states. And how calculative regimes of geology organized both the temporal and political surfaces of power, and its racialized undergrounds." (Geologic Life by Kathryn Yusoff). The new Marxist invaders of geology proclaim that empirical geology is a system of racial segregation and apartheid enforced by whites. Empirical geology is a

system of indigenous genocide and enslavement. Empirical geology is a system of leasing, binding and chattelizing of blacks. Empirical geology is a system of mass impoverishment of indigenous people. Empirical geology is a system of dehumanization of blacks. Empirical geology is a system founded on white ontology. Empirical geology is a system of environmental degradation. Empirical geology is a system of white extraction of minerals, oil and gas from the ground. Empirical geology is a system of white imperialist geopower: "I argue that white geology mobilized geopower to operationalize the conquest of space across the globe and below the surface, furnishing its partitions and apartheids as distinct spatial forms. Namely, through indigenous genocide and enslavement, through the shape of colonial afterlives in convict lease and indenture, and through ongoing environmental destitution. Alongside the transformation of environments, paleontology (paleontology) named and raced persons as geologic subjects through the category of the inhuman. The designation as inhuman, used for both raced subjects and subjectless matter, diffused the violence of geology and maintained the colonial prerogative of value and its progressive scripting of extraction as a genealogical achievement of whiteness. Antagonism to white geology is also an attachment to other kinds of earths and inhuman intimacies not continually wrecked by the accumulative geologics of those practices. We can see resistant earth and ecological practices as a defining continuum of struggle against colonial forces of transformation and white geopower." (Geologic Life by Kathryn Yusoff). The new Marxist invaders of geology proclaim that empirical geology is a system of white teleology and geophysics. Empirical geology is a system of violation of the rights of indigenous tribes. Empirical geology is a system of white efforts and achievements. Empirical geology is a system of white taxonomy. Empirical geology is a system of white geography. Empirical geology is a system based on white pedagogy. Empirical geology is a system of white induced climate crisis. Empirical geology is a system of white truths and white practice: "Propelled by a material teleology of extraction and abandonment, white geology practised a secular geophysics of material determination that was justified through the paleontological narratives of race and time that literally placed peoples in different strata (in a stratigraphic bill of rights). Geologic time became the political time of race, wherein whiteness was a tautology of material achievement in time, and race can be recognized as a missing term in the stabilization of material value. The concept of race kept the separability of the human and the taxonomic carcerality of the inhuman intact (as bios and geos) through the division of matter, maintained through subjugating geographies and the spoils of extraction. In this current moment, of the climate induced crisis of materiality, in the rapid transformations of worlds, the imperative to understand colonial materialisms and their afterlives in planetary effects is crucial to both materialist pedagogies of anticolonial praxis and the epistemological shifts necessary for a different Earth." (Geologic Life by Kathryn Yusoff). The new Marxist invaders of geology proclaim that empirical geology is a system of white privilege. Empirical geology is a system of racism and impoverishment directed against indigenous people. Empirical geology is a system of white settler claims and the white will to power. Empirical geology is a system of white physical processes. Empirical geology is a system of white eugenics and white supremacy. Empirical geology is a white story of the rocks. Empirical geology is a system of white subjugation and the expulsion of indigenous tribes to the underground of social nonexistence. Empirical geology is a system of white physics and white gravity. Empirical geology is a system of white fraternity which excludes blacks. Empirical geology is a system of white violence, cruelty and brutality which can only rectified by the abolition of science and civilization: "The elevated plateaus of privilege and subterranean rifts of the racialized poor in colonial Earth are a product of historic materialisms past and present, even as the structural and spatial occupation of whiteness—as the product of white geology—becomes a multiethnic affair. Geology was the predominant medium of the instantiation of this Earth and its continued settler claims and thirst for geopower in the present. Geology explained the geophysical processes of Earth to make it epistemically available for extraction and ontologically located within the development of human and planetary time. To tell a story of the rocks is to see the past surfacing in the present: a

process of coming into view, of other earths. In this political surfacing we find the ghosts of other geologies sounding subterranean disquiets. Ghost geologies that testify to a certain disorientation, a gathering of a series of ruptures. To tell a story of rocks is to account for a eugenic materialism in which white supremacy made surfaces built on racialized undergrounds across multiple—political, geophysical, subjective—states. Thus, the history of colonial Earth is also a map of the geophysics of race, of subjugating rifts, colored Earths, racialized gravities, and Anthropocene futures. The proclamation of universal fraternity and common futures is broken again and again by the weight of racialized gravities that inflict the continued subject positioning of processing violence and the inhumanity of being processed by geologics of equivalence." (Geologic Life by Kathryn Yusoff). An earthquake is the sudden shaking of the ground caused by the passage of seismic waves through the Earth's rocks. It occurs along fault lines, zones in which rock masses move against each other and collide. It causes the following: the toppling of buildings and bridges, the rupturing of gas pipelines, the triggering of landslides, tsunamis and volcanoes, and mass deaths, injuries and fires. In the twenty first century, a philosophic earthquake has struck the science of geology. It was caused by shock waves emanating from Marxist cultural tectonics. Proclaiming that the empirical method of science is an expression of Western imperialism, and geology is equal to white supremacy and systemic racism, the waves have led to the toppling, the rupturing and the incineration of the science, and painted a portrait of mass intellectual ruination.

RED SCHOOLTEACHER RUNS AMOK

Valley View High school is a public high school located in Moreno Valley, California. Its school colors are red, white and black, and its mascots are the Eagles. It is a Performing Arts Magnet in its district, and it offers studies in band, theater, choir and dance. It offers sports teams such as soccer, swimming, football, volleyball, basketball, golf, tennis, wrestling, and track and field. It offers a variety of clubs for students to join, such as the Anime Club, the Art Club, the Eco Club, the Mental Club and the National Honor Society. It hosts a Journalism Class which is respected throughout the state, and a Yearbook Class which trains students in life skills. This seemingly all American high school has a dark side which exploded on November 6, 2024. On this day, an undercurrent present in the school, an underlying influence and stream of hysteria, shot up to the surface, spilled across a classroom, and sent the chill of fear coursing through students. On this day, one Maximiliano Perez, an Advanced Placement history teacher and president of the school's Mental Club, burst into flames and went on a rant against Donald Trump, shouting at length against Trump and the liberal democratic society Trump is seeking to preserve in some semblance against the Marxist onslaught launched against it by 68 million Americans who voted for Kamala Harris. On this day, a combustion occurred, fueled by Marxist gasoline swirling around the teachers' and administrators' offices and lounges, which disgraced the history classes and the school's Mental Club, devoted to advancing mental health and well being, Perez taught and ran, and painted one more expressionist, Edvard Munch like portrait of the Marxist Scream which is America today. Listen to the anger and hear Marxist Vengeance Weapons land in classrooms, in free societies and in Western civilization. Listen to school teacher Perez proclaim that a progressive high school is submerged in sewers of profanity with which it spells out messages of hate against Donald Trump and his agenda to make America free again. He proclaims that capitalism is a regime of concentration camps and deprivation of human rights. He proclaims that Donald Trump is the reincarnation of Adolf Hitler because he supports capitalism. He proclaims that Trump, as a supporter of capitalism, channels Hitler, lives with Hitler, quotes Hitler and is the embodiment of Hitler's ideals. He proclaims that Kamala Harris lost the election because blacks and hispanics who voted for Trump were traitors to their race, refusing to acknowledge that capitalism is a regime of racism against nonwhites, and that blacks and hispanics have Marxism flowing in their veins and coded in their genes. He proclaims that blacks and hispanics who voted for Trump are oppressors of women in their own homes, and they voted for a candidate who supports the capitalist system which is a regime of merciless

