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#### Abstract

The Green's type theorems are established for unique solvability of linear boundary value problems for multidimensional systems of linear regular difference equations. Moreover, a successive approximation method is given for the construction of the solution of the difference system under the Cauchy condition.
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## 1. Statement of the problem and formulation of the results

This work is dedicated to the investigation of the solvability question of the regular difference system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y(k-1)=G_{1}(k) y(k-1)+G_{2}(k) y(k)+g(k) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

under the general boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(y) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L(k) y(k)=c_{0} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{j} \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)(j=1,2), L \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right), \mathcal{L}: B V\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a bounded linear operator, and $g \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are respectively, discrete matrix and vector functions, and $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In this work the Green's type theorem is proved for the unique solvability of the problem (1.1),(1.2) in the case when $G_{j} \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)(j=1,2)$, $L \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ and $g(k) \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are, respectively, so called regular matrix and vector functions on the set $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ (see below). Moreover, successive approximations methods is investigated for constructing the solution for the Cauchy problem for the system (1.1). For investigating this problem we use the theory of so called generalized ordinary differential equations [1]. Analogous questions for the finite difference system are investigated in $[1,2]$.

Along with the problem (1.1),(1.2) we consider the corresponding homogeneous problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta y(k-1)=G_{1}(k) y(k-1)+G_{2}(k) y(k) \quad(k=1,2, \ldots),  \tag{0}\\
\mathcal{L}(y)=0 . \tag{0}
\end{gather*}
$$

Throughout the paper, the following notation and definitions will be used.

$$
\mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \ldots\}, \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1, \ldots\} .
$$

$\mathbb{R}=]-\infty,+\infty[,[a, b]$ and $] a, b[(a, b \in$ are, respectively, a closed and an open intervals.
$\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is the space of all real $n \times m$ - matrices $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n, m}$ with the norm

$$
\|X\|=\max \left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i j}\right|: j=1, \ldots, m\right\}
$$

If $X=\left(x_{i j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n, m}$, then $|X|=\left(\left.\left|x_{i j}\right|\right|_{i, j=1} ^{n, m}\right.$.
$O_{n \times m}$ is the zero $n \times m$-matrix.
$\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times m}=\left\{\left(x_{i, j}\right)_{i, j=1}^{n, m}: x_{i, j} \geq 0 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, m)\right\}$.
$\mathbb{R}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ is the space of all real column n-vectors $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} ; \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n \times 1}$.
If $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, then $X^{-1}$ is the matrix, inverse to $X$; $\operatorname{det} X$ is the determinant of $X$; and $r(X)$ is the spectral radius of $X$.
$I_{n}$ is the identity $n \times n$-matrix.
$E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\right)$ is the set of all matrix-functions $Y: \mathbb{N}_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.
$\Delta$ is the difference operator of the first order, i.e.,

$$
\Delta Y(k-1)=Y(k)-Y(k-1) \text { for } Y \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\right)(k=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

We say that the discrete matrix function $X \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{l \times m}\right)$ has the bounded total variation on the set $\mathbb{N}_{0}$ if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|\Delta X(k-1)\|<+\infty
$$

In this case we assume

$$
\|X\|_{v}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|\Delta X(k-1)\|
$$

By $B V_{v}\left(\mathbb{N}_{0} ; \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\right)$ we denote the Banach space of all discrete matrix-functions $E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\right)$ with the norm $\|.\|_{v}$.

The inequalities between the matrices are understood componentwise.
A matrix function is said to be continuous, integrable, nondecreasing, etc., if such is every its component.

Under a solution of the difference problem (1.1),(1.2) we understand a matrix function $y \in B V_{v}\left(\mathbb{E}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying difference system (1.1) (i.e., the equality (1.1) for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and the boundary condition (1.2).

Below we show that, in the regular case, i.e., when discrete matrix $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ and vector $g$ functions are regular, every discrete vector-function $y \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying difference system (1.2) belongs to $B V_{v}\left(\mathbb{E}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, as well. So that the definition of solutions of system (1.1) given above, is natural for the regular case.

The discrete matrix-function $X \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\right)$ is said to be regular if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|X(k)\|<+\infty
$$

Definition 1.1. The system (1.1) is called regular if the matrix-and vector functions $G_{1}, G_{2}$ and $g$ are regular, i.e., (1.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|G_{j}(k)\right\|<+\infty \quad(j=1,2) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\|g(k)\|<+\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will assume that system (1.1) is regular. Moreover, we assume that the matrix function $L \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ is regular, too.

Let $Y$ be the fundamental matrix of the system $\left(1.1_{0}\right)$ under the condition

$$
Y(0)=I_{n}
$$

If the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+(-1)^{j} G_{j}(k)\right) \neq 0 \text { for } k \in\{1,2, \ldots\} \quad(j=1,2) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid, then the fundamental matrix $Y$ of the system (1.10) exists and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y(k)=\prod_{l=k}^{0}\left(I_{n}-G_{1}(l)\right)^{-1}\left(I_{n}+G_{2}(l)\right) \text { for } k \in\{1,2, \ldots\} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} L(l) Y(l) \text { and } D(j)=\sum_{l=0}^{j} L(l) Y(l)(j=0,1, \ldots) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} D \neq 0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we assume

$$
\mathcal{G}(k, j)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Y(k) D^{-1} D(j-1) Y^{-1}(j)\left(I_{n}-G_{1}(j)\right)^{-1} \text { for } 0 \leq j<k  \tag{1.9}\\
-Y(k)\left(I_{n}-D^{-1} D(j-1)\right) Y^{-1}(j)\left(I_{n}-G_{1}(j)\right)^{-1} \text { for } 0 \leq k<j \\
O_{n \times n} \text { for } k=j
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $Y(k)$ is the fundamental matrix of the system (1.10) defined by (1.6). The matrix function $\mathcal{G}(k, j)$ is called the Green matrix of the problem $\left(1.1_{0}\right),\left(1.2_{0}\right)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let the condition (1.5) hold and let the system (1.1) be regular. Then the boundary value problem (1.1),(1.2) has a unique solution if and only if the corresponding homogeneous problem $\left(1.1_{0}\right),\left(1.2_{0}\right)$ has only the trivial solution. If the letter condition holds, then the solution $y$ of problem (1.1),(1.2) admits the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(k)=Y(k) D^{-1} c_{0}+\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}(k, l) g(l) \text { for } k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{G}(k, l)$ is the Green matrix of the problem $\left(1.1_{0}\right),\left(1.2_{0}\right)$.
Remark 1.1. We note the homogeneous problem $\left(1.1_{0}\right),\left(1.2_{0}\right)$ has only the trivial solution (as well problem (1.1),(1.2) is uniquely solvable) if and only if the condition (1.8) is valid. Therefore, there exist the Green matrix appearing in Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.2. If the condition (1.8) is not fulfilled, then for every regular $g \in$ $E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ there exists a vector $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that problem (1.1),(1.2) has no solution. In addition, if $\left.\mathcal{L}: E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the onto mapping, then for every $c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ there exists a regular function $g \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that the problem (1.1),(1.2) is not solvable.

We give a successive approximation method of construction of the solution of the system (1.1), too, under the Cauchy condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(k_{0}\right)=c_{0}, \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}, c_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Theorem 1.2 Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(I_{n}+(-1)^{j} G_{j}(k)\right) \neq 0 \text { for }(-1)^{j}\left(k-k_{0}\right)<0 \quad(j=1,2) . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Cauchy problem (1.1),(1.11) has a unique solution $y \in E\left(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} y_{m}(k)=y(k) \text { uniformly for } k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \text {, } \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{m}\left(k_{0}\right)=c_{0}(m=0,1, \ldots), \\
y_{0}(k)=\left(I_{n}+(-1)^{j} G_{j}(k+j-1)\right)^{-1} c_{0} \text { for }(-1)^{j}\left(k-k_{0}\right)<0 \quad(j=1,2)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{m}(k)=\left(I_{n}+(-1)^{j} G_{j}(k+j-1)\right)^{-1}\left[c_{0}+(-1)^{j} G_{j}(k+j-1) y_{m-1}(k)\right. \\
\left.-(-1)^{j} \sum_{l=k_{0}+1+(j-1)\left(k-k_{0}\right)}^{k-(j-1)\left(k-k_{0}\right)}\left(G_{1}(l) y_{m-1}(l)+G_{2}(l) y_{m-1}(l-1)\right)\right] \\
\quad \operatorname{for}(-1)^{j}\left(k-k_{0}\right)<0(j=1,2) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2. Generalized differential equations

We give some necessary definition to formulate bases of the theory of the generalized ordinary differential equations.

The interest in the theory of generalized ordinary differential equations has also been stimulated to a considerable extent by the fact that this theory enables one to investigate ordinary differential, impulsive and difference equations from a unified point of view (see, e.g. [1-10] and the references therein).

If $X:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ is a matrix-function, then $V_{a}^{b}(X)$ is the sum of total variations on $[a, b]$ of its components $x_{i j}(i=1, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, m) ; V(X)(t)=\left(v\left(x_{i j}\right)(t)\right)_{i, j=1}^{n, m}$, where $v\left(x_{i j}\right)(a)=0, v\left(x_{i j}\right)(t)=V_{a}^{t}\left(x_{i j}\right)$ for $a<t \leq b ; X(t-)$ and $X(t+)$ are, respectively, the left and the right limits of $X$ at the point $t(X(a-)=X(a), X(b+)=X(b))$.
$d_{1} X(t)=X(t)-X(t-), d_{2} X(t)=X(t+)-X(t)$.
$\mathrm{BV}\left([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\right)$ is the Banach space of all bounded variation matrix-functions $X$ : $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ (i.e., such that $\left.V_{a}^{b}(X)<\infty\right)$ with the norm $\|X\|_{v}=\|X(a)\|+V_{a}^{b}(X)$.
$s_{j}: \operatorname{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{BV}([a, b], \mathbb{R})(j=0,1,2)$ are the operators defined, respectively, by

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{1}(x)(a)=s_{2}(x)(a)=0 \\
s_{1}(x)(t)=\sum_{a<\tau \leq t} d_{1} x(\tau) \text { and } s_{2}(x)(t)=\sum_{a \leq \tau<t} d_{2} x(\tau) \text { for } a<t \leq b,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
s_{0}(x)(t)=x(t)-s_{1}(x)(t)-s_{2}(x)(t) \text { for } t \in[a, b] .
$$

If $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nondecreasing function, $x:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $a \leq s<t \leq b$, then

$$
\int_{s}^{t} x(\tau) d g(\tau)=\int_{] s, t[ } x(\tau) d s_{0}(g)(\tau)+\sum_{s<\tau \leq t} x(\tau) d_{1} g(\tau)+\sum_{s \leq \tau<t} x(\tau) d_{2} g(\tau)
$$

where $\int_{] s, t[ } x(\tau) d s_{0}(g)(\tau)$ is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes integral over the open interval $] s, t[$ with respect to the measure $\mu_{0}\left(s_{0}(g)\right)$, corresponding to the function $s_{0}(g)$.

If $a=b$, then we assume $\int_{a}^{b} x(t) d g(t)=0$, and if $a>b$, then we assume $\int_{a}^{b} x(t) d g(t)=$ $-\int_{b}^{a} x(t) d g(t)$.

If $g(t) \equiv g_{1}(t)-g_{2}(t)$, where $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are nondecreasing functions, then

$$
\int_{s}^{t} x(\tau) d g(\tau)=\int_{s}^{t} x(\tau) d g_{1}(\tau)-\int_{s}^{t} x(\tau) d g_{2}(\tau) \text { for } s \leq t
$$

If $G=\left(g_{i k}\right)_{i, k=1}^{l, n} \in \operatorname{BV}\left([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{l \times n}\right)$ and $X=\left(x_{k j}\right)_{k, j=1}^{n, m}:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, then

$$
S_{j}(G)(t) \equiv\left(s_{j}\left(g_{i k}\right)(t)\right)_{i, k=1}^{l, n} \quad(j=0,1,2)
$$

and

$$
\int_{a}^{b} d G(\tau) \cdot X(\tau)=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{a}^{b} x_{k j}(\tau) d g_{i k}(\tau)\right)_{i, j=1}^{l, m}
$$

Let $A \in \operatorname{BV}\left([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}\right)$ and $f \in \operatorname{BV}\left([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Under a solution of the system of linear generalized ordinary differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x(t)=d A(t) \cdot x(t)+d f(t) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we understand a vector-function $x \in \operatorname{BV}\left([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that

$$
x(t)=x(s)+\int_{s}^{t} d A(\tau) \cdot x(\tau)+f(t)-f(s) \text { for } a \leq s<t \leq b
$$

We consider system (2.1) with the boundary value condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(x)=c, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\ell: \operatorname{BV}\left([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a linear bounded operator, and $c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a constant vector.

The question of the unique solvability of the generalized boundary value problem $(2.1),(2.2)$ is investigated in $[1,2,10]$ (see also the references therein).

## 3. Proof of the results

We will rewrite problem (1.1),(1.2) in the form of problem (2.1),(2.2) in order to apply the results from $[1,2,10]$ to the last generalized problem.

Let $Y$ be the fundamental matrix of system (1.1) under the condition $Y(0)=I_{n}$. Then by (1.3) and (1.6) there exists a positive number $r>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|Y(k)\|<r \text { for } k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume

$$
G_{j}(0)=O_{n \times n} \quad(j=1,2), \quad g(0)=0_{n} .
$$

Let $y \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be an arbitrary solution of the problem (1.1),(1.2) and let $z=$ $\left(z_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{2}$, where $z_{i} \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)(i=1,2)$ be functions, defined by

$$
z_{1}(k)=z_{2}(k)=y(k)(k=0,1, \ldots) .
$$

Then by (3.1) we get

$$
\|y(k)\|<r\|y(0)\| \text { for } k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} .
$$

From this by (1.1),(1.3) and (1.4) we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\|\Delta y(k-1)\|<+\infty
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\|\Delta z(k-1)\|<+\infty \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, it is evident that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\binom{z_{1}(k-1)}{z_{2}(k-1)}=\binom{G_{1}(k) z_{1}(k)+G_{2}(k) z_{2}(k-1)+g(k)}{G_{1}(k) z_{1}(k)+G_{2}(k) z_{2}(k-1)+g(k)} \text { for } k \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{1}\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{c_{0}}{0}, \quad \zeta_{2}\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{0}{0}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\zeta_{1}\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}} \equiv\binom{\mathcal{L}\left(z_{1}\right)}{0}
$$

and $\zeta_{2}: E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ is an arbitrary operator such that the condition $\zeta_{2}(z)=0$ guarantees the equality $z_{1}\left(k_{0}\right)=z_{2}\left(k_{0}\right)$ for some $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

We will assume that

$$
\zeta_{2}\binom{z_{1}}{z_{2}}=\binom{z_{2}\left(k_{0}\right)-z_{1}\left(k_{0}\right)}{z_{2}\left(k_{0}\right)-z_{1}\left(k_{0}\right)}
$$

where $k_{0}$ is an arbitrary fixed integer from $\mathbb{N}_{0}$.
The contrary is evident too. If the vector-function $z=\left(z_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{2}$ is a solution of problem (3.1),(3.2) then $z_{1}(k) \equiv z_{2}(k)$ and this discrete vector function is a solution of problem (1.1),(1.2). Therefore, problems (1.1),(1.2) and (3.3),(3.4) are equivalent among themselves.

We note that by (1.3) there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\|G_{j}\left(k_{0}\right)\right\|<1 / 2(j=1,2)$ and, therefore, the inverse matrices $\left(I_{n}+(-1)^{j} G_{j}(k)\right)^{-1}(j=1,2)$ exist for $k \geq k_{0}$. From this, taking into account the condition (1.3) we get that there exists a constant $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(I_{n}+(-1)^{j} G_{j}(k)\right)^{-1}\right\|<r_{1} \text { for } k \geq k_{0}(j=1,2) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now

$$
I_{1 k}=\left[t_{k}, t_{k+1}\left[\text { and } I_{1 k}=\right] t_{k}, t_{k+1}\right] \text { for } k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}
$$

where $t_{k}=k /(k+1) \quad(k=0,1, \ldots)$.
Let $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{2}$ be a vector function defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(t)=z_{i}(k) \text { for } t \in I_{i k}(i=1,2 ; k=0,1, \ldots) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (3.2) we have $x \in \operatorname{BV}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$.
It is not difficult to verify that the vector function $x$ will be a solution of the 2 n -dimension problem (2.1),(2.2) with $a=0, b=1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A(t) \equiv\left(A_{i j}(t)\right)_{i, j=1}^{2},  \tag{3.7}\\
A_{i j}(t)=\sum_{l=0}^{k} G_{j}(l) \text { for } t \in I_{i k}(i, j=1,2 ; k=0,1, \ldots) ;  \tag{3.8}\\
f(t) \equiv\left(f_{i}(t)\right)_{i=1}^{2},  \tag{3.9}\\
f_{i}(t)=\sum_{l=0}^{k} g(l) \text { for } t \in I_{i k}(i, j=1,2 ; k=0,1, \ldots) ;  \tag{3.10}\\
\ell(x)=\left(\zeta_{i}(z)\right)_{i=1}^{2} \text { for } x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{2}, \quad x_{i} \in \operatorname{BV}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right),(i=1,2) \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
c=\binom{c_{0}}{0} .
$$

It is evident that the inverse proposition is true as well. So that the following lemma is true.

Lemma 1.1 Let $y \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ be a solution of problem (1.1),(1.2). Then the vector function $x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{BV}\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, defined by (3.6), will be a solution of the $2 n$-dimensional generalized boundary value problem (2.1),(3.2), where $a=0, b=1$, and matrix-and vector functions $A$ and $f$, linear operator $\ell$ and constant vector $c$ are defined, respectively, by (3.7)-(3.11). On the contrary, if the vector-function $x=$ $(x)_{i=1}^{n} \in B V\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right)$ is a solution of the last 2n-dimensional problem (2.1),(3.2), then the vector-function $y \in E\left(\mathbb{N}_{0}, \mathbb{R}^{n}\right), y(k) \equiv z_{1}(k)$, will be a solution of the problem (1.1),(1.2), where

$$
G_{i}(k) \equiv \Delta A_{1 i}(k) \quad(i=1,2), \quad g(k) \equiv \Delta f_{1}(k)
$$

and $\mathcal{L}(y)$ and $c_{0}$ are $n$-vectors whose $i$-th component coincides with $i$-th component of $\ell(y)$ and $c$, respectively, for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Using the lemma we conclude that the theorems and remarks immediately follow from corresponding results of paper $[1,2,10]$.
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# EFFECTIVE SOLUTION OF ONE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM OF THE LINEAR THEORY OF THERMOELASTICITY WITH MICROTEMPERATURES FOR A SPHERICAL RING 

Bitsadze L.


#### Abstract

In this paper the expansion of regular solution for the equations of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures is obtained, that we use for explicitly solving one basic boundary value problem (BVP) of the linear equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for the spherical ring. The obtained solutions are represented as absolutely and uniformly convergent series.
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## Introduction

The linear theory of thermoelasticity for materials with inner structure whose particles, in addition to the classical displacement and temperature fields, possess microtemperatures was constructed by Iesan and Quintanilla [1]. The fundamental solutions of the equations of the three-dimensional (3D) theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures were constructed by Svanadze [2]. The representations of the Galerkin type and general solutions of the system in this theory were obtained by Scalia, Svanadze and Tracinà [3]. The 3D linear theory of thermoelasticity for microstretch elastic materials with microtemperatures was constructed by Iesan [4] where the uniqueness and existence theorems in the dynamical case for isotropic materials are proved.

The purpose of this paper is to solve explicitly one basic boundary value problem (BVP) of the linear equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for the spherical ring. The obtained solutions are represented as absolutely and uniformly convergent series.

## Basic equations

Let $D$ be a bounded (respectively, an unbounded) domain of the Euclidean 3D space $E_{3}$, bounded by the surface $S$. Let $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \in E_{3}, \quad \rho=|\mathbf{x}|, \quad \partial \mathbf{x}=$ $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right)$. Assume that the domain $D$ is filled with isotropic elastic materials with the thermoelastic properties possessing microtemperatures.

The basic homogeneous (i.e., body forces are neglected) system of equations of the linear equilibrium theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures has the form [1]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}+(\lambda+\mu) \text { graddiv } \mathbf{u}-\beta g r a d \theta=0,  \tag{1}\\
k_{6} \Delta \mathbf{w}+\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) \text { graddiv } \mathbf{w}-k_{3} g r a d \theta-k_{2} \mathbf{w}=0, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \Delta \theta+k_{1} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}\right)^{T}$ is the displacement vector, $\mathbf{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)^{T}$ is the microtemperature vector, $\theta$ is the temperature measured from the constant absolute temperature $T_{0} \quad\left(T_{0}>0\right)$ by the natural state (i.e. by the state of the absence of loads), $\lambda, \quad \mu, \quad \beta, \quad k, \quad k_{j}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 6$, are constitutive coefficients, $\Delta$ is the 3D Laplace operator. The superscript " T " denotes transposition.

Definition 1. A vector-function $\mathbf{U}=(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \theta)$ defined in the domain $D$ is called regular if it has integrable continuous second order derivatives in $D$, and $\mathbf{U}$ itself and its first order derivatives are continuously extendible at every point of the boundary of $D$, that is $\mathbf{U} \in C^{2}(D) \cap C^{1}(\bar{D})$.

Note that BVPs for the system (2),(3), that contain only $\mathbf{w}$ and $\theta$, can be investigated separately. Then supposing $\theta$, as known, we can study BVPs for the system (1) with respect to $\mathbf{u}$. Combining the results obtained we arrive at explicit solution for BVPs for the system (1)-(3). First we assume that $\theta(\mathbf{x})$ is known, when $\mathbf{x} \in D$, then for $\mathbf{u}$ we get the following nonhomogeneous equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}+(\lambda+\mu) \text { graddiv } \mathbf{u}=\beta \operatorname{grad} \theta . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the volume potential $\mathbf{u}_{0}[6]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{u}_{0}=-\frac{\beta}{\pi} \int_{D} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) \operatorname{grad} \theta d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Gamma=\left\|\Gamma_{k j}\right\|_{3 x 3}, \\
\Gamma_{k j}=\frac{\lambda+3 \mu}{2 a \mu} \frac{\delta_{k j}}{r}+\frac{\lambda+\mu}{2 a \mu} \frac{x_{k} x_{j}}{r^{3}}, \quad k, j=1,2,3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

is a particular solution of (4). In (5) grade is a continuous vector in $D$ along with its first order derivatives.

Thus, the general solution of the equation (4) is representable in the form $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{V}+\mathbf{u}_{0}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu \Delta \mathbf{V}+(\lambda+\mu) \operatorname{graddiv} \mathbf{V}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equation is the equation of an isotropic elastic body. So we have reduced the solution of basic BVPs under consideration to the solution of the basic BVPs for the equation of an isotropic elastic body.

The solution of the BVPs for the equation (6) is given in [6]. So it remains to solve BVPs for the system (2),(3).

## Expansion of regular solutions

In this section the general solution for the equations (2),(3) is obtained that gives possibility to solve the BVP for the spherical ring.

Theorem 1. The regular solution $\boldsymbol{W}=(\boldsymbol{w}, \theta)$ of equations (2),(3) admits in the domain of regularity a representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{x})=(\stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{w}}+\stackrel{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{w}}, \theta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{w}}=0, \quad \operatorname{rot} \stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{w}}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \operatorname{div} \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{1}}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{w}}=0, \\
& \operatorname{div} \stackrel{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}}=0, \quad \Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \theta=0, \quad s_{1}^{2}=\frac{k k_{2}-k_{1} k_{3}}{k k_{7}}>0, \quad s_{2}^{2}=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{6}}>0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{W}$ be certain solution of the equation (2),(3). Let us prove that $\mathbf{W}$ can be represented in the form (7) and it satisfies the conditions (8). Using the identity

$$
\Delta \mathbf{w}=\operatorname{graddiv} \mathbf{w}-\operatorname{rotrot} \mathbf{w}
$$

rewrite equation (2) as follows

$$
\mathbf{w}=\frac{k_{7}}{k_{2}} \operatorname{graddiv} \mathbf{w}-\frac{k_{6}}{k_{2}} \operatorname{rotrot} \mathbf{w}-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \operatorname{grad\theta } .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{w}}=\frac{k_{7}}{k_{2}} \text { graddiv } \mathbf{w}-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \operatorname{grad} \theta,  \tag{9}\\
\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{2}}=-\frac{k_{6}}{k_{2}} \operatorname{rotrot} \mathbf{w} . \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly, from (9),(10) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{rot}_{\mathbf{w}}^{\mathbf{w}}=0, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{\mathbf { w }}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \mathbf{\mathbf { w }}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2),(3) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{7} \Delta-k_{2}\right) d i v \mathbf{w}-k_{3} \Delta \theta=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of the value $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{w}=-\frac{k}{k_{1}} \Delta \theta \quad$ from (3) in (12) results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \theta=0 . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9) and (10) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \mathbf{\mathbf { w }}=0 \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) d i v \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{w}}=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulas (11),(13),(14) prove the theorem.
Theorem 2. In the domain of regularity the regular solution of equations (2),(3) can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{V}}+\stackrel{2}{\mathrm{~V}}+\stackrel{3}{\mathrm{~V}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{V}}=\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{(1)}, \varphi_{1}\right), \quad \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{V}}=\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{(2)}, \varphi_{2}\right), \quad \stackrel{\mathbf{3}}{\mathbf{V}}=\left(\boldsymbol{v}^{(3)}, 0\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\Delta \mathbf{v}^{(1)}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \mathbf{v}^{(2)}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \mathbf{v}^{(3)}=0, \quad \operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v}^{(1)}=0,
$$

$$
\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{v}^{(2)}=0, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v}^{(3)}=0, \quad \Delta \varphi_{1}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \varphi_{2}=0
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}}=-\frac{\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{w}}}{s_{1}^{2}}, \quad \stackrel{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{v}}=\frac{\Delta \stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{w}}}{s_{1}^{2}}, \quad \varphi_{1}=-\frac{\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \theta}{s_{1}^{2}}, \quad \varphi_{2}=\frac{\Delta \theta}{s_{1}^{2}} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, by virtue of (14), it follows

$$
\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{v}}+\stackrel{2}{\mathbf{v}}=\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{w}}, \quad \Delta \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{v}}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{v}}=0
$$

$\theta$ is the solution of a scalar equation of the same type that it satisfied by the vector $\mathbf{w}^{(1)}$; therefore, by analogy we will have $\theta=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}$, where

$$
\Delta \varphi_{1}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \varphi_{2}
$$

Now, it is clear that if we take $\mathbf{v}^{(3)}=\stackrel{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}}$, we obtain representation (15). Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{w}}=\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{v}}+\stackrel{2}{\mathbf{v}}, \quad \theta=\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}, \quad \operatorname{rot} \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{w}}=0, \quad \operatorname{div} \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{w}}=0, \\
& \Delta \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{v}}=0, \quad \Delta \operatorname{div} \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{v}}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \operatorname{div} \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{v}}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{v}}=0,  \tag{18}\\
& \Delta \varphi_{1}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \varphi_{2}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{w}}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting in (2),(3) $\mathbf{w}=\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{w}}+\stackrel{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{w}}$ and replacing $\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{w}}$ and $\theta$ by their values from (17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{7} s_{1}^{2} \mathbf{v}-k_{2}(\mathbf{1}+\underset{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}})=k_{3} \operatorname{grad}\left(\varphi_{1}+\varphi_{2}\right),  \tag{19}\\
& k \Delta \varphi_{2}+k_{1} d i v \mathbf{v}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Equation(19) is satisfied by

$$
\stackrel{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}}=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{1}, \quad \stackrel{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}}=-\frac{k}{k_{1}} \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{2} .
$$

Finally, if we take

$$
\stackrel{1}{\mathbf{v}}=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}} \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{1}, \quad \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{v}}=-\frac{k}{k_{1}} \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{2}
$$

and they satisfy the conditions

$$
\Delta \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{v}}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}}=0
$$

then the general solution of the thermoelasticity equations (2),(3) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})=a \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+b \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})+\stackrel{\mathbf{2}}{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x}), \\
& \theta(\mathbf{x})=\varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+\varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x}), \quad a=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}}, \quad b=-\frac{k}{k_{1}}, \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\underset{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}}$ satisfies the equations $\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \stackrel{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}}=0, \quad \operatorname{div} \underset{\mathbf{w}}{\mathbf{w}}=0$.
Now let us prove that if the vector $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{w}, \theta)=0$, then $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}=0, \quad \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{w}}=0$. It follows from (20) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+b \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})+\stackrel{2}{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x})=0, \\
\varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+\varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})=0
\end{gathered}
$$

From here, after simple transformations we find that

$$
\operatorname{div}\left[a \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+b \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})+\stackrel{2}{\mathbf{w}}(\mathbf{x})\right]=b s_{1}^{2} \varphi_{2}=0 .
$$

Thus we have $\varphi_{1}=\varphi_{2}=0, \quad \stackrel{2}{\mathbf{w}}=0$ and the proof is completed.
Let us assume that $D^{+}$is a ball of radius $R_{1}$, centered at point $O(0,0,0)$ in space $E_{3}$ and $S$ is a spherical surface of radius $R_{1}$.

Let us consider the metaharmonic equation

$$
\left(\Delta+\nu^{2}\right) \psi=0, \quad \nu \neq 0 .
$$

For this equation the following theorems are valid and we cite them without proof.
Lemma 1. If regular vector $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\Delta+\nu^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\psi}=0, \quad \nu \neq 0, \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi}=0, \\
(\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi})=0, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in D^{+},
\end{gathered}
$$

then it can be represented in the form

$$
\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})=[\boldsymbol{x} . \nabla] h(\boldsymbol{x})),
$$

where

$$
\left(\Delta+\nu^{2}\right) h(\boldsymbol{x})=0,
$$

in addition if

$$
\int_{S\left(0, a_{1}\right)} h(\boldsymbol{x}) d s=0,
$$

where $S\left(0, a_{1}\right) \subset D^{+}$is an arbitrary spherical surface of radius $a_{1}$, then the function $h$ in $D^{+}$can be defined uniquely by means of vector $\psi$.

Lemma 2. If regular vector $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ satisfies the conditions

$$
\left(\Delta+\nu^{2}\right) \boldsymbol{\psi}=0, \quad \nu \neq 0 \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi}=0, \quad \boldsymbol{x} \in D^{+}
$$

then it can be represented in the form

$$
\boldsymbol{\psi}(\mathbf{x})=[\mathbf{x} . \nabla] \varphi_{3}(\mathbf{x})+\operatorname{rot}[\mathbf{x} . \nabla] \varphi_{4}(\mathbf{x}),
$$

where

$$
\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \varphi_{j}=0, \quad j=3,4,
$$

in addition if

$$
\int_{S\left(0, a_{1}\right)} \varphi_{j} d s=0, \quad j=3,4
$$

where $S\left(0, a_{1}\right) \subset D^{+}$is an arbitrary spherical surface of radius $a_{1}$, then the functions $\varphi_{j} j=3,4$ in $D^{+}$can be defined uniquely by means of vector $\psi$.

Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 are proved in [7].
Now from these theorems it follows that the following theorem is valid.
Theorem 3. The regular solution $\boldsymbol{W}=(\boldsymbol{w}, \theta)$, where $\boldsymbol{w}=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}\right)$, of the homogeneous equations (2),(3), in $D^{+}$, can be represented in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{w}(\boldsymbol{x})=a \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})+b \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})+c \operatorname{rot} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\mathbf{3}}(\boldsymbol{x}), \\
& \theta(\boldsymbol{x})=\varphi_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})+\varphi_{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta \varphi_{1}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{1}^{2}\right) \varphi_{2}=0, \quad\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \varphi^{\mathbf{3}}=0, \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\mathbf{3}}=0, \\
& s_{1}^{2}=\frac{k k_{2}-k_{1} k_{3}}{k k_{7}}>0, \quad s_{2}^{2}=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{6}}>0, \quad a=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}}, \quad b=-\frac{k}{k_{1}}, \quad c=-\frac{k_{6}}{k_{2}}, \\
& \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{\mathbf{3}}(\mathbf{x})=[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla] \varphi_{3}(\mathbf{x})+\operatorname{rot}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla] \varphi_{4}(\mathbf{x}), \quad\left(\Delta-s_{2}^{2}\right) \varphi_{j}=0, \quad j=3,4 . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

In addition if

$$
\int_{S\left(0, a_{1}\right)} \varphi_{j} d s=0
$$

where $S\left(0, a_{1}\right) \subset D^{+}$is an arbitrary spherical surface of radius $a_{1}$. Between the vector $\boldsymbol{W}(\boldsymbol{x})=(\boldsymbol{w}, \theta)$ and the functions $\varphi_{j}, \quad j=1, . .4$, there exists one-to one correspondence.

Remark. By virtue of the equality

$$
\operatorname{rotrot}[x . \nabla] \varphi_{4}=-\Delta[x . \nabla] \varphi_{4},
$$

formula (21) can be rewritten in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{x})=a \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+b \operatorname{grad} \varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})+[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla] \varphi_{4}(\mathbf{x})+c \operatorname{rot}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla] \varphi_{3}(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \theta(\mathbf{x})=\varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+\varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x}) \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Below we shall use solution (23) to solve the BVP for spherical ring.

## Some auxiliary formulas

Let us introduce the spherical coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{1}=\rho \sin \vartheta \cos \varphi, \quad x_{2}=\rho \sin \vartheta \sin \varphi, \quad x_{3}=\rho \cos \vartheta, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
& y_{1}=R_{1} \sin \vartheta_{0} \cos \varphi_{0}, \quad y_{2}=R_{1} \sin \vartheta_{0} \sin \varphi_{0}, \quad y_{3}=R_{1} \cos \vartheta_{0}, \quad y \in S,  \tag{24}\\
& \rho^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}, \quad 0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2 \pi \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq R_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the sequel we use the following notations: If $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{g}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}\right)$ and $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x})=$ $\mathbf{q}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ then by symbols $(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q})$ and $[\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q}]$ will be denote scalar product and vector product, respectively

$$
(\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q})=\sum_{k=1}^{3} g_{k} q_{k}, \quad[\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{q}]=\left(g_{2} q_{3}-g_{3} q_{2}, g_{3} q_{1}-g_{1} q_{3}, g_{1} q_{2}-g_{2} q_{1}\right)
$$

The operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)}$ is determined as follows

$$
[\mathrm{x} \cdot \nabla]_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)}, \quad k=1,2,3, \quad \nabla=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}\right) .
$$

Simple calculations give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial S_{1}(x)} & =x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}-x_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}=-\cos \varphi \operatorname{ctg} \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}-\sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial S_{2}(x)} & =x_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}=-\sin \varphi \operatorname{ctg} \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}+\cos \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial S_{3}(x)} & =x_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}-x_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Below we use the following identities [7]

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathbf{x} \cdot \operatorname{rot} g(\mathbf{x}))=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial g_{k}(z)}{\partial S_{k}(z)}, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(z)}(\operatorname{rot}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla] h)_{k}=0 \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(z)}(\operatorname{rot} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))_{k}=\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})-\sum_{k=1}^{3} x_{k} \Delta \mathbf{g}_{k}(\mathbf{x})  \tag{25}\\
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}]_{k}=\rho^{2} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})-(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}))-\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(z)}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \operatorname{rotg}(\mathbf{x})]_{k}=-\left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+1\right) \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial g_{k}(z)}{\partial S_{k}(z)}, \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} x_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)}=0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)}, \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial S_{k}^{2}(x)}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \vartheta^{2}}+\operatorname{ctg\vartheta } \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \vartheta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \varphi^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial S_{k}}=0, \\
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}=0, \quad \frac{\partial g(\rho) Y(\vartheta, \varphi)}{\partial S_{k}(x)}=g(\rho) \frac{\partial Y(\vartheta, \varphi)}{\partial S_{k}(x)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this formulas it follows that, if $g_{m}$ is the spherical harmonic, the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}}, \quad k=1,2,3$, does not affect the order of the spherical function:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{m}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_{k}^{2}(x)}=-m(m+1) g_{m}(\mathbf{x})
$$

We introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathbf{z} . \mathbf{f}^{+}\right)=h_{1}^{+}(\mathbf{z}), \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(z)}\left[\mathbf{z} . \mathbf{f}^{+}\right]_{k}=h_{2}^{+}(\mathbf{z}), \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(z)} f_{k}^{+}=h_{3}^{+}(\mathbf{z}), \quad f_{4}^{+}=h_{4}^{+}(\mathbf{z}) . \\
& \left(\mathbf{z} . \mathbf{f}^{-}\right)=h_{1}^{-}(\mathbf{z}), \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(z)}\left[\mathbf{z} . \mathbf{f}^{-}\right]_{k}=h_{2}^{-}(\mathbf{z}), \quad \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(z)} f_{k}^{-}=h_{3}^{-}(\mathbf{z}), \quad f_{4}^{-}=h_{4}^{+}(\mathbf{z}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us assume that $\mathbf{f}$ and $f_{4}$ are sufficiently smooth(differentiable) functions and $h_{k}$ can be represented in the form

$$
h_{k}^{ \pm}(\mathbf{z})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} h_{k m}^{ \pm}(\vartheta, \varphi),
$$

where $h_{k m}^{ \pm}$is the spherical harmonic of order $m$ :

$$
h_{k m}^{ \pm}=\frac{2 m+1}{4 \pi R_{1}^{2}} \int_{S} P_{m}(\cos \gamma) h_{m}^{ \pm}(y) d S_{y}
$$

$P_{m}$ is Legender polynomial of the m -th order, $\gamma$ is an angle formed by the radius-vectors $O x$ and $O y$,

$$
\cos \gamma=\frac{1}{|x||y|} \sum_{m=1}^{3} x_{k} y_{k}
$$

## The BVP for the spherical ring

Let us assume that $\Omega$ is a spherical ring, $R_{1}<|\mathbf{x}|<R_{2}$, centered at point $O(0,0,0)$ in the Euclidean 3D space $E_{3}, S_{1}$ is a spherical surface of radius $R_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ is a spherical surface of radius $R_{2} . S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$.

The boundary value problem for the spherical ring is formulated as follows:
Find in the domain $\Omega$ a regular solution $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}, \theta)$ of equations (1),(2),(3) by the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathbf{u})^{-}=\mathbf{F}^{-}(\mathbf{y}), & (\mathbf{w})^{-}=\mathbf{f}^{-}(\mathbf{y}), \\
(\mathbf{u})^{+}=\mathbf{F}^{+}(\mathbf{y}), & (\mathbf{w})^{+}=\mathbf{f}^{+}(\mathbf{y}), \\
\left.\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{n}}+k_{1} \mathbf{n w}\right)^{-}=f_{4}^{-}(\mathbf{y}), & \rho=R_{1}, \\
\partial \mathbf{n} \\
\left.+k_{1} \mathbf{n w}\right)^{+}=f_{4}^{+}(\mathbf{y}), & \rho=R_{2},
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathbf{F}^{ \pm}, \mathbf{f}^{ \pm}, f_{4}^{ \pm}$are the given functions on $S$.
Theorem 4. Two regular solutions of the considered BVP problem may differ by the vector $\boldsymbol{V}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{w}, \theta)$, where $\quad \mathbf{u}=0, \quad \mathbf{w}=0, \quad \theta=$ const.