patriarchy. He proclaims that capitalism is a regime of white male privilege and oppression of women and nonwhites. He proclaims that Donald Trump, as a supporter of capitalism, is a rapist, a coward, a draft dodger and the scum of the Earth. He proclaims that Kamala Harris deserves to be president because she checks all the boxes of political correctness, and is black, female and on the political far left. He proclaims that a progressive high school prohibits students who support Donald Trump from expressing their views, and subjects them to endless volleys of vituperation and violence. He proclaims that a progressive high school hires Marxist teachers to guide and mentor students. He proclaims that a progressive high school is a politically charged Marxist environment in which students who support Donald Trump are disrespected and made to feel unwelcome and unsafe. He proclaims that capitalism is a regime of genocide against women, nonwhites, the poor and the Palestinians. He proclaims that Israel is a genocidal capitalist regime which must abolished and its inhabitants exterminated in the name of Palestinian liberation. He proclaims that a progressive school rejects academic instruction and professionalism in teaching in favor of Marxist political instruction, Marxist professional agitation and Marxist classroom pyrotechnics: "An Advanced Placement history teacher at a California high school was recorded engaging in a profanity laced antiTrump rant after Tuesday's presidential election. According to Corey De Angelis on X, Valley View High School's Maximiliano Perez told his students that 'this shit is not a fucking game' as they could 'end up in a concentration camp' and 'with no human rights' within their lifetimes. Perez did note such 'most likely [would] not' happen and was 'a good thing' right before saying the president elect has 'quoted Hitler' and 'embodies' some of the nazi leader's 'ideals.' After complaining that 'black and brown men' didn't turn up on Election Day to vote for Kamala Harris. Perez tells his class he knows 'a lot of Latino men who wish they were white'—including students' 'fathers, uncles, and grandfathers. God, they want to be white so bad but they never will be. I hate that shit. I hate Latino men that oppress the women in their family, their own daughters. And then they turn around and vote for men who want to oppress them.' Perez then turns his focus on women: 'God I fucking hate the patriarchy. If you're a young man right now in front of me, I hope you hate it too. You get the privilege of not being born with a uterus.' After exhaling loudly, Perez says 'I'm so fucking sorry, guys. You deserve better.' (presumably a reference to Trump, not himself). Continuing through the 'oppression' matrix, Perez tells his charges that they have 'a fuck ton of privilege living in this great state' because there's a 'poor Palestinian boy in Michigan that's going to get beat up today.' Perez then calls Trump 'treasonous scum' and a 'rapist, draft dodging coward,' and asks the class to ponder why people would vote for Joe Biden—a 'geriatric, old Republican racist who's running as a Democrat'—but not Kamala Harris, who has an 'effective policy for the future.' And also a 'vagina,' a 'uterus,' and 'melanin.' Perez says he 'cried for a week' after Biden dropped out and Harris took over the Democratic candidacy because he 'knew' Harris' physical attributes would be her Achilles heel—despite being 'the best candidate in the country.' 'We all deserve better,' Perez concludes. 'You know what this country is. Is our country racist? Is our country patriarchal? Can we change it? Maybe.' Ironically, Perez appears to be the faculty sponsor for the Advanced Placement Mental Club which 'focuses on mental health and social resources' for students enrolled in AP courses, and where students can 'share enjoyment in scholarship with others' and 'experience social emotional wellness firsthand.' Valley View HS Principal Chris Gilliatt sent an email to students in Perez's class thanking 'those who came forward to express their concerns' about the 'heated' postelection discussion. Gilliatt noted the school 'do[es] not condone the behavior that was exhibited in class,' and that teachers 'hold a unique and influential role in guiding students.' As such, there needs to be a 'balanced environment where all students feel safe to ask questions' and 'engage in respectful dialogue.' As of Saturday, DeAngelis also obtained an email from Moreno Valley Unified School District officials to parents noting they are aware of an incident in which a teacher behaved in an 'unprofessional' manner. It has begun an investigation, and Perez has been put on administrative leave in the interim. According to US News, Valley View HS is three quarters Hispanic, 12.4 percent black, and just over seven percent white. Seventeen percent of students are

proficient in math, with 45 percent are proficient in reading." ('This Shit Is Not A Fucking Game': Teacher Goes On Profanity Laced AntiTrump Rant In Class, College Fix, November 9, 2024). High school teacher Maximiliano Perez proclaims that a progressive school is a malarial fever swamp swirling with mad expletives directed against Donald Trump. He proclaims that a progressive high school is a fountain of fulmination against the capitalist system. He proclaims that a progressive high school is a hornet's nest of hate speech directed against while males who support liberal democracy and Donald Trump. He proclaims that a progressive high school has a policy of zero tolerance for students who support Trump and his freedom first agenda. He proclaims that a progressive high school is a factory churning out Marxists and Hitlerites who advocate race politics, class politics, and demand the people who are black, hispanic and indigenous vote for the assassination of Trump and the abolition of free societies: "A California school district was blasted for not firing the high school teacher who went viral for his profanity laced, post election rant against Donald Trump, calling the president elect a rapist and comparing him to Hitler. The Moreno Valley Unified School District board meeting on Tuesday turned chaotic when multiple parents called for the firing of AP history educator Maximiliano Perez because of his mid class meltdown on November 6. Furious parents of all backgrounds took turns during Tuesday's board meeting to call out Perez's racist remarks and the school district that has yet to fire the problematic teacher. Perez, who also called the 45th and future 47th president a 'rapist, draft dodging coward,' was placed on administrative leave as the school district investigated his rant. It is time that the school district stop tolerating hate speech in class, there should be zero tolerance for hate speech,' one mother argued according to video shared online by education activist Corey DeAngelis. 'To say that a hispanic man wants to be white. I'm offended. Yo soy Mexicana. I am Chicana. I am indigenous, and my family voted for Trump' The teacher should be FIRED You are put on notice,' she said." (California School Parents Demand District Fire Teacher After Viral AntiTrump Rant At Students by Nicholas McEntyre). "One evening I was walking along a path, the city was on one side and the fjord below. I felt tired and ill. I stopped and looked out over the fjord: the sun was setting, and the clouds were turning blood red. I sensed a scream passing through nature. It seemed to me that I actually heard the scream. I painted this picture, painted the clouds as actual blood. The color shrieked. This became *The Scream*. "Thus speaks world famous Norwegian artist Edvard Munch in his diary entry titled Nice 22 January 1892. The shrieks, the screams and the blood red clouds have leaped out of Munch's iconic canvas and landed in the twenty first century, in Moreno Valley, California, in the Valley View High School, in the person of schoolteacher Maximiliano Perez, in a piercing cry of Marxist mental collapse and breakdown.