The general solution of the equations $\left(\Delta-s_{k}^{2}\right) \psi=0, \quad k=1,2$, in the domain $\Omega$ has the form ([7])

$$
\psi(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[\phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{k} \rho\right) Y_{m}(\vartheta, \varphi)+\Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{k} \rho\right) Z_{m}(\vartheta, \varphi)\right], \quad R_{1}<\rho<R_{2}
$$

The general solution of the equation $\Delta \phi=0$ in the domains $\Omega$ has the form

$$
\phi(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\rho^{m}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m-1}} Y_{m}(\vartheta, \varphi)+\frac{R_{1}^{m+2}}{(2 m+1) \rho^{m+1}} Z_{m}(\vartheta, \varphi)\right], \quad R_{1}<\rho<R_{2},
$$

where $Y_{m}(\theta, \varphi), Z_{m}(\theta, \varphi)$ are the spherical harmonics,

$$
\phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{k} \rho\right)=\frac{\sqrt{R_{2}} J_{m+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i s_{k} \rho\right)}{\sqrt{\rho} J_{m+\frac{1}{2}}\left(i s_{k} R_{2}\right)}, \quad \Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{k} \rho\right)=\frac{\sqrt{R_{1}} H_{m+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\left(i s_{k} \rho\right)}{\sqrt{\rho} H_{m+\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}\left(i s_{k} R_{1}\right)} .
$$

Using (23), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w})=a \rho \frac{\partial \varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \rho}+b \rho \frac{\partial \varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \rho}+c \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{3}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_{k}^{2}(x)} \\
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial S_{k}(x)}[\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{w}]_{k}=a \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_{k}^{2}(x)}+b \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_{k}^{2}(x)}-c\left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+1\right) \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{3}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_{k}^{2}(x)}  \tag{26}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial w_{k}}{\partial S_{k}(x)}=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi_{4}(\mathbf{x})}{\partial S_{k}^{2}(x)}, \quad \theta(\mathbf{x})=\varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})+\varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})
\end{gather*}
$$

Let the functions $\varphi_{m}(\mathbf{x}), \quad m=1,2,3,4$, be sought in the form

$$
\varphi_{1}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\rho^{m}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m-1}} Y_{1 m}(\vartheta, \varphi)+\frac{R_{1}^{m+2}}{(2 m+1) \rho^{m+1}} Z_{1 m}(\vartheta, \varphi)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{2}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[\phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right) Y_{2 m}(\vartheta, \varphi)+\Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right) Z_{2 m}(\vartheta, \varphi)\right], \\
& \varphi_{j}(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[\phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} \rho\right) Y_{j m}(\vartheta, \varphi)+\Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} \rho\right) Z_{j m}(\vartheta, \varphi)\right], j=3,4,
\end{aligned}
$$

The conditions $\int_{S\left(0, a_{1}\right)} \varphi_{j} d s=0 \quad j=3,4$ in fact mean that

$$
Y_{30}=Y_{40}=0, \quad Z_{30}=Z_{40}=0
$$

Substitute in (26) the functions $\varphi_{j}(\mathbf{x})$, passing to the limit as $\rho \rightarrow R_{1}, \rho \rightarrow R_{2}$ and taking into account boundary conditions, for determining the unknown values $Y_{j m}$ and $Z_{j m}$, we obtain the following system of algebraic equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m a R_{1}^{m}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m-1}} Y_{1 m}-\frac{(m+1) a R_{1}}{2 m+1} Z_{1 m}+b\left[\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Y_{2 m} \\
& +b\left[\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Z_{2 m}-c m(m+1)\left\{\left[\phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Y_{3 m}+Z_{3 m}\right\}=h_{1 m}^{-}, \\
& \frac{m a R_{2}}{(2 m+1)} Y_{1 m}-\frac{(m+1) a R_{1}^{m+2}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m+1}} Z_{1 m}+b\left[\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Y_{2 m} \\
& +b\left[\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Z_{2 m}-c m(m+1)\left\{Y_{3 m}+\left[\Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Z_{3 m}\right\}=h_{1 m}^{+}, \\
& -\frac{m(m+1) a R_{1}^{m}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m-1}} Y_{1 m}-\frac{a m(m+1) R_{1}}{2 m+1} Z_{1 m}-b m(m+1)\left\{\phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} R_{1}\right) Y_{2 m}+Z_{2 m}\right\} \\
& +c m(m+1)\left\{\left[\left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+1\right) \phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Y_{3 m}+\left[\left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+1\right) \Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Z_{3 m}\right\}=h_{2 m}^{-}, \\
& -\frac{m(m+1) a R_{2}}{2 m+1} Y_{1 m}-\frac{a m(m+1) R_{1}^{m+2}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m+1}} Z_{1 m}-b m(m+1)\left\{Y_{2 m}+\Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} R_{2}\right) Z_{2 m}\right\} \\
& +c m(m+1)\left\{\left[\left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+1\right) \phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Y_{3 m}+\left[\left(\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}+1\right) \Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Z_{3 m}\right\}=h_{2 m}^{+}, \\
& -m(m+1)\left\{\Phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} R_{1}\right) Y_{4 m}+Z_{4 m}\right\}=h_{3 m}^{-}, \\
& -m(m+1)\left\{Y_{4 m}+\Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{2} R_{2}\right) Z_{4 m}\right\}=h_{3 m}^{+},  \tag{27}\\
& \frac{m R_{1}^{m-1}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m-1} Y_{1 m}-\frac{m+1}{2 m+1} Z_{1 m}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Y_{2 m}}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Z_{2 m}=\frac{h_{4 m}^{-}}{k}+\frac{1}{R_{1} b} h_{1 m}^{-} \\
& \frac{m}{2 m+1} Y_{1 m}-\frac{(m+1) R_{1}^{m+2}}{(2 m+1) R_{2}^{m+2}} Z_{1 m}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Y_{2 m} \\
& +\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Psi_{m}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Z_{2 m}=\frac{h_{4 m}^{+}}{k}+\frac{1}{R_{2} b} h_{1 m}^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for $m=0,(27)$ is transformed to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{10}=\frac{b}{k(a-b)} h_{40}^{-}, \quad 0=h_{20}^{+}, \quad 0=h_{20}^{-}, \quad 0=h_{30}^{+}, \quad 0=h_{30}^{-}, \\
& 0 \cdot Y_{10}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{0}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Y_{20}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Psi_{0}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{1}} Z_{20}=\frac{h_{10}^{-}}{b R_{1}}+\frac{a}{b} Z_{10},  \tag{28}\\
& 0 \cdot Y_{10}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \phi_{0}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Y_{20}+\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \Psi_{0}^{(2)}\left(i s_{1} \rho\right)\right]_{\rho=R_{2}} Z_{20}=\frac{h_{10}^{+}}{b R_{2}}+\frac{a R_{1}^{2}}{b R_{2}^{2}} Z_{10 .} .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking into account the identities $J_{\frac{1}{2}}(z)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \sin z, \quad H_{\frac{1}{2}}^{(1)}(z)=-i \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} \exp (i z)$, after certain calculations, the determinant of system (28) takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta=\frac{\exp R_{1} s_{1}}{R_{1} R_{2} \sinh s_{1} R_{2}}\left\{\left(s_{1}^{2} R_{1} R_{2}-1\right) \sinh s_{1}\left(R_{2}-R_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+s_{1}\left(R_{2}-R_{1}\right) \cosh s_{1}\left(R_{2}-R_{1}\right)\right\} \neq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have shown that $Y_{10}$ is an arbitrary constant and for the solution to exist it is necessary that the conditions $h_{20}^{+}=0, \quad h_{20}^{-}=0, \quad R_{2}^{2} h_{40}^{+}=R_{1}^{2} h_{40}^{-} \quad$ be fulfilled. By virtue of the uniqueness theorems of solutions of the BVP, we conclude that the determinant of system (26) for $m \geq 1$ is different from zero and we obtain the required solution of problem in the form of series.
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## ON THE EXISTENCE OF UNBOUNDED OSCILLATORY SOLUTIONS OF LINEAR ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS OF THIRD ORDER
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#### Abstract

The statements on the existence of unbounded oscillatory solutions are proved. It is also shown that non-oscillatory solutions vanish at infinity for linear ordinary differential equations of third order.
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Let us consider the linear ordinary differential equation of third order

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}+p_{1}(t) u^{\prime \prime}+p_{2}(t) u^{\prime}+p_{3}(t) u=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{k}: R_{+} \rightarrow R(k=1,2,3)$ are continuous functions.
A nontrivial solution of equation (1) is called oscillatory if it has an infinite number of zeros, and non-oscillatory otherwise. In the present paper, when $p_{3}$ is non-negative, we prove the statements on the existence of unbounded oscillatory solutions, and also show that non-oscillatory solutions vanish at infinity.

We will first prove some auxiliary propositions.
Lemma 1. Let $\alpha \leq 1$, let the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{k \alpha}\left|p_{k}(t)\right|<+\infty \quad(k=1,2,3) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be fulfilled and let equation (1) have a solution, satisfying for some $\mu \geq 0$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-\mu}|u(t)|<+\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-\mu+j \alpha}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right|<+\infty \quad(j=1,2) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (2) and (3) we can choose numbers $t_{0} \geq 1$ and $c>1$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
t^{k \alpha}\left|p_{k}(t)\right|<c \quad(k=1,2,3) \text { for } t \geq t_{0}  \tag{5}\\
t^{-\mu}|u(t)|<c \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{2} t^{(j-3) \alpha}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right| \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the lemma is not true, i.e.

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{2} t^{-\mu+j \alpha}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right|=+\infty
$$

Then there exist increasing sequences $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{+\infty},\left(M_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{+\infty}$ such that $t_{1}>t_{0}, t_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$, $M_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
M_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{2} t^{-\mu+j \alpha}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right|=\max \left\{\sum_{j=1}^{2} t^{-\mu+j \alpha}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right|: t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{i}\right\} .
$$

Thus we can assume that there exists $l \in\{1,2\}$ such that for any $i \in N$

$$
t_{i}^{-\mu+l \alpha}\left|u^{(l)}\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \geq \frac{M_{i}}{2}
$$

Suppose first that $l=2$ and $h>0$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
h c<\frac{1}{4}, \quad h c(1-h)^{\mu-3 \alpha}<\frac{1}{4} .
$$

Then by virtue of (7)

$$
\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \geq\left|u^{\prime \prime}\left(t_{i}\right)\right|-\int_{t}^{t_{i}}\left|u^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right| d s \geq \frac{M_{i}}{2} t_{i}^{\mu-2 \alpha}-\int_{t}^{t_{i}} c M_{i} s^{\mu-3 \alpha} d s
$$

and therefore if $\mu-3 \alpha \geq 0$, then

$$
\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \geq \frac{M_{i}}{2} t_{i}^{\mu-2 \alpha}-c M_{i} t_{i}^{\mu-3 \alpha} h t_{i}^{\alpha} \geq \frac{M_{i}}{4} t_{i}^{\mu-2 \alpha} \text { for } t \in\left[t_{i}-h t_{i}^{\alpha} ; t_{i}\right],
$$

and if $\mu-3 \alpha<0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \geq \frac{M_{i}}{2} t_{i}^{\mu-2 \alpha}-c M_{i} t_{i}^{\mu-3 \alpha}(1-h)^{\mu-3 \alpha} h t_{i}^{\alpha} \geq \frac{M_{i}}{4} t_{i}^{\mu-2 \alpha} \\
\text { for } t \in\left[t_{i}-h t_{i}^{\alpha} ; t_{i}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $s_{0}=t_{i}-h t_{i}^{\alpha}, s_{1}=t_{i}-\frac{h t_{i}^{\alpha}}{2}, s_{2}=t_{i}$. Then there exists $\xi \in\left[s_{0}, s_{2}\right]$ such that

$$
\frac{u(\xi)}{2}=\frac{u\left(s_{0}\right)}{\left(s_{1}-s_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{0}\right)}-\frac{u\left(s_{1}\right)}{\left(s_{1}-s_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)}+\frac{u\left(s_{2}\right)}{\left(s_{2}-s_{0}\right)\left(s_{2}-s_{1}\right)} .
$$

Hence by virtue of (6) we obtain

$$
\frac{M_{i}}{4} t_{i}^{\mu-2 \alpha} \leq\left|u^{\prime \prime}(\xi)\right| \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^{2} \frac{\left|u\left(s_{j}\right)\right|}{\left(\frac{h t_{i}^{\alpha}}{2}\right)^{2}} \leq \frac{8 c c_{\mu}}{h^{2}} t_{i}^{\mu-2 \alpha}
$$

where $c_{\mu}=1$ if $\mu \geq 0$, and $c_{\mu}=(1-h)^{\mu}$ if $\mu<0$. Therefore

$$
M_{i} \leq \frac{32 c c_{\mu}}{h^{2}}
$$

For any $i \in N$, which is a contradiction. In an analogous manner we obtain a contradiction when $l=1$. The lemma is proved.

Remark 1. For $\alpha=\mu=0$, Lemma 1 is proved in [1]. For second order equations see [2].

Lemma 2. Let $\beta>0, \alpha \geq 0$, let the conditions

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|p_{k}(t)\right| \exp \left(-\alpha k t^{\beta}\right)<+\infty \quad(k=1,2,3)
$$

be fulfilled and for some $\mu>0$ let equations (1) have a solution, satisfying the condition

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}|u(t)| \exp \left(-\mu t^{\beta}\right)<+\infty
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right| \exp \left(-(\mu+j \alpha) t^{\beta}\right)<+\infty \quad(j=1,2) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By transformation of the variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=\exp \left(\mu t^{\beta}\right) v(s), \quad s=\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(\alpha \tau^{\beta}\right) d \tau \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

equation (1) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)+\widetilde{p}_{1}(s) v^{\prime \prime}(s)+\widetilde{p}_{2}(s) v^{\prime}(s)+\widetilde{p}_{3}(s) v(s)=0, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{p}_{1}(s)= & \left(p_{1}(t)+\mu \beta t^{\beta-1}+(\mu+\alpha) \beta t^{\beta-1}+\beta(\mu+2 \alpha) t^{\beta-1}\right) \exp \left(-\alpha t^{\beta}\right) \\
\widetilde{p}_{2}(s)=[ & p_{2}(t)+p_{1}(t)\left(\mu \beta t^{\beta-1}+(\mu+\alpha) \beta t^{\beta-1}\right)+\mu \beta(\beta-1) t^{\beta-2}+ \\
& +\mu^{2} \beta^{2} t^{2 \beta-2}+\mu \beta(\beta-1) t^{\beta-2}+\mu(\mu+\alpha) \beta t^{2 \beta-2}+ \\
& \left.\quad+(\mu+\alpha) \beta(\beta-1) t^{\beta-2}+(\mu+\alpha)^{2} \beta^{2} t^{2 \beta-2}\right] \exp \left(-2 \alpha t^{\beta}\right) \\
\widetilde{p}_{3}(s)= & p_{3}(t)+p_{2}(t) \mu \beta t^{\beta-1}+ \\
& \left.+p_{1}(t)\left(\mu \beta(\beta-1) t^{\beta-2}+\mu^{2} \beta^{2} t^{2 \beta-2}\right)+\mu^{3} \beta^{3} t^{3 \beta-3}\right] \exp \left(-3 \alpha t^{\beta}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that for equation (10) the conditions of Lemma 1 are fulfilled if it is assumed that $\mu=0$ and $\alpha=0$. Therefore

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|v^{(j)}(s)\right|<+\infty \quad(j=1,2)
$$

This, by virtue of (9), implies inequality (8). The Lemma is proved.
Theorem 1. If the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{2}(t) \leq & 0, \quad p_{3}(t) \geq 0 \text { for } t \in R_{+},  \tag{11}\\
& \int_{0}^{+\infty}\left[p_{1}(t)\right]_{+} d t<+\infty \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

are fulfilled, then there exists a solution of equation (1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-\frac{3}{2}+j}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right|>0 \quad(j=1,2) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, besides, condition (2) is fulfilled for some $\alpha \leq 1$, then equation (1) has a solution which, in addition to (13), also satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}|u(t)|>0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be solutions of equation (1) which satisfy the initial conditions

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
u_{1}(0)=0, & u_{1}^{\prime}(0)=1, & u_{1}(0)=0 \\
u_{2}(0)=0, & u_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0, & u_{2}^{\prime \prime}(0)=1 .
\end{array}
$$

Let us introduce the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{01}(t)=u_{1}(t) u_{2}^{\prime}(t)-u_{1}^{\prime}(t) u_{2}(t), \\
& v_{02}(t)=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right)\left(u_{1}(t) u_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) u_{2}(t)\right), \\
& v_{12}(t)=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right)\left(u_{1}^{\prime}(t) u_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-u_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) u_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector-function $x=\operatorname{colon}\left(v_{01}, v_{02}, v_{12}\right)$ is a solution of the problem

$$
x^{\prime}=A(t) x, \quad x(0)=\operatorname{colon}(0,0,1),
$$

where

$$
A(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & 0 \\
-p_{2}(t) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & {\left[p_{1}(t)\right]_{-}} & 1 \\
p_{3}(t) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & 0 & {\left[p_{1}(t)\right]_{-}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let

$$
y(t)=\operatorname{colon}\left(\int_{0}^{t} s \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) d s, t, 1\right) .
$$

Then $y$ satisfies the system

$$
y^{\prime}=B(t) y
$$

where

$$
B(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since $x(0) \geq y(0) \geq 0$ and

$$
A(t) \geq B(t) \geq 0 \text { for } t \geq 0
$$

it is easy to show that

$$
x(t) \geq y(t) \text { for } t \geq 0 .
$$

Therefore

$$
v_{01}(t) \geq \int_{0}^{t} s \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) d s \text { for } t \geq 0
$$

With (12) taken into account, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{v_{01}(t)}{t^{2}}>0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ satisfies condition (13). Indeed, assuming the contrary, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} u_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-\frac{3}{2}} u_{i}(t)=0 \quad(i=1,2),
$$

which contradicts condition (15).
Now assume that conditions (2) are fulfilled, then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{i}(t)=0 \quad(i=1,2) .
$$

In that case, by virtue of Lemma 1

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_{i}^{\prime}(t)<+\infty \quad(i=1,2)
$$

and therefore

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-2} v_{01}(t)=0
$$

which contradicts inequality (15). The theorem is proved.
Corollaries 1.2.1, 1.3.1 (see [3], pp. 453, 455]) and Theorem 1 immediately give rise to the following propositions.

Corollary 1.1. Let $\alpha<1$, conditions (11),(12) and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{k \alpha} p_{k}(t)=0 \quad(k=1,2) \\
0<\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3 \alpha} p_{3}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3 \alpha} p_{3}(t)<+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

be fulfilled. Then equation (1) has an oscillatory solution which satisfies conditions (13) and (14).

Theorem 2. Let (11),(12) and let one of the following two conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3} p_{3}(t)=+\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{2} p_{2}(t)=+\infty \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

be fulfilled. Then equation (1) has a solution such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-\mu}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right|=+\infty \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mu>0$ and $j \in\{1,2\}$. If, besides, conditions (2) hold for some $\alpha<1$, then there exists a solution of equation (1) which satisfies condition (18) for any $\mu>0$ and $j \in\{0,1,2\}$.