RED SCHOOL TARGETS BOY

Zero tolerance is a policy in schools of strict enforcement of rules against behavior deemed undesirable. Such behavior has traditionally included physical altercations and the possession or use of illegal drugs or weapons. Under the policy, students in possession of the banned item or engaging in the banned behavior are punished with suspension or expulsion and are not allowed to plead mitigating circumstances. Zero tolerance is enforced in public schools across America and commenced in 1994 with the passage of the Gun Free Schools Act by Congress. This act made federal funding of public schools conditional upon the adoption of zero tolerance for student gun possession. In America in the twenty first century, the policy of zero tolerance has been expanded to the realm of thought and speech and become something its proponents never envisioned. Under the pressure of a movement supported by 68 million Americans who voted for Kamala Harris, the policy has become an instrument of ideological lockstep enforcing the multifarious party lines of Marxism. Submerged by onrushing tides of the number one religion of our time, America's public schools have established a zero tolerance policy against students who violate the tenets of political correctness and tell the truth. Placed under the iron heel of gender, race and class politics, America's public schools have prohibited students from challenging a prevailing orthodoxy which has declared

war on free societies as it has sought to roll back the traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment. These traditions are the belief in reason, science, sanity, common sense and individual rights, the defining beliefs of civilized societies, and in March 2023, at the John T Nichols Middle School in Middleborough, Massachusetts, a seventh grade student named Liam Morrison became a target of this orthodoxy. This eleven year old student had committed a grave offense in the eyes of the orthodoxy and was repeatedly expelled from school in consequence of this. His offense was to uphold the beliefs of the Enlightenment and expose the insanity of the twenty first century Marxist creed of transgenderism. His crime was to challenge the Marxist program for the destruction of science and education, and he paid for his crime with the loss of his school career. Listen to the program and hear the explosions of a Marxist apocalypse bury civilization. The program proclaims that a progressive school enforces Marxist political ideology on students and expels those who challenge it. It proclaims that a progressive school enforces the religion of transgenderism and brooks no dissent. According to this religion, the scientifically established existence of DNA containing XX and XY sex chromosomes possesses no meaning or importance, because it is a progressive Marxist article of faith that human gender is not fixed or immutable but can be changed by human action or belief. Thus a person who feels he or she is a member of the opposite sex is in fact a member of the opposite sex, and must be granted legal recognition as a member of the opposite sex. The program of today's Marxists, enforced in Liam Morrison's school, proclaims that a progressive society expels students who wear T shirts affirming the biological truths that gender is a product of genes and cannot be changed. It proclaims that the terms male biological gender and female biological gender have no relation to human reality and must be prohibited. It proclaims that if a male has an internal sense of being female, and a female has an internal sense of being male, then these individuals are in fact and in reality the gender they sense themselves to be. It proclaims that if a person has an internal sense of being both male and female at one and the same time, or being neither male nor female, then he or she is that contradiction or is no gender at all. It proclaims that gender is determined by behavioral, cultural and psychological traits and has no basis in scientifically established biological reality. It proclaims that gender is not a medical, biological or genetically determined reality but is the product of will and desire. It proclaims that students who publicly oppose transgenderism are invading the rights of transgender students not to be exposed to truth. It proclaims that students who publicly oppose transgenderism are demeaning transgender students by exposing them to truth. It proclaims that students who publicly oppose transgenderism are poisoning the educational atmosphere with truth and are having a negative psychological impact on transgender students who cannot cope with biological realities. It proclaims that students who publicly oppose transgenderism are creating a school sick with the disease of empirical, scientific truth. It proclaims that a progressive school possesses unlimited censorship powers over antiMarxist speech. It proclaims that a progressive school allows transgender students to exercise the heckler's veto over speech which upholds biological realities. It proclaims that students lose their free speech rights when they enter school and school authorities have a right to suppress speech which upholds biological realities because of the negative reactions it provokes among transgender students. It proclaims that students must parrot their schools' promotion of transgenderism and may not express views or send out messages controverting it. It proclaims that a progressive school is festooned with posters and pride events promoting transgenderism and silences all speech hostile to such which affirms the existence of genes. It proclaims that a progressive school establishes a policy of viewpoint discrimination in which unpopular viewpoints, those at variance with official religions such as transgenderism, are banned, and students are taught they have no right to speak the truth and no right of free speech, and have a right only to repeat the words laid down by the establishment: "A coalition of many groups, organizations and states is asking the US Supreme Court to overturn a school's decision to apply its own political ideology to students' speech, and censor by ejecting from class those with other perspectives. It's all over a student who wore a T shirt stating There are only two genders, and was

tossed from his school. Then he tried to wear one that said *There are CENSORED genders*, and he got the same result. The fight revolves around the ideology that has become prominent under the Joe Biden-Kamala Harris administration's activism for the LGBT lifestyle choices that focuses on the simple definitions of words. Sex and gender, according to Merriam-Webster, for some 500 years referred to biology, 'Phrases like the male sex and the female sex were commonly understood to mean those with DNA containing XX or XY chromosomes. Gender, now, according to progressives and other leftists, can mean 'a person's internal sense of being male, female, some combination of male and female, or neither male nor female,' the dictionary alleges. Sex often now is used to refer to biology while gender means 'behavioral, cultural, and psychological traits,' it says. 'But in nonmedical and nontechnical contexts, there is no clear delineation, and the status of the words remains complicated,' it explains. 'Usage of sex and gender is by no means settled.' Then came student LM, Liam Morrison, and his shirts. One of the supporting briefs, filed by the Foundation for Individual Rights and Expression, pointed out that the lower courts couldn't even agree on why they were attacking the student's rights. 'The district court denied LM's request for a preliminary injunction and later entered final judgment against him, reasoning that the shirt constituted an impermissible 'invasion of the rights of others.' The First Circuit affirmed on alternative grounds, adopting a novel test that would allow schools to censor speech that neither targets nor harasses a specific student,' the brief charges. That precedent, FIRE said, allows schools to target 'passive, silently expressed speech that targets no student in particular if the student's expression (1) is reasonably interpreted to demean one of those characteristics of personal identity, given the common understanding that such characteristics are unalterable or otherwise deeply rooted and that demeaning them strikes a person at the core of his being, and (2) the demeaning message is reasonably forecasted to poison the educational atmosphere due to its serious negative psychological impact on students with the demeaned characteristic and thereby lead to symptoms of a sick school-symptoms therefore of substantial disruption.' Essentially, such a ruling would allow virtually unlimited censorship based on the reaction of others to the speech involved, long described as a 'heckler's veto' which has been disapproved at the Supreme Court level. The ADF, representing the student, applauded the support for the student from education experts, free speech advocates, and 18 states. It explained, 'In June, the US Court of Appeals for the 1st Circuit affirmed the school's decision, prompting Alliance Defending Freedom attorneys, who represent the student, to ask the high court to review the case and rule that Nichols Middle School in Middleborough violated the First Amendment when it stopped the student from wearing his shirts to school.' 'Students don't lose their free speech rights the moment they walk into a school building,' said ADF lawyer David Cortman. 'This case isn't about T-shirts; it's about a public school telling a middle schooler that he isn't allowed to express a view that differs from their own. The school actively promotes its view about gender through posters and Pride events, and it encourages students to wear clothing with messages on the same topic—so long as that clothing expresses the school's preferred views on the subject. Our legal system is built on the truth that the government cannot silence any speaker just because it disapproves of what they say. We appreciate the many states and organizations that have joined us in urging the Supreme Court to take this critical free speech case.' " (T Shirt With Factual Message Gets Boy Suspended: Supreme Court Now Involved by Bob Unruh). As a result of zero tolerance policies, public schools across America have utilized law enforcement authorities to assist in the task of disciplining students. Students caught in possession of illegal drugs or weapons are turned over to the police and courts. Public schools across America have adopted the nation's official creed of Marxism, and assaulted students from all directions with pride flags and diversity posters celebrating transgenderism. Proclaiming that the feelings of transgender students override the right of free speech of the students dissenting from transgenderism, and condemning those who state biological truths as purveyors of hate speech, today's schools have cancelled the Enlightenment within their halls and expelled education.