Proof. It is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1, now for $t \geq t_{0}>0$ we put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
\frac{\nu(\nu-1) t^{\nu-2}}{\int_{0}^{t} s^{\nu} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) d s} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& y(t)=\operatorname{colon}\left(\int_{0}^{t} s^{\nu} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) d s, t^{\nu}, \nu t^{\nu-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if conditions (16) are fulfilled, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & 0 \\
\frac{\nu t^{\nu 1}}{\int_{0}^{t} s^{\nu} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) d s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& y(t)=\operatorname{colon}\left(\int_{0}^{t} s^{\nu} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) d s, t^{\nu}, 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

if (17) is fulfilled.
Remark 2. In Theorems 1 and 2, the requirement that $p_{2}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ is an essential one.

Indeed, let us consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}-u^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4} u^{\prime}+\frac{9}{4} u=0, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a fundamental system of solutions

$$
e^{-t}, \quad e^{-t} \sin \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} t, \quad e^{-t} \cos \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2} t
$$

Thus equation (19) has no unbounded solution though all the conditions of Theorems 1 and 2 are fulfilled except the condition that the function $p_{2}$ is non-positive.

According to Theorem 3.2 [5], Theorem 2 immediately implies
Corollary 2.1. Let $\alpha<1$, let conditions (11), (12), (16) and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{k}\left|p_{k}(t)\right|<+\infty \quad(k=1,2), \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3 \alpha} p_{3}(t)<+\infty
$$

be fulfilled. Then equation (1) has an oscillatory solution, satisfying conditions (18) for any $\mu>0$ and $j \in\{0,1,2\}$.

Theorem 3. Let $\sigma>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{-\sigma} \int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s<+\infty \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

let inequality (11) and one of the following two conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3-3 \sigma} p_{3}(t)=+\infty \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{2-2 \sigma}\left|p_{2}(t)\right|=+\infty \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

be fulfilled. Then (1) has a solution such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u^{(j)}(t)\right| \exp \left(-\mu t^{\sigma}\right)=+\infty \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mu>0$ and $j \in\{1,2\}$. If, besides, for some $\alpha \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|p_{k}(t)\right| \exp \left(-\alpha k t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty \quad(k=1,2,3) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists a solution of equation (1) which satisfies condition (23) for any $\mu>0$ and $j \in\{0,1,2\}$.

Proof. We begin by assuming that condition (21) is fulfilled. Let $\mu>0$ and $u_{1}, u_{2}$, $v_{01}, v_{02}, v_{12}, x, A$ be defined as they were in proving Theorem 1, and let $\nu$ be chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s \leq(\nu-2 \mu) t^{\sigma} \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
\begin{gathered}
y(t)= \\
=\operatorname{colon}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) \exp \left(\nu s^{\sigma}\right) d s, \exp \left(\nu t^{\sigma}\right), \nu \sigma t^{\sigma-1} \exp \left(\nu t^{\sigma}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then $y$ on the interval $] 0,+\infty[$ satisfies the system

$$
y^{\prime}=B(t) y,
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
b_{\sigma \nu}(t) & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& b_{\sigma \nu}(t)=\frac{\left(\nu(\sigma-1) \sigma t^{\sigma-2}+\nu^{2} \sigma^{2} t^{2 \sigma-2}\right) \exp \left(\nu t^{\sigma}\right)}{\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) \exp \left(\nu s^{\sigma}\right) d s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (21) it is easy to verify that

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{p_{3}(t) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right)}{b_{\sigma \nu}(t)}=+\infty .
$$

If $\varepsilon>0$ is such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
x\left(t_{0}\right) \geq \varepsilon y\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0 \\
A(t) \geq B(t) \geq 0 \text { for } t \geq t_{0},
\end{gathered}
$$

then it can be easily shown that

$$
x(t) \geq \varepsilon y(t) \text { for } t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Therefore

$$
v_{01}(t) \geq \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) \exp \left(\nu s^{\sigma}\right) d s \text { for } t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Hence by virtue of (25) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{v_{01}(t)}{t^{1-\sigma} \exp \left(2 \mu t^{\sigma}\right)}=+\infty \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ satisfies condition (23). Indeed, if we assume the contrary, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right| \exp \left(-\mu t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty \quad(i=1,2) \\
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{i}(t)\right| t^{\sigma-1} \exp \left(-\mu t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty(i=1,2)
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} v_{01}(t) t^{\sigma-1} \exp \left(-2 \mu t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty
$$

which contradicts (26). Thus $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ satisfies condition (23).
If, besides, (24) holds and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{i}(t)\right| \exp \left(-\mu t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty \quad(i=1,2)
$$

then by virtue of Lemma 2 we obtain

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|u_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right| \exp \left(-(\mu+\alpha) t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty \quad(i=1,2)
$$

and

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} v_{01}(t) \exp \left(-(2 \mu+\alpha) t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty
$$

But, as above, this is a contradiction.
Now assume that condition (22) is fulfilled. Then the proof is carried out as above, only in this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B(t)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t}\left[p_{1}(s)\right]_{+} d s\right) & 0 \\
\frac{\nu \sigma t^{\sigma-1} \exp \left(\nu t^{\sigma}\right)}{\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) \exp \left(\nu s^{\sigma}\right) d s} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& y(t)=\operatorname{colon}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{s}\left[p_{1}(\tau)\right]_{+} d \tau\right) \exp \left(\nu s^{\sigma}\right) d s, \exp \left(\nu t^{\sigma}\right), 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The theorem is proved.
According to Theorem 3.2 [5], Theorem 3 immediately implies
Corollary 3.1. Let conditions (11), (20) be fulfilled and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|p_{k}(t)\right|<+\infty \quad(k=1,2), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} p_{3}(t)=+\infty \\
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} p_{3}(t) \exp \left(-3 \alpha t^{\sigma}\right)<+\infty
\end{gathered}
$$

Then equation (1) has an oscillatory solution, satisfying conditions (23) for any $j \in$ $\{0,1,2\}$.

In conclusion, we present a theorem on an asymptotic oscillatory solution of equation (1) when $p_{3}$ is a non-negative function.

Theorem 4. If equation (1) is oscillatory,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(t) \geq 0, \quad p_{2}(t) \leq 0, \quad p_{3}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text { for } t \geq 0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} p_{1}(t) d t<+\infty
$$

then equation (1) has a non-oscillatory solution and any of such solutions satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \text { for } t \geq 0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u(t)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove this theorem we need lemmas on the asymptotic properties of solutions of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{a_{2}(t)}\left(\frac{x^{\prime}}{a_{1}(t)}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p(t) x=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.a_{i}(t): R_{+} \rightarrow\right] 0,+\infty\left[(i=1,2), p: R_{+} \rightarrow R_{+}\right.$are continuous functions.
Lemma 3. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} a_{2}(t) d t=+\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{+\infty} a_{1}(t) \int_{0}^{t} a_{2}(s) d s d t=+\infty \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation (1) have the solution $x$ which for some $t_{0} \geq 0$ satisfies the conditions

$$
x(t)>0, \quad x^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}(t)} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0 \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Then equation (29) is non-oscillatory.
For the proof of this lemma see ([6], Lemma 4.2).
Lemma 4. If $p$ is not identically zero in the neighborhood of $+\infty$, conditions (30) are fulfilled and $x$ is a solution of equation (29) that satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)>0 \text { for } t \geq t_{0} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that either

$$
x^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}(t)} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0 \text { for } t \geq t_{1}
$$

or

$$
x^{\prime}(t)<0, \quad\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}(t)} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0 \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{0} .
$$

Proof. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{a_{2}(t)}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}(t)} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0 \text { for } t \geq t_{0} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p(t) \geq 0$, the function

$$
\frac{1}{a_{2}}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}} x^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

does not increase. If (32) does not hold, then since $p$ is not identically zero in the neighborhood of $\infty$, there are $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ and $c_{0}<0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{a_{2}(t)}\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}(t)} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq c_{0} \text { for } t \geq t_{1}
$$

This inequality readily implies that

$$
x(t) \leq c_{0} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{1}}^{s_{1}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) d s_{2} d s_{1}+\frac{x^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)}{a_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)} \int_{t_{1}}^{t} a_{1}(s) d s+x\left(t_{1}\right) \text { for } t \geq t_{1}
$$

If in the latter inequality we pass to the limit as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, then, taking (30) into account, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)=-\infty
$$

The obtained contradiction proves (32). The lemma is proved.
Lemma 5. Let condition (30) be fulfilled. Then for the existence of a solution $x$ of equation (29) that satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)=1 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3}<+\infty \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Choose such a large $t_{0}$ that

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{+\infty} \int_{t_{0}}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3}=\Theta<1
$$

Let

$$
S=\left\{x \in C \left(\left[t_{0},+\infty[): 0 \leq x(t) \leq 2 \text { for } t \geq t_{0}\right\} .\right.\right.
$$

Consider the integral operator $F: S \rightarrow S$ defined by the equality

$$
F(x)(t)=1+\int_{t}^{+\infty} \int_{t}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{t}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) x\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3} .
$$

If $u, v \in S$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
|F(u)(t)-F(v)(t)| \\
\leq\left|\int_{t}^{+\infty} \int_{t}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{t}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right)\left(u\left(s_{3}\right)-v\left(s_{3}\right)\right) d s_{3}\right| \\
\leq\|u-v\| \cdot \Theta \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

This means that $F$ is a contracting operator and by virtue of the well-known Banach theorem, $F$ has a fixed point, i.e. there exists $x \in S$ such that

$$
x(t)=1+\int_{t}^{+\infty} \int_{t}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{t}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3} \text { for } t \geq t_{0} .
$$

It is easy to verify that $x$ is a solution of equation (29) that satisfies (33).
Necessity. Assume that $x$ is a solution of equation (29) that satisfies condition (33). Then by virtue of Lemma 4 there exists $t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
x(t)>0, \quad x^{\prime}(t)<0, \quad\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}(t)} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0 \text { for } t \geq t_{0} .
$$

The equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \int_{t_{0}}^{s} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p(s) x(s) d s \\
&=-\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} \frac{1}{a_{2}(t)}\left(\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{a_{1}(t)}\right)^{\prime} \\
& \quad+\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} \frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{a_{1}(t)}-x(t)+x\left(t_{0}\right) \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

implies (34). The lemma is proved.
Lemma 6. Let condition (30) be fulfilled. Then for the existence of a solution $x$ of equation (29) that satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{x(t)}{\int_{0}^{t} a_{1}(s) \int_{0}^{s} a(\tau) d \tau d s}=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is necessary and sufficient that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{+\infty} p\left(s_{3}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{3}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{1}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) d s_{2} d s_{1} d s_{3}<+\infty \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The sufficiency is proved as in Lemma 5, but in this case the set $S$ and the operator $F: S \rightarrow S$ are defined as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
S= & \left\{u \in C \left(\left[t_{0},+\infty[): 0 \leq u(t) \leq \int_{0}^{t} a_{1}(s) \int_{0}^{s} a_{2}(\tau) d \tau d s \text { for } t \geq t_{0}\right\}\right.\right. \\
F(u)(t)= & \int_{t_{0}}^{t} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{s_{1}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) d s_{2} d s_{1} \\
& +\int_{t_{0}}^{t} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{t_{0}}^{s_{1}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{s_{2}}^{+\infty} p\left(s_{3}\right) u\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3} d s_{2} d s_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Necessity. If $x$ is a solution of equation (29) that satisfies condition (35), then, taking into account Lemma 4, we obtain

$$
x(t)>0, \quad x^{\prime}(t)>0, \quad\left(\frac{1}{a_{1}(t)} x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}>0 \text { for } t \geq t_{0}
$$

Then by virtue of (35) from the equality

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t} p(s) x(s) d s=-\frac{1}{a_{2}(t)}\left(\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{a_{1}(t)}\right)^{\prime}+\left.\frac{1}{a_{2}(t)}\left(\frac{x^{\prime}(t)}{a_{1}(t)}\right)^{\prime}\right|_{t=t_{0}}
$$

we have (36). The lemma is proved.
Lemma 7. Let equation (29) be oscillatory and let condition (30) be fulfilled. In addition to this, assume that there is a number $c>0$ such that the inequality

$$
\frac{a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)}{a_{2}\left(s_{1}\right)} \geq \frac{a_{1}\left(s_{2}\right)}{a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right)} \cdot c
$$

holds for for any $s_{1}>0$ and $s_{2}>0$, where $s_{1} \leq s_{2}$. Then equation (29) has a non-oscillatory solution and any such solution tends to zero at infinity.

Proof. The existence of a non-oscillatory solution follows from Theorem 14.2.1 in [7]. Since equation (29) is oscillatory, by virtue of Lemmas 3, 4, 6

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} p\left(s_{3}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{3}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{1}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) d s_{2} d s_{1} d s_{3}=+\infty
$$

Then, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3} \\
& =\int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{2}} \frac{a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)}{a_{2}\left(s_{1}\right)} a_{2}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3} \\
& \\
& \geq c \int_{0}^{+\infty} \int_{0}^{s_{3}} a_{1}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{2}} a_{2}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\int_{0}^{+\infty} p\left(s_{3}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{0}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} d s_{3}=+\infty
$$

Therefore, if $x$ is a non-oscillatory solution of equation (29), by virtue of Lemmas $3,4,5$

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x(t)=0
$$

The lemma is proved.
Proof. [Proof of Theorem 4] Equation (1) on the interval [ $0,+\infty$ [ can be written in the form (29), where

$$
p(t)=p_{3}(t) v(t) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} p_{1}(s) d s\right)
$$

$a_{1}, a_{2}$ are defined by the equalities

$$
a_{1}(t)=v(t), \quad a_{2}(t)=v^{-2}(t) \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} p_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

and $v$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\left(g(t) v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+q(t)=0,
$$

where

$$
g(t)=\exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} p_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right), \quad q(t)=g(t) p_{2}(t)
$$

which satisfies the condition

$$
v(t)>0, \quad v^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \text { for } t \geq 0
$$

Then, as is known (see [7, pp. 419-422]), condition (30) is fulfilled.

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right)}{a_{2}\left(s_{1}\right)} & =\frac{a_{1}\left(s_{2}\right)}{a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{v_{1}^{3}\left(s_{1}\right)}{v_{1}^{3}\left(s_{2}\right)} \exp \left(-\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} p_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right) \\
& \geq \frac{a_{1}\left(s_{2}\right)}{a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right)} \cdot c \text { for } s_{2} \geq s_{1} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
c=\exp \left(-\int_{0}^{+\infty} p_{1}(\tau) d \tau\right)
$$

Thus all the conditions of Lemma 7 are fulfilled. This lemma immediately implies the validity of the theorem.

Remark 3. In Theorem 4 the condition $p_{2}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq 0$ is an essential one.
Indeed, let us consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime \prime}+\frac{1}{4 t^{2}} u^{\prime}+\frac{c}{t^{3} \ln ^{3 / 2} t} u=0 \quad(t \geq a>1) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$. By Theorem 5 [8] this equation is oscillatory. Equation (37) can be written in the form (29), where

$$
a_{1}(t)=t^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{t}, \quad p(t)=\frac{c}{t^{5 / 2} \ln ^{3 / 2} t} .
$$

Since

$$
\int_{a}^{+\infty} \int_{a}^{s_{3}} a_{2}\left(s_{2}\right) \int_{a}^{s_{2}} a_{1}\left(s_{1}\right) d s_{1} d s_{2} p\left(s_{3}\right) d s_{3}<+\infty
$$

By virtue of Lemma 5, equation (37) has a solution, satisfying condition (33).
Corollaries 1.1, 2.1 and Theorem 4 immediately give rise to the following propositions.

Corollary 4.1. Let $\alpha<1$, conditions (27) be fulfilled and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{+\infty} p_{1}(t) d t<+\infty, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{k \alpha} p_{k}(t)=0 \quad(k=1,2), \\
& 0<\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3 \alpha} p_{3}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3 \alpha} p_{3}(t)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Then equation (1) has both non-oscillatory solutions, satisfying condition (28) and oscillatory solutions, satisfying conditions (13), (14).

Corollary 4.2. Let conditions (27) be fulfilled and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{+\infty} p_{1}(t) d t<+\infty, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{k} p_{k}(t)=0 \quad(k=1,2) \\
& \frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{9}<\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3} p_{3}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} t^{3} p_{3}(t)<+\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Then equation (1) has both oscillatory solutions satisfying both condition (28) and conditions (13), (14).

Remark 4. From the results of [9] (see also [10], [11]) it follows that under the conditions of Theorem 4, the solution of equation (1), satisfying condition (28), is unique to within a constant multiplier.
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## COMPARISON THEOREMS AND SOME TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR SECOND ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Koplatadze R.


#### Abstract

Some two-point singular boundary value problems for second order linear differential equations are investigated.


Keywords and phrases: Comparison theorem, linear differential equation.
AMS subject classification (2010): 34K15.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+p(t) u=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\prime \prime}+q(t) v=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p, q \in C((a, b) ; R)$. For these equations Sturm [1] proved a comparison theorem, which later was widely used in studying the boundary value problems and asymptotic behavior of solutions. For generalizations of Sturm's theorems see [2], and for singular case see [3-5].

## 2. Some auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 2.1. Let $a<t_{0}<b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p, q \in C\left(\left(a, t_{0}\right] ; R_{+}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t_{0}}(p(s)-q(s)) d s \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in\left(a, t_{0}\right] . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v \in C^{(2)}\left(\left(a, t_{0}\right] ;[0,+\infty)\right)$ be a solution of equation (1.2) under conditions

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow a_{0}+} v(t)=v\left(a_{0}+\right)=0, \quad v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{a_{0}}^{t_{0}} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)}=+\infty
$$

where $a \leq a_{0}, v(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(a_{0}, t_{0}\right]$ and $v\left(a_{0}\right)=0$. If $u \in C^{(2)}\left(\left(a_{0}, t_{0}\right] ; R\right)$ is a solution of equation (1.1), then at least one of the conditions

1) there exist $t_{*} \in\left(a, t_{0}\right)$ such that $u\left(t_{*}\right)=0$
2) $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \leq 0$
is fulfilled.
Lemma 2.2. Let $a<t_{0}<b$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p, q \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, b\right) ; R_{+}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t}(p(s)-q(s)) d s \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, b\right)
$$

Let $v \in C^{(2)}\left(\left[t_{0} b\right) ;[0,+\infty)\right)$ be a solution of equation (1.2) under conditions

$$
v\left(b_{0}-\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow b_{0}-} v(t)=0, \quad v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0
$$

and

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{b_{0}} \frac{d s}{v^{2}(s)}=+\infty
$$

where $b_{0} \leq b, v(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[t_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ and $v\left(b_{0}\right)=0$. If $\left.u \in C^{(2)}\left[t_{0}, b_{0}\right) ; R\right)$ is a solution of equation (1.1), then at least one of the conditions

1) there exist $t_{*} \in\left(t_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ such that $u\left(t_{*}\right)=0$ or
2) $u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right) \geq 0$
is fulfilled.
Remark 2.1. If in Lemmas 2.1 and $2.2 p(t) \geq q(t)$ for $t \in(a, b)$, then conditions (2.1) and (2.3) are unnecessary.

## 3. Two-point boundary value problems

Consider the problems on the existence of solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+q(t) u=f(t), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q, f \in C((a, b) ; R)$, under conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(a+)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(b-)=0,  \tag{3.2}\\
u^{\prime}(a+)=0, \quad u(b-)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a+)=u(b-)=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $q \in C\left((a, b] ; R_{+}\right),(t-a) q \in L([a, b])$ and there exists a function $p \in C\left((a, b] ; R_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\int_{t}^{b}(p(s)-q(s)) d s \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in(a, b)
$$

and equation (1.1) has a solution $u:(a, b) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that $u^{\prime}(b)>0$. Then problem (3.1), (3.2) has only one solution.

Corollary 3.1. Let $q \in C\left((a, b] ; R_{+}\right),(t-a) q \in L([a, b])$ and

$$
\int_{t}^{b} p(s) d s \leq \frac{b-t}{4(b-a)(t-a)} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in(a, b] .
$$

Then problem (3.1), (3.2) has only one solution.
Corollary 3.2. Let $q \in C\left((a, b] ; R_{+}\right),(t-a) q \in L([a, b])$,

$$
q(t) \leq \frac{1}{4(t-a)^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[a, b)
$$

Then problem (3.1), (3.3) has only one solution.
Theorem 3.2. Let $q \in C\left([a, b) ; R_{+}\right),(b-t) q \in L([a, b])$ and there exists a function $p \in C\left([a, b] ; R_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\int_{a}^{t}(p(s)-q(s)) d s \geq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[a, b)
$$

and equation (1.1) has a solution $u:(a, b) \rightarrow(0,+\infty)$ such that $u^{\prime}(a)<0$. Then problem (3.1), (3.3) has only one solution.

Corollary 3.3. Let $q \in C\left([a, b) ; R_{+}\right),(b-t) q \in L([a, b]$ and

$$
\int_{a}^{t} q(s) d s \leq \frac{t-a}{4(b-a)(b-t)} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[a, b)
$$

Then problem (3.1), (3.3) has only one solution.
Corollary 3.4. Let $q \in C([a, b) ; R),(b-t) q \in L([a, b])$ and

$$
q(t) \leq \frac{1}{4(b-t)^{2}} \quad \text { for } \quad a \leq t<b
$$

Then problem (3.1), (3.2) has only one solution.
Theorem 3.3 Let $p ; q \in C((a, b) ; R),(t-a)(b-t) q \in L([a, b])$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(t) \leq p(t) \quad \text { for } \quad t \in(a, b) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there exist $t_{*} \in(a, b)$ and solution $u \in C^{(2)}((a, b) ;(0,+\infty))$ of equation (1.1) such that $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(a, t_{*}\right]$ or $u^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in\left[t_{*}, b\right)$, then problem (3.1), (3.4) has only one solution.

Corollary 3.5. Let $q \in C((a, b) ; R),(t-a)(b-t) q \in L([a, b])$ and let (3.5) be fulfilled, where

$$
p(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4(t-a)^{2}} & \text { for } \quad t \in\left(a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right] \\ \frac{1}{4(b-t)^{2}} & \text { for } \quad t \in\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right) .\end{cases}
$$

Then problem (3.1), (3.4) has only one solution.
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# ON ONE PROBLEM OF STATICS OF THE THEORY OF ELASTIC MIXTURES FOR A SQUARE WHICH IS WEAKENED BY A HOLE AND BY CUTTINGS AT VERTICES 

Svanadze K.


#### Abstract

In the present work we consider the problem of statics of the linear theory of elastic mixtures for a square which is weakened by a hole and by cuttings at vertices about of finding an equally strong contour. The hole and cutting boundaries are assumed to be free from external forces, and to the remaing part of the square boundary are applied the same absolutely rigid punches, subjected to the action of external normal contractive forces with the given principal vectors.

Relying on the analogous to Kolosov-Muskhelishvilis formulas, in the linear theory of elastic mixtures, the problem reduces to a mixed problem of the theory of analytic functions (the Keldysh-Sedov problem), and the solution of the latter allows us to construct complex potentials and equations of an unknown contour efficiently (in analytical form). The analysis of the obtained results is carried out and the formula of tangential normal stress vector is derived.


Keywords and phrases: Equally strong contour, elastic mixture, generalized KolosovMuskhelishvili representation, Keldysh-Sedov problem.
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## Introduction

The problems of the plane theory of elasticity for infinite domains weakened by equally strong holes have been studied in [1], [8] and also by many other authors. The same problem for simple and doubly-connected domains with partially unknown boundaries are investigated in [2], [9] etc. The mixed boundary value problems of the plane theory of elasticity for domains with partially unknown boundaries have been studied by R. Bantsuri [3]. Analogous problem in the case of the plane theory of elastic mixtures is considered in [16].

In [14], using the method, suggested by R. Banstsuri in [4], the author gives a solution of the mixed problem of the plane theory of elasticity for a finite multiply connected domain with a partially unknown boundary having the axis of symmetry. Analogous problem in the case of the plane theory of elastic mixtures has been studied in [17]. In the work of R. Bantsuri and G. Kapanadze [5] the problem, of statics of the plane theory of elasticity, of finding an equally strong contour for a square which is weakened by a hole and by cuttings at vertices are considered.

In the present work, in the case of the plane theory of elastic mixtures we study the problem, analogous to that solved in [5]. For the solution of the problem the use will be made of the generalized Kolosov-Muskhelishvili's formula [17] and the method, developed in [5].

## 1. Some auxiliary formulas and operators

The homogeneous equation of statics of the theory of elastic mixtures in a complex form looks as follows [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}}+\mathcal{K} \frac{\partial^{2} \bar{U}}{\partial \bar{z}^{2}}=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}, \bar{z}=x_{1}-i x_{2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\right), U=$ $\left(u_{1}+i u_{2}, u_{3}+i u_{4}\right)^{T}, u^{\prime}=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $u^{\prime \prime}=\left(u_{3}, u_{4}\right)^{T}$ are partial displacements

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{K}=-\frac{1}{2} e m^{-1}, \quad e=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{4} & e_{5} \\
e_{5} & e_{6}
\end{array}\right], \quad m^{-1}=\frac{1}{\Delta_{0}}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
m_{3} & -m_{2} \\
-m_{2} & m_{1}
\end{array}\right], \\
\Delta_{0}=m_{1} m_{3}-m_{2}^{2}, \quad m_{k}=e_{k}+\frac{1}{2} e_{3+k}, \quad e_{1}=a_{2} / d_{2}, \\
e_{2}=-c / d_{2}, \quad e_{3}=a_{1} / d_{2}, \quad d_{2}=a_{1} a_{2}-c^{2}, \quad a_{1}=\mu_{1}-\lambda_{5}, \quad a_{2}=\mu_{2}-\lambda_{5}, \\
a_{3}=\mu_{3}+\lambda_{5}, \quad e_{1}+e_{4}=b / d_{1}, \quad e_{2}+e_{5}=-c_{0} / d_{1}, \quad e_{3}+e_{6}=a / d_{1}, \\
a=a_{1}+b_{1}, \quad b=a_{2}+b_{2}, \quad c_{0}=c+d, \quad d_{1}=a b-c_{0}^{2}, \\
b_{1}=\mu_{1}+\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{5}-\alpha_{2} \rho_{2} / \rho, \quad b_{2}=\mu_{2}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{5}+\alpha_{2} \rho_{1} / \rho, \quad \alpha_{2}=\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{4}, \\
\rho=\rho_{1}+\rho_{2}, \quad d=\mu_{2}+\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{5}-\alpha_{2} \rho_{1} / \rho \equiv \mu_{3}+\lambda_{4}-\lambda_{5}+\alpha_{2} \rho_{2} / \rho .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \lambda_{p}, p=\overline{1,5}$ are elasticity modules, characterizing mechanical properties of a mixture, $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ are its particular densities. The elastic constants $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \lambda_{p}$ $p=\overline{1,5}$ and particular densities $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{2}$ will be assumed to satisfy the conditions of inequality [13].

In [6] M. Bashaleishvili obtained the following representations:

$$
\begin{gather*}
U=\binom{u_{1}+i u_{2}}{u_{3}+i u_{4}}=m \varphi(z)+\frac{1}{2} e \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(z)}+\overline{\psi(z)}  \tag{1.2}\\
T U=\binom{(T u)_{2}-i(T u)_{1}}{(T u)_{4}-i(T u)_{3}} \\
=\frac{\partial}{\partial s(x)}\left[(A-2 E) \varphi(z)+B z \overline{\varphi(z)^{\prime}}+2 \mu \overline{\psi(z)}\right], \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\varphi(z)=\left(\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right)^{T}$ and $\psi(z)=\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)^{T}$ are arbitrary analytic vector-functions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A=2 \mu m, \quad \mu=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{1} & \mu_{3} \\
\mu_{3} & \mu_{2}
\end{array}\right], \quad B=\mu e, \quad m=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
m_{1} & m_{2} \\
m_{2} & m_{3}
\end{array}\right], \quad E=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial s(x)}=-n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+n_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial n(x)}=n_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}, \quad n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)^{T}
\end{array}
$$

are the unit vectors of the other normal, $(T u)_{p}, p=\overline{1,4}$, the stress components [6]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(T u)_{1}=r_{11}^{\prime} n_{1}+r_{21}^{\prime} n_{2}, & (T u)_{2}=r_{12}^{\prime} n_{1}+r_{22}^{\prime} n_{2}, \\
(T u)_{3}=r_{11}^{\prime \prime} n_{1}+r_{21}^{\prime \prime} n_{2}, & (T u)_{4}=r_{12}^{\prime \prime} n_{1}+r_{22}^{\prime \prime} n_{2},
\end{array}
$$

Consider the following vectors [16] or [17]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\stackrel{(1)}{\tau}=\binom{r_{11}^{\prime}}{r_{11}^{\prime \prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & c_{0} \\
c_{0} & b
\end{array}\right]\binom{\theta^{\prime}}{\theta^{\prime \prime}}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \mu\binom{u_{2}}{u_{4}},  \tag{1.4}\\
\stackrel{(2)}{\tau}=\binom{r_{22}^{\prime}}{r_{22}^{\prime \prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a & c_{0} \\
c_{0} & b
\end{array}\right]\binom{\theta^{\prime}}{\theta^{\prime \prime}}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \mu\binom{u_{1}}{u_{3}}, \\
\stackrel{(1)}{\eta}=\binom{r_{21}^{\prime}}{r_{21}^{\prime \prime}}=-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & c \\
c & a_{2}
\end{array}\right]\binom{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega^{\prime \prime}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \mu\binom{u_{2}}{u_{4}}, \\
\stackrel{(2)}{\eta}=\binom{r_{12}^{\prime}}{r_{12}^{\prime \prime}}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{1} & c \\
c & a_{2}
\end{array}\right]\binom{\omega^{\prime}}{\omega^{\prime \prime}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \mu\binom{u_{1}}{u_{3}},  \tag{1.5}\\
\theta^{\prime}=\operatorname{div} u^{\prime}, \quad \theta^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{div} u^{\prime \prime}, \quad \omega^{\prime}=\operatorname{rot} u^{\prime}, \quad \omega \operatorname{rot} u^{\prime \prime} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Let ( $\mathbf{n}, \mathrm{S}$ ) be the right rectangular system, where $S$ and $n$ are respectively, the tangent and the normal of the curve $L$ at the point $t=t_{1}+i t_{2}$. Assume that $n=$ $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)^{T}=(\cos \alpha, \sin \alpha)^{T}$ and $S^{0}=\left(-n_{2}, n_{1}\right)^{T}=(-\sin \alpha, \cos \alpha)^{T}$, where $\alpha$ is the angle of inclination of the normal $n$ to the $o x_{1}$-axis.

Introduce the vectors

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{n}=\left(u_{1} n_{1}+u_{2} n_{2} ; u_{3} n_{1}+u_{4} n_{2}\right)^{T}, \quad U_{s}=\left(u_{2} n_{1}-u_{1} n_{2} ; u_{4} n_{1}-u_{3} n_{2}\right)^{T} ;  \tag{1.6}\\
\sigma_{n}=\binom{(T u)_{1} n_{1}+(T u)_{2} n_{2}}{(T u)_{3} n_{1}+(T u)_{4} n_{2}}, \quad \sigma_{s}=\binom{(T u)_{2} n_{1}-(T u)_{1} n_{2}}{(T u)_{4} n_{1}-(T u)_{3} n_{2}},  \tag{1.7}\\
\sigma_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[r_{21}^{\prime} n_{1}-r_{11}^{\prime} n_{2},\right.} & \left.r_{22}^{\prime} n_{1}-r_{12}^{\prime} n_{2}\right]^{T} S^{0} \\
{\left[r_{21}^{\prime \prime} n_{1}-r_{11}^{\prime \prime} n_{2},\right.} & \left.r_{22}^{\prime \prime} n_{1}-r_{12}^{\prime \prime} n_{2}\right]^{T} S^{0}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{1.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us call (1.8) vector the tangential normal stress vector in the linear theory of elastic mixture.

After elementary calculations we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n} & =\stackrel{(1)}{\tau} \cos ^{2} \alpha+\stackrel{(2)}{\tau} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\eta \cos \alpha \sin \alpha, \\
\sigma_{t} & =\stackrel{(1)}{\tau} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\stackrel{(2)}{\tau} \cos ^{2} \alpha-\eta \cos \alpha \sin \alpha, \\
\sigma_{s} & =\frac{1}{2}(\stackrel{(2)}{\tau}-\stackrel{(1)}{\tau}) \sin 2 \alpha+\frac{1}{2} \eta \cos 2 \alpha-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta=\stackrel{(1)}{\eta}+\stackrel{(2)}{\eta}, \varepsilon^{*}=\stackrel{(1)}{\eta}-\stackrel{(2)}{\eta}$.
Direct calculations allow us to check on $L$ [16]

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{n}+\sigma_{t}=\tau=\stackrel{(1)}{\tau}+\stackrel{(2)}{\tau}=2(2 E-A-B) \operatorname{Re} \varphi^{\prime}(t)  \tag{1.9}\\
\sigma_{n}+2 \mu\left(\frac{\partial U s}{\partial s}+\frac{U_{n}}{\rho_{0}}\right)+i\left[\sigma_{s}-2 \mu\left(\frac{\partial U_{n}}{\partial s}-\frac{U_{s}}{\rho_{0}}\right)\right]=2 \varphi^{\prime}(t)  \tag{1.10}\\
{\left[(A-2 E) \varphi(t)+B t \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}+2 \mu \overline{\psi(t)}\right]_{L}=-i \int_{L} e^{i \alpha}\left(\sigma_{n}+i \sigma_{s}\right) d s} \tag{1.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\operatorname{det}(2 E-A-B)>0, \frac{1}{\rho_{0}}$ is the curvature of $L$ at the point $t$. Everywhere in the sequel it will be assumed that the components $U_{n}$ and $U_{s}$ are bounded [7].

Formulas (1.2), (1.3) and (1.9), (1.10) are analogous to those of Kolosov-Muskhelishvili in the linear theory of elastic mixture [12].

## 2. Statement of the problem and the method of its solving

Let an isotropic elastic mixture occupy on the plane $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$ a doubly-connected domain $G$, a square. The side lenght of square will be denoted by $a^{0}$.

Let to the boundary of the square which is weakened by an interior hole and cuttings at vertices be applied the same absolutely smooth rigid punches, subjected to the action of external normal contractive forces with the known principal vectors. The hole and cutting boundary is free from external forces.

We formulate the following problem: Find an elastic equilibrium of the square and analytic form of the hole and cutting contours under the condition that tangential normal stress vector, i. e. (1.8) vector, will take one and the same constant value $\sigma_{t}=K^{0}, K^{0}=\left(K_{1}^{0}, K_{2}^{0}\right)=$ const on them.


Figure 1:
In these conditions, we call the assemblage of hole and cutting boundaries an equally strong contour. Owing to the symmetry of the problem, we consider the shaded part of the square, i. e. the curvilinear polygon $A_{0} A_{1} A_{2} A_{3} A_{4} A_{5} A_{6}$ and denote it by $D_{0}$, where $A_{0}$ is the mid point of the arc $A_{6} A_{1}$ (a shaded in fig.1).

The boundary of the domain $D_{0}$ consists of rectilinear segments $L_{1}=\cup L_{1}^{(j)}, L_{1}^{(j)}=$ $A_{j} A_{j+1}(j=1,2,4,5)$ and unknown arcs $L_{0}=L_{0}^{(1)} \cup L_{0}^{(2)}, L_{0}^{(1)}=A_{3} A_{4}, L_{0}^{(2)}=A_{6} A_{1}$.

The boundary conditions of the problem are of the form $U_{n}=U^{0}=$ const on $L_{1}^{(2)} \cup L_{1}^{(4)}$, and $U_{n}=0$ on $L_{1}^{(1)} \cup L_{1}^{(5)}$, vector (1.7), is equal to zero on the entire boundary of the domain $D_{0}$, i.e. $\sigma_{s}=0$ on $L=L_{1} \cup L_{0}$.

Relying on the analogous Kolosov-Muskhelishvilis formulas (1.9)-(1.11), the above posed problem is reduced to finding two analytic vector-functions $\varphi(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ in $D^{0}$
by the boundary conditions on $L$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Re} \varphi^{\prime}(t)=H, \quad t \in L_{0}, \quad H=\frac{1}{2}(2 E-A-B)^{-1} K^{0},  \tag{2.1}\\
\operatorname{Im} \varphi^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad t \in L_{1},  \tag{2.2}\\
\operatorname{Re} e^{-i \alpha(t)}\left[(A-2 E) \varphi(t)+B t \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}+2 \mu \overline{\psi(t)}\right]=C(t), \quad t \in L_{1},  \tag{2.3}\\
(A-2 E) \varphi(t)+B t \overline{\varphi^{\prime}(t)}+2 \mu \overline{\psi(t)}=B^{(j)}(t), \quad t \in L_{0}^{(j)}, \quad j=1,2 ; \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is the angle, made by the outer normal to the contour $L_{1}$ and the $0 x_{1}$-axis,

$$
\begin{gather*}
C(t)=\operatorname{Re}\left[-i \int_{A_{1}}^{t} \sigma_{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \exp i\left[\alpha\left(t_{0}\right)-\alpha(t)\right] d S_{0}+\nu \exp (-i \alpha(t))\right], t \in L_{1}  \tag{2.5}\\
B^{(j)}(t)=-i \int_{A_{1}}^{t} \sigma_{n}\left(t_{0}\right) \exp \left(i \alpha\left(t_{0}\right)\right) d S_{0}+\nu, \quad t \in L_{0}^{(j)}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\nu=\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)^{T}$ is an arbitrary complex constant vector. It is easy to notice that $C(t)$ is a piecewise constant and $B^{(j)}$ is a constant vector-function.

Moreover, if $t \in L_{1}$, then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} e^{-i \alpha(t)} t=\operatorname{Re} e^{-\alpha(t)} A(t) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A(t)=A_{k}$ for $t \in A_{k} A_{k+1}$.
In the sequel, the vector-function $\varphi(z)$ will be assumed to be continuous in a closed domain $D_{0}$, and $\varphi^{\prime}(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ are continuously extendable on the boundary of the body $D_{0}$ except possibly of the points $A_{1}, A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{6}$ in the neighborhood of which they admit the estimate of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{j}^{\prime}(z)\right|, \quad\left|\psi_{j}(z)\right|<M\left|z-A_{k}\right|^{-\delta_{k}}, \quad j=1,2 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0<\delta_{k}<\frac{1}{2}, k=1,3,4,6, M=$ const $>0$.
The equalities (2.1)-(2.2) are in fact the Keldysh-Sedov problem for the domain $D_{0}$.