GREAT TERROR PURGES TRUMP

Crimes against humanity: Murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, and other inhumane acts done against any civilian population, or persecutions on political, racial, or religious grounds, when such acts are done or such persecutions are carried on in execution of or in connection with any crime against peace or any war crime. (Nuremberg Principles, International Law Commission of the United Nations). Crimes against humanity: Persecution against any identifiable group or collectivity on political, racial, national, ethnic, cultural, religious, gender or other grounds that are universally recognized as impermissible under international law, in connection with any act referred to in this paragraph [murder, extermination, enslavement, deportation, imprisonment, torture, sexual violence, apartheid, and other inhumane acts] or any crime within the jurisdiction of the Court. (Rome Statute, International Criminal Court, Article 7.11). Political persecution has been outlawed by civilized societies since immemorial, yet keeps erupting like a dormant volcano whenever mob pressures build up and ideologies of control are voted into power. The twentieth century saw the most notorious acts of political persecution in history, as millions of innocent people condemned as enemies of the class or enemies of the race were killed by Marxist regimes of one form or another, by the voices of Marxist internationalism in the Communist Bloc, and Marxist derived national socialism, in Hitler's Germany. In the twenty first century, the avatars of political persecution have continued their campaigns on new shores, and struck the world's former lighthouse of liberty. Voted into power in landslides at local, state and federal levels, and given coast to coast standing ovations by the 68 million people who voted for Kamala Harris, Marxist persecution has set up shop in America and targeted for destruction the national leader of the antiMarxist opposition. In the twenty first century, the movement of gender, race and class politics, controlling every aspect of American society, has placed within its crosshairs President elect Donald Trump, whose agenda to make America great again by making it free again represents a nationwide backlash against the prevailing orthodoxy. Proclaiming that liberal democratic Enlightenment values are white, male, capitalist constructs and that a progressive society is a tribal society governed by radical feminists, black power activists, indigenous rights groups, Islamic terrorists and proletarian tub thumpers, the prevailing orthodoxy has convened a court of political persecution and convicted Donald Trump. Listen to the court's judgment and hear the end of the rule of law in America. The court proclaims that a progressive America launches aggressive, unprincipled, illegal lawfare against Donald Trump. It proclaims that a progressive America seeks to jail Trump on the basis of politically motivated convictions. It proclaims that a progressive America engages in unconstitutional prosecutions of Trump. It proclaims that a progressive America deprives Trump of his Sixth Amendment right to be informed of the charges leveled against him. It proclaims that a progressive America charges Trump with unnamed and specified felonies. It proclaims that a progressive America seeks to convict Trump of federal law violations on the basis of acts which the federal government, through the Department of Justice and the Federal Elections Commission, has itself ruled to be legal. It proclaims that a progressive America seeks to convict Trump on the basis of state interpretations of federal law, thus violating the Supremacy Clause of the Constitution. It proclaims that a progressive America seeks to convict Trump at a trial at which he is prevented from calling witnesses in his favor, witnesses from the federal government who would testify that he did not violate federal laws. It proclaims that a progressive America seeks to convict Trump at a trial presided over by a judge who gives campaign contributions to the Democrat Party, whose presence thus violates Trump's Fourteenth Amendment Due Process right to receive a fair and impartial trial. It proclaims that a progressive America seeks to convict Trump on the basis of a judge's illegal instructions to the jury that it can convict Trump of any crime or any number of crimes it desires, crimes specified beforehand or not, in violation of the Sixth Amendment, and that its verdicts on those crimes do not have to be unanimous, in violation of the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment. It proclaims that a progressive America is a banana republic and a Soviet style nightmare which weaponizes law enforcement and criminalizes the antiMarxist opposition led by

Donald Trump. It proclaims that a progressive America holds trials which travesty justice in their pursuit of Donald Trump as it opens up jail cells in which to confine him for life: "President elect Donald Trump moved one step closer to beating Alvin Bragg's lawfare against him on Tuesday as Justice Juan Merchan rescheduled ruling on motions to set aside the politically motivated New York convictions, but it could also be an attempt to trap the president in this unconstitutional prosecution. Bragg's prosecution of former President and current President elect Trump has been plagued by a host of constitutional violations, beginning with his indictment. The Constitution's Sixth Amendment gives every defendant the right to be adequately 'informed of the nature of and cause of the accusation' against him. Yet Bragg's indictment alleged that Trump committed a felony by falsifying business records with the 'intent to commit another crime or to aid or conceal the commission thereof but never specified what that crime was. That violates the Sixth Amendment, as recognized by the US Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, which includes New York. At the end of Trump's trial, Merchan and Bragg revealed that the second crime was a New York law to 'promote or prevent the election of any person to a public office by unlawful means.' But those jury instructions never specified the 'unlawful means.' In other words, the second crime now depends on a third crime. But then Merchan's jury instructions go on to hint at that third crime: violating the Federal Election Campaign Act (FECA). Specifically, Bragg alleges that Trump made a payment as part of a nondisclosure agreement (NDA) to keep quiet an alleged interlude that the president steadfastly denies, with Merchan instructing the jury that they can consider that an illegal federal campaign expense. But that too would be unconstitutional. NDAs are not FECA violations under federal law. Only the US Department of Justice (DOJ) or the Federal Elections Commission (FEC) can say otherwise, and they have done the opposite. A county prosecutor in charge of enforcing New York state law cannot create a new federal crime by interpreting federal law differently from the federal government. That violates the Supremacy Clause of the US Constitution. Merchan then committed another error that would lead to the reversal of Trump's prosecution on appeal by not allowing former FEC Chairman Brad Smith to testify at the trial to explain to the jury that NDA payments are not illegal campaign expenses, and therefore that even if all the factual allegations were true, it would not violate federal law. But Merchan's presiding over this case is also unconstitutional, violating due process. Merchan gave political contributions to opponents of President elect Trump, specifically the Democratic Party and Joe Biden. Federal judges never give such contributions, and if they did, they would be required to recuse from a matter. That is because the Constitution entitles every defendant to a judge who is fair and impartial, so it violates the Fourteenth Amendment Due Process Clause for a state judge to preside over the prosecution of a politician where he has donated money to that politician's opponent. Merchan then violated the Constitution yet again by giving the jury multiple crimes to choose from for this 'unlawful means' aspect of the case. He said each juror could look at a menu of options, and so long as the juror found one crime he liked, he could vote to convict Trump—which resulted in 34 felony counts. But when a person is charged with a felony, the Sixth Amendment commands that a 12 person jury must unanimously vote to convict the defendant of that crime. Merchan's choose your own adventure instruction saying the jurors could disagree about what the crime is yet still convict Trump is a shocking violation of his constitutional rights. President elect Trump's lawyers are asserting additional legal grounds for reversal as well, but you get the point. This was a thoroughly illegal prosecution from the very beginning, weaponizing law enforcement and the court system in Banana Republic style lawfare to criminalize political opposition. For all those reasons, if Merchan refused to set aside the convictions, many people have rightly been waiting to get this case out of Merchan's courtroom so Trump's convictions could be thrown out on appeal. Then came the watershed Supreme Court decision in Trump v. United States on July 1 regarding presidential immunity. Although the court decision merely made official something that constitutional scholars have always believe existed—and the left has melted down over it, gaslighting voters with ridiculous lies about the court's decision—it became a new reason to throw out Trump's convictions." (Juan