By virtue of the condition (2.8), the (2.1)-(2.2) problem has a unique solution [10] or [11], $\varphi^{\prime}(z)=H$.

Consequently, leaving out of account the constant summand we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=H z=\frac{1}{2}(2 E-A-B)^{-1} K^{0} z \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $K^{0}$ is to be defined in the course of solving the problem.
On the basis of formulas (1.11), (2.5), (2.6), (2.9) and putting $\nu=0$, the boundary
conditions (2.3), (2.4) and (2.7) yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t-2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=0 ; \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t+2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=0, t \in L_{1}^{(1)} ; \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t-2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=P ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t+2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=a^{0} K^{0}-P, t \in L_{1}^{(2)} ; \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t-2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=P ; \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t+2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=P, t \in L_{0}^{(1)} ; \\
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t-2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=a^{0} K^{0}-P ; \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t+2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=P, t \in L_{1}^{(4)} ;  \tag{2.10}\\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t-2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=0 ; \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t+2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=0, t \in L_{1}^{(5)} ; \\
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t-2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=P ; \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} t+2 \mu \psi(t)\right)=0, t \in L_{0}^{(2)} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
P=\int_{L_{1}^{(j)}} \sigma_{n} d S, \quad j=1,2,4,5 .
$$

Let the function $z=\omega(\zeta), \zeta=\xi_{1}+i \xi_{2}$ map conformally the upper half-plane $(\operatorname{Im} \zeta>0)$ onto the domain $D_{0}$. By $\beta_{k}$ we denote the preimages of the points $A_{k}$ ( $k=\overline{0,6}$ ) and assume that $\beta_{3}=-1 ; \beta_{4}=1 ; \beta_{0}=-\infty$. Moreover, owing to the symmetry, we may assume that $\beta_{5}=-\beta_{2} ; \beta_{6}=-\beta_{1}$. Note that

$$
-\infty<\beta_{1}<\beta_{2}<-1<1<-\beta_{2}<-\beta_{1}<+\infty .
$$

Consider the vector-functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(\zeta)=-i\left(\frac{1}{2} K^{0} \omega(\zeta)-2 \mu \psi(\omega(\zeta))\right)  \tag{2.11}\\
\Psi(\zeta)=\frac{1}{2} K^{0} \omega(\zeta)+2 \mu \psi(w(\zeta)) \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

Taking into (2.11) and (2.12), boundary conditions (2.10) take the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0, \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(-\infty ; \beta_{1}\right) \cup\left(-\beta_{2} ; \infty\right) ; \\
\operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0 ; \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(\beta_{1} ; \beta_{2}\right) ; \\
\operatorname{Im} \phi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=-P ; \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(\beta_{2} ; 1\right),  \tag{2.13}\\
\operatorname{Re} \phi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=a^{0} K^{0}-P, \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(1 ;-\beta_{2}\right) ; \\
\operatorname{Im} \Psi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0, \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(-\infty ; \beta_{2}\right) \cup\left(-\beta_{1} ; \infty\right) ; \\
\operatorname{Re} \Psi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=a^{0} K^{0}-P, \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(\beta_{2} ;-1\right),  \tag{2.14}\\
\operatorname{Im} \Psi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=P, \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(-1,-\beta_{2}\right), \\
\operatorname{Re} \Psi\left(\xi_{1}\right)=0, \quad \xi_{1} \in\left(-\beta_{2},-\beta_{1}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

The above problems are the vector form of the Keldysh-Sedov problems [10], [11] for a half-plane $\operatorname{Im} \zeta>0$.

A solution of problems (2.13) and (2.14) can be represented as follows [10], [5]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi(\zeta)=\frac{\chi_{1}(\zeta)}{\pi i}\left[\left(a^{0} K^{0}-P\right) \int_{1}^{-\beta_{2}} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\zeta\right)}-i P \int_{\beta_{2}}^{1} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\chi\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\zeta\right)}\right]  \tag{2.15}\\
& \Psi(\zeta)=\frac{\chi_{2}(\zeta)}{\pi i}\left[\left(a^{0} K^{0}-P\right) \int_{\beta_{2}}^{-1} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\chi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\zeta\right)}+i P \int_{-1}^{\beta_{2}} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\chi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\zeta\right)}\right] \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{1}(\zeta)=\sqrt{\left(\zeta-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\zeta-\beta_{2}\right)(\zeta-1)\left(\zeta+\beta_{2}\right)} \\
& \chi_{2}(\zeta)=\sqrt{\left(\zeta+\beta_{1}\right)\left(\zeta+\beta_{2}\right)(\zeta+1)\left(\zeta-\beta_{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, under the $\chi_{j}(\zeta)$ sign we mean a branch whose decomposition near the point at infinity has the form

$$
\chi_{j}(\zeta)=\zeta^{2}+\alpha_{1}^{j} \zeta+\alpha_{2}^{(j)}+\cdots, \quad j=1,2 .
$$

It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|, & \xi_{1} \in\left(-\infty, \beta_{1}\right) \cup\left(\beta_{2}, 1\right) \cup\left(-\beta_{2}, \infty\right) \\
-i\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|, & \xi_{1} \in\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right) \cup\left(1,-\beta_{2}\right) ;\end{cases}  \tag{2.17}\\
\chi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)= \begin{cases}\left|\chi_{2}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|, & \xi_{1} \in\left(-\infty, \beta_{2}\right) \cup\left(-1,-\beta_{2}\right) \cup\left(-\beta_{1}, \infty\right) \\
i\left|\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|, & \xi_{1} \in\left(\beta_{2},-1\right) \cup\left(-\beta_{2},-\beta_{1}\right) ;\end{cases}  \tag{2.18}\\
\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\chi_{2}\left(-\xi_{1}\right)\right| . \tag{2.19}
\end{gather*}
$$

By virtue of (2.17)-(2.19) formulas (2.15) and (2.16) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\zeta)=g(\zeta), \quad \Psi(\zeta)=g(-\zeta), \quad \operatorname{Im} \zeta>0 \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{T}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\zeta)=\frac{\chi_{1}(\zeta)}{\pi i}\left[\left(a^{0} K^{0}-P\right) \int_{1}^{-\beta_{2}} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|\left(\xi_{1}-\zeta\right)}-P \int_{\beta_{2}}^{1} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}(\zeta)\right|\left(\xi_{1}-\zeta\right)}\right] \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now note that we will seek for a bounded at infinity solution of the problems (2.13) and (2.14). On the other hand, from (2.20) and (2.21) we conclude that, the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of such a solution is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a^{0} K^{0}-P\right) \int_{1}^{-\beta_{2}} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|}-P \int_{\beta_{2}}^{1} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|}=0 . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having found the vector-function $\phi(\zeta)$ and $\Psi(\zeta)$, by virtue of (2.12) and (2.20) we can define the vector-functions $K^{0} \omega(\zeta)$ and $\psi(\omega(\zeta))$ by the formulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{0} \omega(\zeta)=g(-\zeta)+i g(\zeta), \quad \psi(\omega(\zeta))=\frac{1}{4} \mu^{-1}[g(-\zeta)-i g(\zeta)] \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now pass to finding analytical form of the unknown equally strong contour. Equations for the parts $L_{0}^{(1)}$ and $L_{0}^{(2)}$ of the unknown contour can be obtained from the image of the function $\omega(\zeta)$ for $\zeta=\xi_{1}^{0} \in(-1,1)$ and $\zeta=\xi_{1}^{0} \in\left(-\infty, \beta_{1}\right) \cup\left(-\beta_{1}, \infty\right)$ respectively.

By the Sokhotskii-Plemelj formulas [11] and owing to (2.21) and (2.23), we find that the equations for the $\operatorname{arcs} L_{0}^{(1)}$ and $L_{0}^{(2)}$ are given by the formulas respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)=\frac{g_{1}\left(-\xi_{1}^{0}\right)+P_{1}+i\left(g_{1}\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)+P_{1}\right)}{K_{1}^{0}} & =\frac{g_{2}\left(-\xi_{1}^{0}\right)+i\left(g_{2}\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)+P_{2}\right)}{K_{2}^{0}},  \tag{2.24}\\
\omega\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)=\frac{g_{1}\left(-\xi_{1}^{0}\right)+i\left(g_{1}\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)\right)}{K_{1}^{0}} & =\frac{g_{2}\left(-\xi_{1}^{0}\right)+i\left(g_{2}\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)\right)}{K_{2}^{0}} \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)=\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right)^{T}=\frac{\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)}{\pi i}\left[\left(a^{0} K^{0}-P\right) \int_{1}^{-\beta_{2}} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{1}^{0}\right)}-P \int_{\beta_{2}}^{1} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}^{0}\right)\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{1}^{0}\right)\right|}\right] .
$$

Revert now to the condition (2.22). Equality (2.22) yelds

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{0}=\frac{1}{a^{0}} P\left(1+\frac{F_{1}}{F_{2}}\right), \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}=\int_{\beta_{2}}^{1} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|}, \quad F_{2}=\int_{1}^{-\beta_{2}} \frac{d \xi_{1}}{\left|\chi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right|} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted the integrals appearing in (2.27) and (2.21) are expressed in terms of elliptic integrals of the first and third kind [15].

Of special importance is the definition of parameters $K_{1}^{0}, K_{2}^{0}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, involved in the above formulas. For defining above parameters we use the way and results, described in [5].

Refer now to formulas (2.26) and (2.27). the values $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are the complete elliptic integrals of the first kind [15], namely [5]

$$
F_{1}=M^{-1} F\left(\frac{\pi}{2} / m_{1}^{0}\right) ; \quad F_{2}=M^{-1} F\left(\frac{\pi}{2} / m_{2}^{0}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
M=\sqrt{2}\left[\beta_{2}\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad F\left(\frac{\pi}{2} / m^{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\left(1-m^{0} \sin ^{2} \theta\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d \theta \\
m_{1}^{0}=\frac{2 \beta_{2}\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)\left(\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}\right)}{2 \beta_{2}\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)}, \quad m_{2}^{0}=\frac{\left(\beta_{2}+1\right)\left(\beta_{1}-\beta_{2}\right)}{2 \beta_{2}\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(of interest is the fact that $m_{1}^{0}+m_{2}^{0}=1$ and $m_{1}^{0}>m_{2}^{0}$ ).
Fixing the value of the parameter $m_{1}^{0}$ (and hence of parameter $m_{2}^{0}=1-m_{1}^{0}$ ) for finding $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ we obtain the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{2}^{2}+\left(1-2 m_{1}^{0}\right)\left(\beta_{1}-1\right) \beta_{2}-\beta_{1}=0 \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

the discriminant of the above equation(with respect to $\beta_{2}$ ) is of the form $D=(1-$ $\left.2 m_{1}^{0}\right)^{2}\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)^{2}+4 \beta_{1}$.

Introducing the notation $\sqrt{-\beta_{1}}=x$, from the condition $D \geq 0, x>1$ we get

$$
x \geq \frac{1+2 \sqrt{m_{1}^{0}\left(1-m_{1}^{0}\right)}}{2 m_{1}^{0}-1}=l
$$

If we assume that $D>0$, then to every value $x>l$, and hence $\beta_{1}<-l^{2}$, according to (2.28), there correspond two values $\beta_{2}$, both satisfying the condition $\beta_{2}<-1$, but this contradicts the condition of the uniqueness of the conformally mapping function $z=\omega(\zeta)$, and hence we should have $D=0$ from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{1}=-\left[\frac{1+2 \sqrt{m_{1}^{0}\left(1-m_{1}^{0}\right)}}{2 m_{1}^{0}-1}\right]^{2} ; \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{\left(2 m_{1}^{0}-1\right)\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)}{2} . \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing the obtained results, we conclude that for the fixed $m_{1}^{0}$ in the domain $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, from the table of complete elliptic integrals we can find $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$, and using formulas (2.26) and (2.27) we define parameters $\mathcal{K}^{0}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ and the conformally mapping function $z=\omega(\zeta)$ formulas (2.24) and (2.25) which establishes analytical form of the unknown equally strong contour.

Direct calculations show that as $m_{1}^{0}$ increases, the length of the contour $L_{0}^{(1)}$ decreases, $L_{0}^{(2)}$ increases, and $K_{j}^{0} j=1,2$, increases (see [5]).

In a particular case, for $m_{1}^{0}=0,75$ we have approximately [18]

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{1}=2,156 ; \quad F_{2}=1,686 ; \quad K_{j}^{0}=\frac{2,28}{a^{0}} P_{j}, \quad j=1,2 ; \\
\beta_{1}=-13,7 ; \quad \beta_{2}=-3,7 ; \quad g_{j}(0)=0,743 P_{j}, \quad j=1,2 ; \\
\omega(0)=\left(0,764 a^{0} ; 0,764 a^{0}\right) ; \\
g_{j}(-1)=0,386 P_{j}, \quad j=1,2 ; \quad \omega(-1)=\left(a^{0} ; 0,608 a^{0}\right) ; \\
g_{j}(\infty)=g_{j}(-\infty)=1,08 P_{j}, \quad j=1,2 ; \\
\omega(\infty)=\omega(-\infty)=\left(0,474 a^{0} ; 0,474 a^{0}\right) ; \\
g_{j}\left(-\beta_{1}\right)=1,451 P_{j} ; \quad \omega\left(\beta_{1}\right)=\left(0,636 a^{0} ; 0\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$
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## ON A CONNECTION BETWEEN CONTROLLABILITY OF THE INITIAL AND PERTURBED TWO-STAGE SYSTEMS

Tadumadze T.


#### Abstract

Sufficient conditions are established, guaranteeing controllability of the initial two-stage system of ordinary differential equations if a sequence of the perturbed two-stage systems is controllable, when the perturbations of right-hand side of system are small in the integral sense.
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## 1. Formulation of main results

Let $t_{01}<t_{02}<\theta_{1}<\theta_{2}<t_{11}<t_{12}$ be given numbers and $R_{x}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional vector space of points

$$
x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)^{T},|x|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x^{i}\right)^{2},
$$

where $T$ means transpose; suppose that $O \subset R_{x}^{n}$ and $Y \subset R_{y}^{m}$ are open sets, $U \subset R_{u}^{p}$ and $V \subset R_{v}^{q}$ are compact sets. Further, let $E_{f}=E_{f}\left(I_{1} \times O, R_{x}^{n}\right)$, be the space of functions $f(t, x) \in R_{x}^{n}$ defined on $I_{1} \times O$ and satisfying the following conditions:
1.1. for any $x \in O$ the function $f(t, x)$ is measurable on $I_{1}=\left[t_{01}, \theta_{2}\right]$;
1.2. for any function $f \in E_{f}$ and any compact set $K \subset O$ there exist functions $m_{f, K}(\cdot), L_{f, K}(\cdot) \in L_{1}\left(I_{1}, R_{+}\right), R_{+}=[0, \infty)$ such that for almost all $t \in I_{1}$,

$$
|f(t, x)| \leq m_{f, K}(t), \forall x \in K
$$

and

$$
\left|f\left(t, x_{1}\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq L_{f, K}(t)\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|, \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in K^{2} .
$$

Let $E_{f}^{u}=E_{f}^{u}\left(I_{1} \times O, R_{x}^{n}\right)$ be the space of functions $f(t, x, u) \in R_{x}^{n}$ defined on $I_{1} \times O \times U$ and satisfying the following conditions:
1.3. for any $(x, u) \in O \times U$ the function $f(t, x, u)$ is measurable on $I_{1}$;
1.4. for any function $f \in E_{f}^{u}$ and any compact set $K \subset O$ there exist functions $m_{f, K}(\cdot), L_{f, K}(\cdot) \in L_{1}\left(I_{1}, R_{+}\right)$such that for almost all $t \in I_{1}$,

$$
|f(t, x, u)| \leq m_{f, K}(t), \forall(x, u) \in K \times U
$$

and

$$
\left|f\left(t, x_{1}, u\right)-f\left(t, x_{2}, u\right)\right| \leq L_{f, K}(t)\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|, \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, u\right) \in K^{2} \times U .
$$

Analogously are defined the following spaces $E_{g}=E_{g}\left(I_{2} \times Y, R_{y}^{m}\right)$ and $E_{g}^{v}=E_{g}^{v}\left(I_{2} \times\right.$ $\left.Y \times V, R_{y}^{m}\right)$, where $I_{2}=\left[\theta_{1}, t_{12}\right]$.

Let $f_{0} \in E_{f}^{u}$ and $g_{0} \in E_{g}^{v}$ be given functions and $x_{0} \in O$ and $y_{1} \in Y$ be given points. By $\Omega$ and $\Delta$ we denote sets of measurable functions $u: I_{1} \rightarrow U$ and $v: I_{2} \rightarrow V$, respectively.

To each element

$$
w=\left(t_{0}, \theta, t_{1}, u(\cdot), v(\cdot)\right) \in W=\left[t_{01}, t_{02}\right] \times\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right] \times\left[t_{11}, t_{12}\right] \times \Omega \times \Delta
$$

we assign the two-stage system of differential equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=f_{0}(t, x, u(t)), t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right],  \tag{1.1}\\
\dot{y}=g_{0}(t, y, v(t)), t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the intermediate condition at the switching moment $\theta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\theta)=Q(\theta, x(\theta)) . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the function $Q(t, x) \in R_{y}^{m}$ is continuous on $\left[\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right] \times O$ and continuously differentiable with respect to $x \in 0$.

Definition 1.1. Let $w=\left(t_{0}, \theta, t_{1}, u(\cdot), v(\cdot)\right) \in W$. The pair of functions $\Phi(w)=$ $\left\{x(t)=x(t ; w) \in O, t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right] ; y(t)=y(t ; w) \in Y, t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]\right\}$ is called solution corresponding to the element $w$, if the conditions (1.2) and (1.3) are fulfilled. Moreover, the function $x(t)$ is absolutely continuous and satisfies the first equation of (1.1) almost everywhere (a.e.) on $\left[t_{0}, \theta\right]$; the function $y(t)$ is absolutely continuous and satisfies the second equation of (1.1) a.e. on $\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]$.

Definition 1.2. The element $w \in W$ is admissible if for corresponding solution $\Phi(w)$ the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(t_{1}\right)=y_{1} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds.

The set of admissible elements is denoted by $W_{0}$.
Definition 1.3. The system (1.1) is called controllable with the conditions (1.2)(1.4), if $W_{0} \neq \emptyset$.

To formulate the main results we introduce the following notation: let $C>0, N>0$ and $K \subset O, M \subset Y$ be given numbers and compact sets,

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{K, C}=\left\{f \in E_{f}: \int_{I_{1}}\left[m_{f, K}(t)+L_{f, K}(t)\right] d t \leq C\right\} \\
V_{K, \delta}=\left\{f \in F_{K, C}:\left|\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} f(t, x) d t\right| \leq \delta, \forall s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{1}, x \in K\right\}, \delta>0 ; \\
G_{M, N}=\left\{g \in E_{g}: \int_{I_{2}}\left[m_{g, M}(t)+L_{g, M}(t)\right] d t \leq N\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
H_{M, \delta}=\left\{g \in G_{M, N}:\left|\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} g(t, y) d t\right| \leq \delta, \forall s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{2}, y \in M\right\} ; \\
F_{K, C}^{u}=\left\{f \in E_{f}^{u}: \int_{I_{1}}\left[m_{f, K}(t)+L_{f, K}(t)\right] d t \leq C\right\} \\
V_{K, \delta}^{u}=\left\{f \in F_{K, C}^{u}: \int_{I_{1}(x, u) \in K \times U} \sup |f(t, x, u)| d t \leq \delta\right\} \\
G_{M, N}^{v}=\left\{g \in E_{g}^{v}: \int_{I_{2}}\left[m_{g, M}(t)+L_{g, M}(t)\right] d t \leq N\right\} \\
H_{M, \delta}^{v}=\left\{g \in G_{M, N}^{v}: \int_{I_{2}(y, v) \in M \times V} \sup ^{v}|g(t, y, v)| d t \leq \delta\right\} \\
B_{y_{1}, \varepsilon}=\left\{y \in Y:\left\|y_{1}-y\right\| \leq \varepsilon\right\}, \varepsilon>0
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let the system (1.1) be controllable i.e. there exists $w_{0}=\left(t_{00}, \theta_{0}, t_{10}, u_{0}(\cdot), v_{0}(\cdot)\right) \in W_{0}$. Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for any $f \in V_{K_{01}, \delta}$ and $g \in H_{M_{01}, \delta}$ the perturbed two-stage system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=f_{0}(t, x, u(t))+f(t, x), t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right]  \tag{1.5}\\
\dot{y}(t)=g_{0}(t, y, v(t))+g(t, y), t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, y(\theta)=Q(\theta, x(\theta)), y\left(t_{1}\right) \in B_{y_{1}, \varepsilon} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is controllable. Here $K_{01} \subset O$ and $M_{01} \subset Y$ are compact sets, containing some neighborhoods of $K_{0}=\left\{x\left(t ; w_{0}\right): t \in\left[t_{00}, \theta_{0}\right]\right\}$ and $M_{0}=\left\{y\left(t ; w_{0}\right): t \in\left[\theta_{0}, t_{10}\right]\right\}$, respectively.

Theorem 1.2. Let the system (1.1) be controllable. Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for any $f \in V_{K_{01}, \delta}^{u}$ and $g \in H_{M_{01}, \delta}^{v}$ the perturbed two-stage system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=f_{0}(t, x, u(t))+f(t, x, u(t)), t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right] \\
\dot{y}(t)=g_{0}(t, y, v(t))+g(t, y, v(t)), t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the conditions (1.6) is controllable.
Definition 1.4. The pair of functions $\hat{\Phi}(w)=\left\{\hat{x}(t)=\hat{x}(t ; w) \in O, t \in I_{1} ; \hat{y}(t)=\right.$ $\left.\hat{y}(t ; w) \in Y, t \in I_{2}\right\}$ is called a continuation of the solution $\Phi(w)$, if $\hat{x}(t)$ on the interval $I_{1}$ is a continuation of the solution $x(t), t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right]$ and $\hat{y}(t)$ on the interval $I_{2}$ is a continuation of the solution $y(t), t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]$ (see Definition 1.1).

Theorem 1.3. Let the following conditions hold:
1.5. for any $w \in W$ there exists the continuation solution $\hat{\Phi}(w)$; moreover, there exist compact sets $K_{1} \subset O$ and $M_{1} \subset Y$ such that, for any $w \in W$

$$
\hat{x}(t ; w) \in K_{1}, t \in I_{1} \text { and } \hat{y}(t ; w) \in M_{1}, t \in I_{2}
$$

1.6. the sets

$$
f_{0}(t, x, U)=\left\{f_{0}(t, x, u): u \in U\right\} \text { for any fixed }(t, x) \in I_{1} \times O
$$

and

$$
g_{0}(s, y, V)=\left\{g_{0}(t, x, v): v \in V\right\} \text { for any fixed }(s, y) \in I_{2} \times Y
$$

are convex:
1.7. there exist sequences $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\} \rightarrow 0,\left\{\delta_{i}\right\} \rightarrow 0,\left\{f_{i} \in V_{K_{11}, \delta_{i}}\right\}$ and $\left\{g_{i} \in H_{M_{11}, \delta_{i}}\right\}$ such that for any $i=1,2, \ldots$ the perturbed system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=f_{0}(t, x, u(t))+f_{i}(t, x), t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right], \\
\dot{y}(t)=g_{0}(t, y, v(t))+g_{i}(t, y), t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, y(\theta)=Q(\theta, x(\theta)), y\left(t_{1}\right) \in B_{y_{1}, \varepsilon_{i}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is controllable i.e. there exists admissible element $w_{i}=\left(t_{0 i}, \theta_{i}, t_{1 i}, u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$.
Then the system (1.1) is controllable with the conditions (1.2)-(1.4). Here $K_{11} \subset O$ and $M_{11} \subset Y$ are compact sets, containing some neighborhoods of $K_{1}$ and $M_{1}$, respectively.

Theorem 1.4. Let the conditions 1.5, 1.6 hold and let there exist sequences $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\} \rightarrow 0,\left\{\delta_{i}\right\} \rightarrow 0,\left\{f_{i} \in V_{K_{11}, \delta_{i}}^{u}\right\}$ and $\left\{g_{i} \in H_{M_{11}, \delta_{i}}^{v}\right\}$ such that for any $i=1,2, \ldots$ the perturbed system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=f_{0}(t, x, u(t))+f_{i}(t, x, u(t)), t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right], \\
\dot{y}(t)=g_{0}(t, y, v(t))+g_{i}(t, y, v(t)), t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the conditions (1.7) is controllable. Then system (1.1) is controllable with conditions (1.2)-(1.4).

Finally, we note that Theorems, analogous to Theorems 1.1-1.4 are given in [1] for ordinary and delay differential equations. Optimal control problems for various classes of the two-stage and multi-stage systems are investigated in [2-17].

## 2. Auxiliary assertions

Theorem 2.1([1], p.101; [18], p.108). Let $\tilde{w}=\left(\tilde{t}_{0}, \tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{u}(\cdot), \tilde{v}(\cdot)\right) \in W$ be a given element and let $\Phi(\tilde{w})$ be the corresponding solution. For arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for any $f \in V_{\tilde{K}_{1}, \delta}$ and $g \in H_{\tilde{M}_{1}, \delta}$ the perturbed two-stage system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=f_{0}(t, x, \tilde{u}(t))+f(t, x), t \in\left[\tilde{t}_{0}, \tilde{\theta}\right], \\
\dot{y}(t)=g_{0}(t, y, \tilde{v}(t))+g(t, y), t \in\left[\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t}_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the conditions

$$
x\left(\tilde{t}_{0}\right)=x_{0}, y(\tilde{\theta})=Q(\tilde{\theta}, x(\tilde{\theta}))
$$

has the solution

$$
\Phi(\tilde{w} ; f, g)=\left\{x(t ; \tilde{w}, f, g) \in \tilde{K}_{1}, t \in\left[\tilde{t}_{0}, \tilde{\theta}\right] ; y(t ; \tilde{w}, f, g) \in \tilde{M}_{1}, t \in\left[\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t}_{1}\right]\right\}
$$

and the following inequalities

$$
|x(t ; \tilde{w})-x(t ; \tilde{w}, f, g)| \leq \varepsilon, t \in\left[\tilde{t}_{0}, \tilde{\theta}\right] ;|y(t ; \tilde{w})-y(t ; \tilde{w}, f, g)| \leq \varepsilon, t \in\left[\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t}_{1}\right]
$$

hold.Here $\tilde{K}_{1} \subset O$ and $\tilde{M}_{1} \subset Y$ are compact sets, containing some neighborhoods of $\left\{x(t ; \tilde{w}): t \in\left[\tilde{t}_{0}, \tilde{\theta}\right]\right\}$ and $\left\{y(t ; \tilde{w}): t \in\left[\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{t}_{1}\right]\right\}$, respectively.

Theorem 2.2([1], p.101; [18], p.108). Let the condition 1.5 hold. Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for any $w \in W, f \in V_{K_{11}, \delta}$ and $g \in H_{M_{11}, \delta}$ the perturbed two-stage system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=f_{0}(t, x, u(t))+f(t, x), t \in\left[t_{0}, \theta\right], \\
\dot{y}(t)=g_{0}(t, y, v(t))+g(t, y), t \in\left[\theta, t_{1}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the conditions

$$
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}, y(\theta)=Q(\theta, x(\theta))
$$

has the solution

$$
\hat{\Phi}(w ; f, g)=\left\{\hat{x}(t ; w, f, g) \in K_{11}, t \in I_{1} ; \hat{y}(t ; w, f, g) \in M_{11}, t \in I_{2}\right\}
$$

and the following inequalities

$$
|\hat{x}(t ; w)-\hat{x}(t ; w, f, g)| \leq \varepsilon, t \in I_{1} ;|\hat{y}(t ; w)-\hat{y}(t ; w, f, g)| \leq \varepsilon, t \in I_{2}
$$

hold.
Lemma 2.1 ([19], p.86). Let $x(t) \in O, t \in I_{1}$ be a continuous function and let a sequence $\left\{f_{i} \in V_{K, C}\right\}$ satisfy the condition

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{\left|\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} f_{i}(t, x) d t\right|: s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{1}, x \in K\right\}=0
$$

Then

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left\{\left|\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} f_{i}(t, x(t)) d t\right|: s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{1}\right\}=0
$$

Here $K \subset O$ is a compact set containing some neighborhood of $K$.
Let $p(t, u) \in R_{x}^{n}$ be a given function, defined on $I_{1} \times U$ and satisfying the following conditions: for almost all $t \in I_{1}$ the function $p(t, \cdot) \rightarrow R_{x}^{n}$ is continuous; for each $u \in U$ the function $p(\cdot, u): I_{1} \rightarrow R_{x}^{n}$ is measurable.

Theorem 2.3([20], p.257). Let the set

$$
P(t)=\{p(t, u): u \in U\}
$$

be convex and

$$
p_{i}(\cdot) \in L_{1}\left(I_{1}, R_{x}^{n}\right) ; p_{i}(t) \in P(t) \text { a.e. on } I_{1}, i=1,2, \ldots
$$

moreover,

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} p_{i}(t)=p(t) \quad \text { weakly on } I_{1} .
$$

Then

$$
p(t) \in P(t) \text { a.e. on } I_{1}
$$

and there exists a measurable function $u(t) \in U, t \in I_{1}$ such that

$$
p(t, u(t))=p(t) \text { a.e. on } I_{1} .
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ be so small that

$$
K_{\varepsilon_{0}}=\left\{x \in R_{x}^{n}: \exists \hat{x} \in K_{0},|x-\hat{x}| \leq \varepsilon_{0}\right\} \subset \operatorname{int} K_{01}
$$

and

$$
M_{\varepsilon_{0}}=\left\{y \in R_{y}^{m}: \exists \hat{y} \in M_{0},|y-\hat{y}| \leq \varepsilon_{0}\right\} \subset \operatorname{int} M_{01} .
$$

According to Theorem 2.1 for any $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$ there exists a number $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that for any $f \in V_{K_{01}, \delta}$ and $g \in H_{M_{01}, \delta}$ the perturbed two-stage system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}(t)=f_{0}\left(t, x, u_{0}(t)\right)+f(t, x), t \in\left[t_{00}, \theta_{0}\right], \\
\dot{y}(t)=g_{0}\left(t, y, v_{0}(t)\right)+g(t, y), t \in\left[\theta_{0}, t_{10}\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

with the conditions

$$
x\left(t_{00}\right)=x_{0}, y\left(\theta_{0}\right)=Q\left(\theta_{0}, x\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)
$$

has the solution

$$
\Phi\left(w_{0} ; f, g\right)=\left\{x\left(t ; w_{0}, f, g\right), t \in\left[t_{00}, \theta_{0}\right] ; y\left(t ; w_{0}, f, g\right), t \in\left[\theta_{0}, t_{10}\right]\right\}
$$

and the following inequalities

$$
\left|x\left(t ; w_{0}\right)-x\left(t ; w_{0}, f, g\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon, t \in\left[t_{00}, \theta_{0}\right] ;\left|y\left(t ; w_{0}\right)-y\left(t ; w_{0}, f, g\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon, t \in\left[\theta_{0}, t_{10}\right]
$$

hold.
Consequently, the element $w_{0}$ is admissible for system (1.5) with conditions (1.6) for any $f \in V_{K_{01}, \delta}$ and $g \in H_{M_{01}, \delta}$.

Remark 2.1. Theorem 1.2 is a simply corollary of Theorem 1.1, since for any $u(\cdot) \in \Omega$ and $v(\cdot) \in \Delta$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup \left\{\left|\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} f(t, x, u(t)) d t\right|: s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{1}, x \in K\right\} \leq \int_{I_{1}} \sup _{(x, u) \in K \times U}|f(t, x, u)| d t, \\
& \sup \left\{\left|\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} g(t, y, v(t)) d t\right|: s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{2}, y \in M\right\} \leq \int_{I_{2}} \sup _{(y, v) \in M \times V}|g(t, y, v)| d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ be so small that

$$
K_{1, \varepsilon_{0}}=\left\{x \in R_{x}^{n}: \exists \hat{x} \in K_{1},|x-\hat{x}| \leq \varepsilon_{0}\right\} \subset \operatorname{int} K_{11}
$$

and

$$
M_{1, \varepsilon_{0}}=\left\{y \in R_{y}^{m}: \exists \hat{y} \in M_{1},|y-\hat{y}| \leq \varepsilon_{0}\right\} \subset \operatorname{int} M_{11}
$$

It is clear that there exists a subsequence $\left\{\varepsilon_{i_{1}}\right\} \subset\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ such that $\varepsilon_{i_{1}} \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right], i=$ $1,2, \ldots$ On the basis of Theorem 2.2 for each $\varepsilon_{i_{1}}$ there exists $\delta_{i_{1}} \in\left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ such that for $w_{i_{1}}=\left(t_{0 i_{1}}, \theta_{i_{1}}, t_{1, i_{1}}, u_{i_{1}}, v_{i_{1}}\right), f_{i_{1}}$ and $g_{i_{1}}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)-x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}, f_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{1}}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{i_{1}}, t \in I_{1} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)-y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}, f_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{1}}\right)\right| \leq \varepsilon_{i_{1}}, t \in I_{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}, f_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{1}}\right) \in K_{1, \varepsilon_{0}}, t \in I_{1}
$$

and

$$
y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}, f_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{1}}\right) \in M_{1, \varepsilon_{0}}, t \in I_{2}
$$

The sequences $\left\{x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)\right\}$ are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, since

$$
x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right) \in K_{1}, t \in I_{1} ; y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right) \in M_{1}, t \in I_{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\dot{x}\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)\right| \leq\left|f_{0}\left(t, x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right), u_{i_{1}}(t)\right)\right| \leq m_{K_{1}}(t)=m_{f_{0}, K_{1}}(t), t \in I_{1}, \\
& \left|\dot{y}\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)\right| \leq\left|g_{0}\left(t, y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right), v_{i_{1}}(t)\right)\right| \leq m_{M_{1}}(t)=m_{g_{0}, M_{1}}(t), t \in I_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Arzela-Ascoli lemma from sequences $\left\{x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)\right\}$ we can extract uniformly convergent subsequences. Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)=x_{0}(t) \text { uniformly in } I_{1},  \tag{4.3}\\
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}\right)=y_{0}(t) \text { uniformly in } I_{2}  \tag{4.4}\\
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} t_{0 i_{1}}=t_{00}, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{i_{1}}=\theta_{0}, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} t_{1 i_{1}}=t_{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the basis of (4.1)-(4.4) we obtain

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{i_{1}}(t)=x_{0}(t) \text { uniformly in } I_{1}, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} y_{i_{1}}(t)=y_{0}(t) \text { uniformly in } I_{2},
$$

where

$$
\left.x_{i_{1}}(t)=x\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}, f_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{1}}\right), y_{i_{1}}(t)=y\left(t ; w_{i_{1}}, g_{i_{1}}\right), y_{i_{1}}\right) .
$$

Obviously,

$$
x_{i_{1}}\left(t_{0 i_{1}}\right)=x_{0}, y_{i_{1}}\left(\theta_{i_{1}}\right)=Q\left(\theta_{i_{1}}, x_{i_{1}}\left(\theta_{i_{1}}\right)\right), y_{i_{1}}\left(t_{i_{1}}\right) \in B_{y_{1}, \varepsilon_{i_{1}}},
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}\left(t_{00}\right)=x_{0}, y_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right)=Q\left(\theta_{0}, x_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right), y_{0}\left(t_{10}\right)=y_{1} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further,

$$
x_{i_{1}}(t)=x_{0}+\int_{t_{0 i_{1}}}^{t}\left[f_{0}\left(s, x_{i_{1}}(s), u_{i_{1}}(s)\right)+f_{i_{1}}\left(s, x_{i_{1}}(s)\right)\right] d s=x_{0}+\int_{t_{0_{1}}}^{t} p_{i}(s) d s+\alpha_{i}(t)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\beta_{i}(t)+\gamma_{i}(t), \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{i}(s)=f_{0}\left(s, x_{0}(s), u_{i_{1}}(s)\right), \alpha_{i}(t)=\int_{t_{0 i_{1}}}^{t} f_{i_{1}}\left(s, x_{0}(s)\right) d s \\
\beta_{i}(t)=\int_{t_{0 i_{1}}}^{t}\left[f_{0}\left(s, x_{i_{1}}(s), u_{i_{1}}(s)\right)-p_{i}(s)\right] d s, \gamma_{i}(t)=\int_{t_{0 i_{1}}}^{t}\left[f_{i_{1}}\left(s, x_{i_{1}}(s)\right)-f_{i_{1}}\left(s, x_{0}(s)\right)\right] d s
\end{gathered}
$$

It is not difficult to see that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|p_{i}(s)\right| \leq m_{K_{i_{1}}}(t), i=1,2, \ldots,\left|\alpha_{i}(t)\right| \leq \sup \left\{\left|\int_{s_{1}}^{s_{2}} f_{i_{1}}\left(t, x_{0}(t)\right) d t\right|: s_{1}, s_{2} \in I_{1}\right\}, \\
\left|\beta_{i}(t)\right| \leq \max _{t \in I_{1}}\left|x_{i_{1}}(t)-x_{0}(t)\right| \int_{I_{1}} L_{K_{11}}(s) d s, \\
\left|\gamma_{i}(t)\right| \leq \max _{t \in I_{1}}\left|x_{i_{1}}(t)-x_{0}(t)\right| \int_{I_{1}} L_{f_{i_{1}}, K_{11}}(s) d s \leq C\left|x_{i_{1}}(t)-x_{0}(t)\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} p_{i}(s)=p(s) \text { weakly on } I_{1}
$$

([20], p.239). Moreover, we have

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{i}(t)=0, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \beta_{i}(t)=0, \lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_{i}(t)=0
$$

(see Lemma 2.1, 4.3 and 4.4). From (4.6) it follows

$$
x_{0}(t)=x_{0}+\int_{t_{00}}^{t} p(s) d s, t \in\left[t_{00}, \theta_{0}\right] .
$$

Obviously,

$$
p_{i}(s) \in P(s)=f_{i_{1}}\left(s, x_{0}(s), U\right), s \in I_{1} .
$$

From Theorem 2.3 follow the inclusion $p(s) \in P(s)$ and existence of such a function $u_{0}(\cdot) \in \Omega$ that

$$
p(s)=f_{0}\left(s, x_{0}(s), u_{0}(s)\right), \text { a.e. on } I_{1} .
$$

Thus,

$$
x_{0}(t)=x_{0}+\int_{t_{00}}^{t} f_{0}\left(s, x_{0}(s), u_{0}(s)\right) d s, t \in\left[t_{00}, \theta_{0}\right] .
$$

In a similar way, taking into account convexity of the set $g_{0}(t, y, V)$, one can prove

$$
y_{0}(t)=Q\left(\theta_{0}, x_{0}\left(\theta_{0}\right)\right)+\int_{\theta_{0}}^{t} g_{0}\left(s, y_{0}(s), v_{0}(s)\right) d s, t \in\left[\theta_{0}, t_{10}\right], v_{0}(\cdot) \in \Delta .
$$

Consequently, the element $w_{0}=\left(t_{00}, \theta_{0}, t_{10}, u_{0}(\cdot), v_{0}(\cdot)\right)$ is admissible (see (4.5)).
Remark 4.1. Theorem 1.4 is proved analogously to Theorem 1.3.
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# THE SOLUTION OF THE STRESS PROBLEM OF THE THEORY OF THERMOELASTICITY WITH MICROTEMPERATURES FOR A CIRCULAR RING 

Tsagareli I., Svanadze M.