Merchan Delays Ruling On Trump Prosecution, Either Backing Down Or Setting Trap by Ken Klukowski). For seven decades beginning in the 1920s, Leninist and Stalinist Russia, Maoist China, Pol Pot's Cambodia and the remainder of the Communist Bloc embarked on campaign of mass killing which saw the deaths of 100 million people in a holocaust against "enemies of the class." During World War II, Hitler's Germany killed two out of three Jews in Europe in a holocaust which saw mass shootings, mass gassings and specially designed killing centers in a campaign to exterminate "enemies of the race." In the twenty first century, the terrorists of the last century have assumed control over America and weaponized the state, its police, prosecutors and courts. Their target is the national leader of those opposed to the prevailing gender, race and class politics, to the terror spread by the new Marxists and Hitlerites, shouting out proletarian power, black power, feminist power, transgender power, gay and lesbian power, indigenous nations power and Palestinian power. Their target is Donald Trump.

JEWISH BLOOD SPILLS IN BELGIUM

In Flanders fields the poppies blow/Between the crosses, row on row,/That mark our place; and in the sky/The larks, still bravely singing, fly/Scarce heard amid the guns below./We are the Dead./Short days ago/We lived, felt dawn, saw sunset glow,/Loved and were loved, and now we lie,/In Flanders fields./Take up our quarrel with the foe:/To you from failing hands we throw/The torch; be yours to hold it high./If you break faith with us who die/We shall not sleep, though poppies grow/In Flanders fields. Time: 1914 to 1918. Place: Waregem, West Flanders, Belgium. In this Western Front of the Allies against Germany, a million soldiers from fifty nations are wounded, missing or killed in action in defense of freedom. Cities and villages fall in defense of freedom, and their populations scatter across Europe. In Flanders Fields, written by John McCrae on June 8, 1915, commemorates the resistance of free nations against a dangerous enemy. A century after the guns of freedom fired at Germany in this world historical theater of battle, the enemies of freedom are back in business in the same land, bearing new flags and new colors. They are back in business under the flags and colors of an enemy from the East, let into the gates of Belgium, let into the land which saw untold millions join the dead as heroes and martyrs, by an enemy within. The enemy within is Marxism, which now controls Belgium as it controls nations throughout the West. The enemy without is Islam, which Marxism has made an ally in its crusade to overthrow liberal societies and roll back the achievements of the Enlightenment. In the twenty first century, Belgium, the land devastated a century ago in the West's first global conflict against the enemies of freedom, has disgraced itself by allowing within its precincts a new enemy which seeks to crush freedom on the anvils of religious intolerance. In the twenty first century, Belgium, whose ground churned and trembled before the guns of the Great War fought for the cause of freedom, has surrendered to Islam. In the twenty first century, Belgium has become a killing field whose victims are Jews, targets of Muslim and Marxist hatred, a new theater of war which has continued Hitler's holocaust. The ancestors of the today's executioners worked closely with Hitler during World War II, and led by the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem, Haj Amin al-Husseini, they helped Hitler carry out the holocaust. In the twenty first century, Muslims are back in business and in control of Belgium, and assisted now by Marxists, they have launched a second holocaust against the Jews. Listen to their program of mass murder and hear the sounds of treason committed by the Marxist establishment which has given Muslims the keys to the Belgian kingdom. Belgium's Muslims proclaim that a just society is a society which hunts down Jews. They proclaim that a just society is a virulently antisemitic society. They proclaim that a just society is a Hamas society which attacks Jews in public places. They proclaim that a just society calls for violence against Jewish homes and neighborhoods. They proclaim that a just society mass produces the red crescent flags and black balaclavas of Muslim terror against Jews. They proclaim that a just society organizes violence against Jews via social media posts. They proclaim that a just society unleashes hoodlums to attack and beat Jews while shouting Free Palestine! They proclaim that a just society sanctions hate crimes against Jews. They proclaim that a just society is a Muslim terror service targeting Jews. They proclaim that a just society gives pride of place to Muslim Jew hatred and the Muslim goal to destroy liberal civilization: "Antwerp police arrested five people as part of proactive operations against a suspected 'Jew hunt' following calls to action on social media, according to *De Morgen*. A police spokesperson told *De Morgen* that five people had been put under administrative arrest on Sunday, a special form of arrest used in Belgium as a preventative measure rather than as part of a criminal investigation, which can last up to 12 hours. All five were released from administrative arrest that night in accordance with Belgian law. Belgium was not immune from the global rise in antisemitism stemming from Hamas's October 7 attacks. City authorities recorded over 90 reports of antisemitism in the first two months of the war, according to a Sky News report. In the same report, residents highlighted that they were much more visible as the majority of the community is haredi (ultraOrthodox). They proclaim that a just society organizes pogroms against Jews. After the Amsterdam pogrom, calls began to appear on social media from Thursday to Friday for local youth to organize a *jodenjacht* (Jew hunt). The calls pushed the youth to target Antwerp's Jewish Quarter, specifically meeting at Harmoniepark, just south of the city's Jewish Quarter. In order to avoid frightening the locals, police did not publicize the calls for violence in the neighborhood, according to The Brussels Times. Police visibly patrolled the area on Sunday night, even going as far as launching a police drone to monitor the area. Police checked groups behaving suspiciously. Anyone found in possession of flags, balaclavas, or other material that could point to imminent action risked an administrative arrest. The same applied to those who did not respond to police requests to leave the area. The spokesperson also said that there were several minors among those arrested. Police said that there were no incidents recorded on Sunday. Police also identified the social media posts as belonging to a 17 year old, who was detained and questioned. He was released after questioning. Shmira, the local Jewish security patrol, was also active on Sunday with about 20 officers deployed, according to De Morgen. Recent posts on social media have raised the fear of attacks on Jewish residents of Antwerp; however, De Morgen found that these posts largely date to before last week's pogrom. In one video, a young man can be seen being attacked and beaten as his attackers shout, Free Palestine! His mother told local media that the attackers were lying in wait for him. In another video, a Jewish man cycling through central Antwerp was attacked. Antwerp Police confirmed they were investigating this incident. Police said that at this time, no charges had been filed and encouraged anyone who was a victim of a hate crime to come forward and report it. Outgoing Justice Minister Paul Van Tigchelt tried to reassure the Jewish community following the Amsterdam pogrom in a meeting on Sunday. He told them that the Belgian antiterror service was working to stop copycat attacks. 'Can this happen in Belgium? The honest answer is: Why wouldn't it happen here? We have to be realistic about that.' Belgian PM Alexander De Croo also spoke out against the violence, saying, 'There is no place for antisemitism in Europe.' " (Antwerp Police Arrest Five, As Fears Of A 'Jew Hunt' Rise Following Calls To Action On Social Media by Yuval Barnea). Belgium's Muslims proclaim that a just society has no desire to protect the rights of its Jewish inhabitants. They proclaim that a just society is a proPalestinian society seeking to abolish Israel. They proclaim that a just society has dissolved its borders for Muslims and encourages Muslim mass migration from across the world. They proclaim that a just society has a Muslim majority and takes away the rights of nonMuslim minorities. They proclaim that a just society is dotted with missile silos launching terror attacks against Jews. They proclaim that a just society prides itself in being an unsafe place for Jews. They proclaim that a just society is a round the clock, mass public riot and demonstration against Israel and in favor of Palestine. They proclaim that a just society is a genocidal society which seeks to eradicate every Jew in Israel from the river to the sea. They proclaim that a just society is a Muslim territory with Muslim masters and a Muslim war theater targeting Jews for extermination: "Belgium will play its home match against Israel in Budapest, after Belgian cities said they lacked the willingness or capacity to ensure adequate security for the Israeli team. City leaders in Belgium said organizing the game at home

for the UEFA Nations League fixture would be politically sensitive and require unusual security measures. Belgium's Red Devil national team instead will travel to Hungary, to play Israel in Budapest's Boszik Arena, reports Belgian daily Het Laatste Nieuws. This stadium, which opened in 2021, seats 9,000 people. The September 6 was originally scheduled to take place in Brussels's Stade Roi Baudouin (where the Belgian Football Federation's official website still lists this fixture taking place). In late June, Brussels mayor Philippe Close and first alderman for climate and sports Benoit Hellings said their city could not host the game, citing heightened risks and serious security concerns. The city officials said they did not want to take risks, amid the ongoing Gaza conflict and strong proPalestinian sentiment within the Brussels' migrant population. On June 19, Hellings said 'given the chance of riots, in the stadium itself, in the immediate vicinity and in the city center, we cannot take the risk of endangering the safety of our fellow citizens.' The match posed 'a disproportionate risk,' even if played behind closed doors, said Hellings. Brussels has witnessed frequent public demonstrations in support of Palestine and against Israel. The city has permitted protests by organisations such as Samidoun, which often defends Hamas, and the chant 'from the river to the sea' is frequently heard in Europe's capital. Some observers argued Hungary's choice was ironic, after the country received criticism for not opening its borders to migrants from majority Muslim countries. Now, they say, Hungary appeared to offer Europe's only safe location to organize a football game against Israel, without threat of terror or attacks. 'Outside of a war situation, this is the first time, to my knowledge, that a European country has officially recognized that it is no longer master of its territory, due to an overly pressing Arab-Muslim presence,' said French political activist Damien Rieu." (Belgium-Israel Football Match To Be Played In Hungary For Security Reasons by Carl Deconinck). Time: 1914 to 1918. Place: Waregem, West Flanders, Belgium. Flanders Fields form a no man's land ablaze with scarlet poppies. They had never grown so abundantly before in the history of the region. The war made them flourish. The bombs dropped plowed the soil and brought poppy seeds to the surface. The seeds were fertilized by nitrogen in the bombs, by lime from the rubble of the buildings, and by the blood and bones of millions of soldiers. The poppy became a flower of remembrance throughout the world of the brave who die in defense of freedom. In the twenty first century, Belgium is engulfed in a conflagration of poppies. This fire of flowers is fueled by the blood and bones of Jews. They were felled by onrushing tides of Muslims given aid and comfort by the Marxist enemy within.

CHILDREN IN FEAR

Developmental psychology is the scientific study of how people grow, adapt and change throughout the course of their lives. The field was originally concerned with the development of infants and children, and an important part of the field to this day is the study of the things which make children afraid. Developmental psychologists have compiled lists of children's fears. These include darkness, monsters, snakes, bedtime, nightmares, thunderstorms, fireworks, social rejection and doing poorly in school. In the twenty first century, another object has been added to this list, and the addition forms an indictment of the age. This object frightens children more than the mythical bogeymen of yesteryear and has sent them running for their lives. It is an object present in today's schools which has transformed classrooms into scenes of student discomfort, revulsion, panic and terror presided over by demons masquerading in human form. These creatures have mental claws, talons, hooves and sharp teeth and seek to program children's minds. The leading objects of children's fear today are schoolteachers engaged in Marxist indoctrination, and they have disgraced their learning spaces and the profession as they have shown just how sick the West is. Civilization today is so sick that the cancer of Marxist ideas has spread into educational institutions and reduced them to ideological echo chambers in which students are assaulted with pseudoinformation reinforcing the prevailing orthodoxy, and transmitted with the intent to distort their perspectives. Students react to this invasion by fear at the prospect of their minds being mutilated beyond recognition. They react to this mental danger by feelings of extreme pain and launch protests, walkouts and strikes, as they record their teachers' tirades on their smartphones and seek to get out and move to a higher ground before the onrushing flood of propaganda. Students behold these enemies of education who seek to cripple and deform them, and their single, overriding thought is how to save themselves. Across the West, schoolteachers are rejecting professional standards in favor of political indoctrination and lengthy shouting for the cause of far left politics. Item. Today's schoolteachers condemn Donald Trump as a rapist, child molester and danger to women everywhere because he supports liberal democracy, opposes gender, race and class politics, and has an agenda to make America great again by making it free again: "'Just do the warm up. I'm pissed,' he said [Chino High School English teacher]. 'People voting for a freaking rapist and I'm pissed off. I don't care, fire the hell out of me. I'm fighting for my daughter, my nieces, their rights.' Students said the English teacher launched the tirade after one of their classmates were a Trump hat to class and refused to take it off. The Chino Valley Unified School District placed him on leave after a recording of the rant surfaced. Witnesses said the teacher called security and sent the student to the principal's office. 'A child molester, huh? Vote for that freaking rapist,' he said. 'I have a daughter, three nieces and he'd rape them, and people are voting for him. Christians are voting for him—bunch of losers, fake Christians.' " (Southern California Teacher Placed On Leave After AntiTrump Rant In Front Of Students by Jeff Nguyen). Item. Today's schoolteachers condemn Donald Trump as a rapist, draft dodger, Hitlerite and coward who will deprive people of their human rights due to his role as the voice of American constitutionalism and the national leader of the freedom opposition. Today's schoolteachers use profanities and vulgarities to promote Marxist race politics, and proclaim that blacks and hispanics are genetic Marxists and those who vote for Trump are betrayers of their own race and instruments of the white man's capitalist system: "Supporters and critics of a Moreno Valley history teacher who was placed on leave after a profane antiTrump rant in class clashed over his fate at Tuesday's Moreno Valley Unified District board meeting. The Valley View High School teacher called the president elect a 'rapist draft dodging coward' during a lecture for his AP history students on November 6. He told them Black and Latino voters didn't support Kamala Harris because she has a 'vagina and uterus,' and claimed that Latino voters who support Trump want to be white. The teacher has been placed on administrative leave while the incident is under investigation, according to a Moreno Valley Unified School District spokesperson. In a recording of his lecture that was shared by a conservative commentator on X, Perez asked his students pointed questions about a second Trump presidency, but did not seem to invite a discourse as he railed against the once and future president. 'Can you end up with no human rights? Yes. Will it happen to you? Most likely not. Which is a good thing, but has Donald Trump quoted Hitler? Yes. Does he embody some of Hitler's ideas? Yes,' he told his students in the video. Several parents and other adults in the Moreno Valley community said that Perez's inappropriate and racially charged outburst merits serious disciplinary action. 'I'm here to complain about the teacher that had the vulgar political language,' said Oscar Avila. 'I say you strip him, he can't work with schools anymore, remove him of his duties.' As a Latino, Avila said he was especially upset by Perez's recorded comments telling Latino students that a lot of their fathers, uncles, and grandfathers 'want to be white.' " (Moreno Valley Unified Teacher's Fate Uncertain Following AntiTrump Rant In Classroom by Nathan Solis and Clara Harter). Item. Today's schoolteachers utilize taxpayers' class time to condemn Donald Trump's economic policies on the grounds that they value individual rights and the American tradition of limited government. They proclaim that news outlets which focus on fairness and accuracy and report facts without favor or partisanship should not be believed because they are biased against the people. They proclaim that Fox News should not be trusted because it is not a left wing news site. They proclaim that students' parents who support Trump should not be trusted to hold correct viewpoints or give truthful information, and students should consult their left wing teachers to gain knowledge and enlightenment. They proclaim that people should be allowed to defame Trump by calling him an assassin and concocting statements he never made about Kamala Harris. They condemn Trump because he rejects transgenderism and upholds the empirically observed existence of sex chromosomes, establishing that gender is fixed, inherent and immutable. They condemn Trump because he rejects the policy of allowing prison inmates who pretend they are members of the opposite sex to receive surgical and chemical treatment at taxpayers' expense. They deny that massive government spending, massive government printing of money and massive reductions in interest rates create inflation by increasing consumer spending, and proclaim that Joe Biden's policies of massive government spending, massive government printing of money and massive reductions in interest rates had no bearing on America's skyrocketing, Weimar style cost of living increases. They proclaim that mass unvetted migration allowing in the scum of the Earth is a positive development for America and should be supported by all progressive students. They proclaim that a progressive school rejects instruction in reading and writing in favor of far left political brainwashing, including the promotion of gay politics, lesbian politics and transgender politics. They proclaim that a progressive school does not teach children academic subjects but programs them with the dogmas of black supremacy, radical feminism, climate doomsday, lesbiangay-transgender politics, and dogmas exposing oppressive character of liberal Enlightenment civilization: "A video taken by an 11 year old student inside of a sixth grade classroom at Lied STEM Academy in Clark County, reveals an English Language Arts teacher launching into an antiTrump tirade in front of her students. The video was taken by her student using a district issued laptop on November 4th, the eve of Election Day. The teacher, Ms Courtney Lichtenwalner decides to use valuable class time challenging her students to fact check President Donald Trump's 'trickle down' tax policies and economic policies by using Google and the White House website. She demands that the students understand that Fox News is a biased source. When one of the students claims that her mom is a source, Lichtenwalner says, 'I would never lie to you. I would never not give you facts,' tacitly implying that this child's mother would lie to them or not give them facts. Lichtenwalner then falsely claims that President Trump called for the assassination of Liz Cheney because 'she doesn't agree with him': '[Trump] also said there should be seven barrels of a gun shot at Liz Cheney, a Republican senator, because she doesn't agree with him.' She then mentions abortion, claiming that Trump said that 'Kamala wants to kill babies.' Then, without knowing all the details of a tragic story involving a pregnant Texas woman suffering a miscarriage, Lichtenwalner implies that Trump's policies are to blame for her untimely and unfortunate death related to sepsis. She then rambles on about transgender prisoners receiving surgery. She also gives 'two thumbs up' to former President Barack Obama and President Joe Biden's economic policies and notes that Trump was riding in on Obama's coattails and mentions the increased national debt under Trump. She conveniently leaves out the massive government spending under Biden-Harris that caused inflation and the increase in the national debt. Did Ms Lichtenwalner mention quantitative easing, the Federal Reserve, inflationary policies, or offer the revised reports by the Bureau of Labor and Statistics to fact check herself? No. She didn't. Does Ms Lichtenwalner have a degree in economics? No, she doesn't." (Clark County School Teacher Launches AntiTrump Tirade In 6th Grade Classroom by Megan Barth). Computer programming is the composition of sequences of instructions computers follow to perform tasks. These sequences, programs or algorithms are written in special languages computers can read, called codes. Computer programmers must be experts in logical reasoning, computer languages and the real world domains for which they write programs. Rejecting the Enlightenment world of reason, science, truth and freedom, and peddling multiplying Marxist tribal religions of gender, race, class and sexual orientation, today's schoolteachers are proficient programmers of young minds. Their expertise covers the language of force and violence, the communication of hate and prejudice, and the logic of world revolution.

BRITAIN 1984

Any sound that Winston made, above the level of a very low whisper, would be picked up by the telescreen; moreover, so long as he remained within the field of vision which the metal plaque commanded, he could be seen as well as heard. There was, of course, no way of knowing whether you were being watched at any given moment. How often, or on what system, the Thought Police plugged in on any individual wire was guesswork. The Thought Police. 1984. George Orwell's dystopian novel on communism describes a netherworld of totalitarianism, surveillance and repression, a world led by the Soviet Union at the time, a nightmare then advancing upon free nations. Published on June 8, 1949, 1984 was Orwell's ninth and last book completed during his lifetime, and his most famous and influential offering to the world. Less than a century after its publication, Orwell's magnum opus has come alive in his native land. Less than a century after the prestigious British publishing house of Secker and Warburg allowed the world to be educated by the most renowned cautionary tale in history, the monster of totalitarian control has rendered Britain, the nation which first gave voice to freedom in modern times, morally and spiritually unrecognizable. Less than a century after Orwell described his Britain of the future as Airstrip One, a province of Oceania under the leadership of Big Brother, this nation, whose Magna Carta 1215, Petition of Right 1628, Bill of Rights 1689 and Claim of Rights Act 1689 first codified freedom in the West and was a light for all mankind to follow, has fulfilled Orwell's prophecy and plunged into a place of fright in which truth and facts are suppressed, and a barbed wire fence has been constructed around freedom of thought and conscience. The Marxist apocalypse delineated by Orwell was voted into power and consolidated over the course of a century, and in the new millennium this creature of the mob has borne its bitterest fruit and trampled over the ancient British right of free speech. Listen to its program and manifesto and hear the footfalls of a democracy run amok, an oppressive majoritarian dictatorship which has repealed one thousand years of British liberty. Britain in the twenty first century proclaims that a progressive society is run by a totalitarian government modeled after Maoist China and Soviet Russia. It proclaims that a progressive society makes noncrimes such as the expression of opinions into crimes. It proclaims that a progressive society puts nine year old children in jail for the crime of expressing their opinions. It proclaims that a progressive society condemns politically incorrect speech as hate speech and prohibits it. It proclaims that a progressive society prohibits all views critical of black supremacy, radical feminism, Muslim terror, migrant violence, gay politics, lesbian politics, and the insane claims of transgenderism that gender can be changed in defiance of biological facts. It proclaims that if black supremacists, radical feminists, Muslim terrorists, migrant hoodlums, gay activists, lesbian activists or transgender activists say that an opinion expressed offends them, then the holder of the opinion is guilty of a hate crime and must be jailed. It proclaims that a progressive society treats as outlaws and gives criminal records for life people who uphold reality and say there are only two genders and they are determined by biological facts, that Muslim terrorists should not be allowed spread to violence in the streets or settle in the country, that Israel is the only free society in the Middle East and that Muslim nations, being unfree, have no right to exist, and that women and nonwhites should not be given preferential treatment in schools or businesses. It proclaims that a progressive society employs special countercapitalist, countermale and counterwhite police to ensure that white male capitalist people and viewpoints are expunged from society. It proclaims that people who stand up and say that terror groups such as Hamas should be eliminated, should themselves be eliminated. It proclaims that people who champion the traditional British values of human sanity and human rights, values for which the British fought and died in two world wars, and who condemn Muslim grooming gangs, Muslim rapes, Muslim torture and Muslim killing, and condemn the immunity from the law Muslims are given by the police and the courts, are inciters of race hatred, victimizers of Muslims, voices of social regression and gasoline cans of extremism, and should be expelled from society: "Mainstream Britain might finally be waking up to the fact that it elected a totalitarian government that increasingly seems to behave like the Chinese Communist Party. Children as young as nine are currently being investigated by the police for *noncrime* hate incidents. According to the *Daily Mail*: 'A nine year old child is among the youngsters being probed by police over hate incidents. Officers recorded incidents against the child, who called a fellow primary school pupil a 'retard', and against two schoolgirls who said another student smelled 'like fish.' The youngsters were among multiple cases of children being recorded as having committed noncrime hate incidents (NCHIs). The Times discovered through freedom of information requests to police forces.' 'Noncrime hate' is not exactly new. In 2014, the police introduced the Hate Crimes Operational Guidelines, which have since been updated. According to the guidelines, any noncrime incident that is perceived, by the victim or any other person, to be motivated by a hostility or prejudice based on a person's race, religion, sexual orientation, disability or transgender identity must be recorded, even if there is no evidence of the hate element: 'For recording purposes, the perception of the victim, or any other person is the defining factor in determining whether an incident is a hate incident. The victim does not have to justify or provide evidence of their belief, and police officers or staff should not directly challenge this perception. Evidence of the hostility is not required for an incident or crime to be recorded as a hate crime or hate incident.' Since 2014, British police have reportedly recorded more than 250,000 noncrime hate incidents in England and Wales. The noncrime incidents, logged in a system, can even show up, when employers ask for a copy of a prospective employee's criminal record. In late June, a 12 year old Jewish boy had counterterrorism police come to interrogate him at his home for saying there 'are only two genders'; and for saying that Hamas should be 'exterminated.' His mother said the counter terror officers 'raised concerns over the fact that her son, who is Jewish, harbored extremist views on account of his response when asked if there were any groups that shouldn't exist. Her son responded that 'Hamas (the Gaza based terror group) should be wiped out'. He was also accused of 'unhealthy interest in weapons' for owning a toy crossbow. Mainstream Britain's better late than never acknowledgement of the tragic demise of sanity and liberty in the UK is recent, and has especially grown since Daily Telegraph journalist Allison Pearson was visited by police earlier this November, informing her that she was accused of a 'noncrime hate incident.' A tweet she had posted more than a year ago, the police told her, was 'stirring up racial hatred.' She asked who accused her and why, but the police told her she could not be told what her offending tweet was, nor the 'victim's' name. She is now under investigation for spreading material allegedly 'likely or intended to cause racial hatred.' 'This is not what people fought and died for in the war,' Pearson wrote in the *Telegraph* about her ordeal, which she described as proof of Britain's two-tier justice system. There are lots of things that people did not fight and die for in the war but that the mainstream British media has ignored for decades: Mass migration from the Muslim world; rampant violence and terrorism; Muslim grooming gangs, raping, torturing, sometimes killing, hundreds of thousands of British children and young women while the police covered up their crimes." (Mad Britain: Nine Year Olds Being Investigated by Police for Non Crime Hate Incidents by Robert Williams). Britain in the twenty first century proclaims that people who criticize Muslim mass migration and the Islamization of the West should be banned from social media and jailed for life. It proclaims that people who speak their minds and expose the reality that Islam is a nation of killers must stand trial for spreading hate speech. It proclaims that people who expose the reality of Muslim gangs, murderers, terrorists and child rapists running rampant in the streets should be handed long prison sentences and be silenced forever: "Hundreds of people were handed prison sentences for up to several years, for social media posts about the brutal murder of three little girls in Southport this summer. They were jailed for criticizing mass migration and Islamization, but the government said they were stirring up racial hatred. Now, it turns out that the murderer of the three girls, who still has not gone on trial, was in fact an Islamist terrorist, a fact that Starmer's government covered up and lied about. Will the British mainstream media fight to see that the many--who were jailed for speaking their minds about these murders on social media--

are now freed? Tommy Robinson was severely punished by British authorities for speaking out against and making documentaries about the grooming gang scandals. A few weeks ago, he was imprisoned, again, for refusing to be silenced, and moved to one of Britain's most notorious prisons, which houses some of the most murderous Islamist terrorists. Nobody in mainstream British media seems the least bit concerned about his safety." (*Mad Britain: Nine Year Olds Being Investigated by Police for Non Crime Hate Incidents* by Robert Williams). George Orwell's masterpiece takes place in a future imagined from present day cultural trends. The birthplace of freedom in the West, Britain in the novel has fallen under the iron heel of the Ministry of Truth, historical negationism which rewrites reality and erases facts, and nonstop drumbeats of propaganda which persecute rational thought. Empowering a Marxist-Muslim axis of terror and subjecting its opponents to police violence, court convictions and long term imprisonment, Britain in the present day has plunged into the pages of 1984.