#### Abstract

The solution of statics of the stress boundary value problem of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures for the circular ring is presented. The representation of regular solutions for the system of equations of the linear theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures by harmonic, biharmonic and metaharmonic functions is obtained. The solution is obtained by means of absolutely and uniformly convergent series. The question on the uniqueness of the solution of the problem is studied.
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## 1. Basic equations

The basic system of equations of the theory of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures can be written in the form $[1,2]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu \Delta u(x)+(\lambda+\mu) \operatorname{graddiv} u(x)=\operatorname{jgradu}_{3}(x), \\
& k \Delta u_{3}(x)+k_{1} \operatorname{divw}(x)=0,  \tag{1}\\
& k_{6} \Delta w(x)+\left(k_{4}+k_{5}\right) \operatorname{graddivw}(x)-k_{3} \operatorname{gradu} u_{3}(x)-k_{2} w(x)=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\lambda, \mu, \beta, k, k_{1}, k_{2}, k_{3}, k_{4}, k_{5}, k_{6}$ are constitutive coefficients [1]; $u(x)$ is the displacement vector of the point $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) ; u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) ; \quad w=\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ is the microtemperatures vector; $u_{3}$ is temperature measured from the constant absolute temperature $T_{0}$; $\Delta$ is the Laplace operator.

Problem. Find a regular vector $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right),\left(U \in C^{1}(\bar{D}) \cap C^{2}(D), \bar{D}=\right.$ $D \cup S_{0} \cup S_{1}$ ) satisfying in the ring $D$ a system of equations (1) and on the circumferences $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ the boundary conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) u(z)-\beta u_{3}(z) n(z)\right]^{i}=f^{i}(z), \quad\left[k \frac{\partial u_{3}(z)}{\partial n(z)}+k_{1} w(z) n(z)\right]^{i}=f_{3}^{i}(z)}  \tag{2}\\
& {\left[T^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) w(z)\right]^{i}=p^{i}(z), \quad i=0,1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right), \quad p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right), \quad f_{1}, f_{2}, f_{3}$ are the given functions on $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$; $T^{\prime} u$ is the stress vector in the classical theory of elasticity; $T^{\prime \prime} w$ is stress vector for
microtemperatures $[1,2]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{x}, n\right) u(x)=\mu \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial n}+\lambda n(x) \operatorname{div} u(x)+\mu \sum_{i=1}^{2} n_{i}(x) \operatorname{grad} u_{i}(x) \\
& T^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{x}, n\right) w(x)=\left(k_{5}+k_{6}\right) \frac{\partial w(x)}{\partial n}+k_{4} n(x) \operatorname{divw}(x)+k_{5} \sum_{i=1}^{2} n_{i}(x) \operatorname{grad} w_{i}(x) . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The above-formulated problem of thermoelasticity with microtemperatures can be considered as a union of two problems $A$ and $B$, where:

Problem $A$. find in a ring $D$ the solution $u(x)$ of equation (1) $)_{1}$, if on the circumferences $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ there are given the values of the vector $T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) u(z)-\beta u_{3}(z) n(z)$;

Problem $B$. find in the ring $D$ the solutions $u_{3}(x)$ and $w(x)$ of the system of equations $(1)_{2}$ and (1) $)_{3}$, if on the circumferences $S_{0}$ and $S_{1}$ there are given the values of the function $k \frac{\partial u_{3}(z)}{\partial n(z)}+k_{1} w(z) n(z)$ and of the vector $T^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) w(z)$.

Let $\left(u^{\prime}, u_{3}^{\prime}, w^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(u^{\prime \prime}, u_{3}^{\prime \prime}, w^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be two different solutions of any of the problems. Then the differences $u=u^{\prime}-u^{\prime \prime}, \quad u_{3}=u_{3}^{\prime}-u_{3}^{\prime \prime} \quad$ and $w=w^{\prime}-w^{\prime \prime}$ of these solutions, obviously, satisfies the homogeneous system $(1)_{0}$ and zero boundary conditions $(2)_{0}$. For a regular solutions of equation $(1)_{1}$ and equations $(1)_{2}$ and $(1)_{3}$ the Green's formulas [2,3]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{D}\left[E_{1}(u(x), u(x))-\beta u_{3}(x) \operatorname{div} u(x)\right] d x=-\int_{S} u^{0}(y)\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{y}, n\right) u(y)-\beta u_{3}(y) n(y)\right]^{0} d_{y} S_{0} \\
\quad+\int_{S} u^{1}(y)\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{y}, n\right) u(y)-\beta u_{3}(y) n(y)\right]^{1} d_{y} S_{1} \\
\int_{D}\left[T_{0} E_{2}(w(x), w(x))+k\left|\operatorname{grad} u_{3}\right|^{2}+\left(k_{1}+k_{3} T_{0}\right) w g r a d u_{3}+k_{2} T_{0}|w(x)|^{2}\right] d x \\
=-\int_{S} u_{3}^{0}(y)\left[k \partial_{n} u_{3}(y)+k_{1} w(y) n(y)\right]^{0}+T_{0} w^{0}(y)\left[T^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{y}, n\right) w(y)\right]^{0} d_{y} S_{0}  \tag{4}\\
\quad+\int_{S} u_{3}^{1}(y)\left[k \partial_{n} u_{3}(y)+k_{1} w(y) n(y)\right]^{1}+T_{0} w^{1}(y)\left[T^{\prime \prime}\left(\partial_{y}, n\right) w(y)\right]^{1} d_{y} S_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

is valid, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}(u, u) & =(\lambda+\mu)\left(\partial_{1} u_{1}+\partial_{2} u_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu\left(\partial_{1} u_{1}-\partial_{2} u_{2}\right)^{2}+\mu\left(\partial_{2} u_{1}+\partial_{1} u_{2}\right)^{2} \\
E_{2}(w, w) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(2 k_{4}+k_{5}+k_{6}\right)\left(\partial_{1} w_{1}+\partial_{2} w_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(k_{6}+k_{5}\right)\left(\partial_{1} w_{1}-\partial_{2} w_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +\left(k_{6}+k_{5}\right)\left(\partial_{2} w_{1}+\partial_{1} w_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(k_{6}-k_{5}\right)\left(\partial_{1} w 2-\partial_{2} w_{1}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

under the conditions that: $\lambda+\mu, \quad \mu>0$ and, accordingly, $2 k_{4}+k_{5}+k_{6}>0, k_{6} \pm k_{5}>0$ are nonnegative quadratic forms.

Taking into account formula (4) $)_{2}$ under the homogeneous boundary conditions for the problem $B$, we obtain $E_{2}(w, w)=0, \quad$ gradu $=0, \quad w=0 . \quad$ The solution of the above equations has the form: $u_{3}(x)=$ const, $w=0$.

The following uniqueness theorem is valid.

Theorem. The difference of two arbitrary solutions of the BVP (1), (2) is the vector $U=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}, w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$, where $u_{1}(x)=-c_{1} x_{2}+c l x_{1}+q_{1}, u_{2}(x)=-c_{1} x_{1}+c l x_{1}+$ $q_{2}, u_{3}=c, w_{1}=w_{2}=0 ; c, c_{1}, q_{1}, q_{2}$ are arbitrary constants, $l=\frac{\beta}{2(\lambda+\mu)}$.

## 2. Solution of the problem $B$

Taking into account formulas: $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}=n_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{n_{1}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}=n_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}-\frac{n_{2}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}$, we rewrite the representation solutions of the system $\left[(1)_{2},(1)_{3}\right]$ and the boundary conditions of the problem $B$ in the tangent and normal components [3]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{3}(x)=\varphi_{1}(x)+\varphi_{2}(x), \\
w_{n}(x)=a_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi_{1}(x)+a_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi_{2}(x)-a_{3} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{3}(x),  \tag{5}\\
w_{s}(x)=a_{1} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{1}(x)+a_{2} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \varphi_{2}(x)+a_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi_{3}(x), \\
k\left[\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial r}\right]^{i}+k_{1}\left[w_{n}\right]^{i}=f_{3}^{i}(z), \quad k_{7}\left[\frac{\partial w_{n}}{\partial r}\right]^{i}+\frac{k_{4}}{R_{i}}\left[\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial \psi}\right]^{i}=p_{n}^{i}(z),  \tag{6}\\
k_{6}\left[\frac{\partial w_{s}}{\partial r}\right]^{i}+\frac{k_{5}}{R_{i}}\left[\frac{\partial w_{n}}{\partial \psi}\right]^{i}=p_{s}^{i}(z),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $w_{n}=(w \cdot n), w_{s}=(w \cdot s), p_{n}=(p \cdot n), p_{s}=(p \cdot s), n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right), s=\left(-n_{2}, n_{1}\right)$, $\frac{\partial}{\partial n}=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \quad i=0,1 ; \quad \triangle \varphi_{1}=0,\left(\triangle+s_{1}^{2}\right) \varphi_{2}=0,\left(\triangle+s_{2}^{2}\right) \varphi_{3}=0, s_{1}^{2}=-\frac{k k_{2}-k_{1} k_{3}}{k k_{7}}$, $s_{2}^{2}=-\frac{k_{2}}{k_{6}}, a_{1}=-\frac{k_{3}}{k_{2}}, a_{2}=-\frac{k}{k_{1}}, a_{3}=\frac{k_{6}}{k_{7}} ; \quad k_{7}=k_{4}+k_{5}+k_{6} ; \quad k, k_{2}, k_{6}, k_{7}>0 ;$ $w_{n}=(w \cdot n), \quad w_{s}=(w \cdot s), \quad p_{n}=(p \cdot n), \quad p_{s}=(p \cdot s), \quad n=\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right), \quad s=$ $\left(-n_{2}, n_{1}\right) ; \quad x=(r, \psi), \quad r^{2}=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2} . \quad R_{0}$ is radius of the boundary $S_{0} ; R_{1}$ is radius of the boundary $S_{1}$.

The harmonic function $\varphi_{1}$ and metaharmonic functions $\varphi_{2}$ and $\varphi_{3}$ are represented in the form of series in the ring ([4], p.417; [5]):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{1}(x)=X_{10} \ln r+Y_{10}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[r^{m}\left(X_{1 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right)+r^{-m}\left(X_{1 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right)\right] \\
& \varphi_{2}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[I_{m}\left(s_{2} r\right)\left(X_{2 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right)+K_{m}\left(s_{2} r\right)\left(Y_{2 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right)\right]  \tag{7}\\
& \varphi_{3}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left[I_{m}\left(s_{3} r\right)\left(X_{3 m} \cdot s_{m}(\psi)\right)+K_{m}\left(s_{3} r\right)\left(Y_{3 m} \cdot s_{m}(\psi)\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{m}\left(s_{j} r\right)$ and $K_{m}\left(s_{j} r\right)$ are the Bessel's and modified Hankel's functions of an imaginary argument, respectively; $X_{k m}$ and $Y_{k m}$ are the unknown two-component constants vectors, $\nu_{m}(\psi)=(\cos m \psi, \sin m \psi), s_{m}(\psi)=(-\sin m \psi, \cos m \psi), j=2,3 ; k=1,2$.

We substitute (7) into (5) and then the obtained expressions substitute into (6). Passing to the limit, as $r \rightarrow R_{0}$ and $r \rightarrow R_{1}$ for the unknowns $X_{m k}$ and $Y_{m k}$ we obtain
a system of algebraic equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
-a_{1} \frac{1}{R_{i}^{2}} X_{10}+a_{2} s_{2}^{2}\left[I_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right) X_{20}+K_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right) Y_{20}\right]=\frac{A_{10}^{i}}{2 k_{7}}, \\
I_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right) X_{30}+K_{0}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right) Y_{30}=\frac{A_{20}^{i}}{2 k_{6} a_{3} s_{3}}, \\
\frac{1}{R_{i}}\left(1+k_{1} a_{1}\right) X_{10}+s_{2}\left(1+a_{2}\right)\left[I_{0}^{\prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right) X_{20}+K_{0}^{\prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right) Y_{20}\right]=\frac{A_{30}^{i}}{2}, \\
a_{1} m R_{i}^{m-2}\left[k_{7}(m-1)-k_{4} m\right] X_{1 m}+a_{2}\left[k_{7} s_{2}^{2} I_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)-k_{4} \frac{m^{2}}{R_{i}^{2}} I_{m}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)\right] X_{2 m} \\
+k_{7} a_{3} \frac{m}{R_{i}}\left[\frac{1}{R_{i}} I_{m}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)+s_{3} I_{m}^{\prime}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)\right] X_{3 m}+a_{1} m R_{i}^{-(m+2)}\left[k_{7}(m+1)-k_{4} m\right] Y_{1 m} \\
+a_{2}\left[k_{7} s_{2} K_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)-k_{4} \frac{m^{2}}{R_{i}^{2}} K_{m}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)\right] Y_{2 m} \\
+k_{7} a_{3} \frac{m}{R_{i}}\left[\frac{1}{R_{i}} K_{m}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)+s_{3} K_{m}^{\prime}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)\right] Y_{3 m}=A_{1 m}^{i}, \\
a_{1} m R_{i}^{m-2}\left[k_{5} m+k_{6}(m-1)\right] X_{1 m}+a_{2} \frac{m}{R_{i}}\left[-k_{6} \frac{1}{R_{i}} I_{m}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)+s_{2}\left(k_{5}+k_{6}\right) I_{m}^{\prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)\right] X_{2 m} \\
+a_{3}\left[k_{6} s_{3}^{2} I_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)-k_{5} \frac{m^{2}}{R_{i}^{2}} I_{m}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)\right] X_{3 m}-a_{1} m R_{i}^{-(m+2)}\left[k_{6}(m+1)+k_{5} m\right] Y_{1 m} \\
+a_{2} \frac{m}{R_{i}}\left[-k_{6} \frac{1}{R_{i}} K_{m}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)+\left(k_{5}+k_{6}\right) s_{2} K_{m}^{\prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)\right] Y_{2 m} \\
+a_{3}\left[-k_{5} \frac{m^{2}}{R_{i}^{2}} K_{m}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)+k_{6} s_{3}^{2} K_{m}^{\prime \prime}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right)\right] Y_{3 m}=A_{2 m}^{i}, \\
k_{1} m R_{i}^{m-1} X_{1 m}+s_{2} I_{m}^{\prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right)\left(k+k_{1} a_{2}\right) X_{2 m}-k_{1} a_{3} \frac{m}{R_{i}} I_{m}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right) X_{3 m} \\
\quad-k_{1} m R_{i}^{-(m+1)} Y_{1 m}+s_{2}\left(k+k_{1} a_{2}\right) K_{m}^{\prime}\left(s_{2} R_{i}\right) Y_{2 m}-k_{1} a_{3} \frac{m}{R_{i}} K_{m}\left(s_{3} R_{i}\right) Y_{3 m}=A_{3 m}^{i},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $A_{1 m}^{i}, \quad A_{2 m}^{i}$ and $A_{3 m}^{i}$ are the Fourier coefficients of the functions $p_{n}(z), \quad p_{s}(z)$ and $f_{3}(z)$, respectively; $\quad \mathrm{i}=0,1 ; \mathrm{m}=1,2, \ldots$.

## 3. Solution of the problem $A$

The solution of the first equation of the system (1) with the boundary condition (2) is represented by the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=v_{0}(x)+v(x), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{0}$ is a particular solution of equation $(1)_{1}$ :

$$
v_{0}(x)=\frac{\beta}{\lambda+2 \mu} \operatorname{grad}\left[-\frac{1}{s_{1}^{2}} \varphi_{2}(x)+\varphi_{0}(x)\right] ;
$$

$\varphi_{0}$ is a biharmonic function: $\triangle \varphi_{0}=\varphi_{1} ; v(x)=\left(v_{1}(x), v_{2}(x)\right)$ is the solution of the homogeneous equation $\mu \Delta v(x)+(\lambda+\mu) \operatorname{graddivv}(x)=0$ which can be found by means of the formulae [6]

$$
v_{1}(x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}\left[\Phi_{1}(x)+\Phi_{2}(x)\right]-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}} \Phi_{3}(x), \quad v_{2}(x)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}\left[\Phi_{1}(x)+\Phi_{2}(x)\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}} \Phi_{3}(x),
$$

where $\Delta \Phi_{1}(x)=0, \quad \Delta \Delta \Phi_{2}(x)=0, \quad \Delta \Delta \Phi_{3}(x)=0 ;$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{1}(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{r}{R_{1}}\right)^{m}\left(Z_{1 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right)+\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r}\right)^{m}\left(Z_{2 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right)\right]+Z_{10} \ln r \\
& \Phi_{2}(x)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r}{R_{1}}\right)^{m+2}\left(Z_{3 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right) \\
& +\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r}\right)^{m-2}\left(Z_{4 m} \cdot \nu_{m}(\psi)\right)+r \ln r\left(Z_{41} \cdot \nu_{1}(\psi)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{R_{1}}\right)^{2} Z_{20} \\
& \Phi_{3}(x)=-\frac{(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\mu} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{r}{R_{1}}\right)^{m+2}\left(Z_{3 m} \cdot s_{m}(\psi)\right)  \tag{9}\\
& +\frac{\lambda+2 \mu}{\mu} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R_{0}}{r}\right)^{m-2}\left(Z_{4 m} \cdot s_{m}(\psi)\right) \\
& +\frac{(\lambda+2 \mu)}{\mu} r \ln r\left(Z_{11} \cdot s_{1}(\psi)\right)+Z_{40} \ln r+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{R_{1}}\right)^{2} Z_{30},
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{k m}$ are the unknown two-component vectors, $k=1,2,3,4$. Taking into account (8) and relying on the condition $(2)_{I}$, we can write

$$
\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) v(z)\right]^{i}=\Psi^{i}(z), \quad z \in S_{i}, \quad i=0,2
$$

where $\Psi^{i}(z)=f^{i}(z)+\beta u_{3}^{i}(z) n(z)-\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) v_{0}(z)\right]^{i}$ is the known vector, $\Psi^{i}=\left(\Psi_{1}^{i}, \Psi_{2}^{i}\right)$. We rewrite this conditions in the tangent and normal components:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) v(z)\right]_{n}^{i}=\Psi_{n}^{i}(z), \quad\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) v(z)\right]_{s}^{i}=\Psi_{s}^{i}(z) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) v(z)\right]_{n}^{i}=(\lambda+2 \mu) \frac{\partial v_{n}^{i}(z)}{\partial r}+\lambda \frac{1}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial v_{s}^{i}(z)}{\partial \psi}} \\
{\left[T^{\prime}\left(\partial_{z}, n\right) v(z)\right]_{s}^{i}=\mu \frac{\partial v_{s}^{i}(z)}{\partial r}+\mu \frac{1}{R_{i}} \frac{\partial v_{n}^{i}(z)}{\partial \psi}} \\
v_{n}^{i}(z)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\Phi_{1}^{i}(z)+\Phi_{2}^{i}(z)\right)-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi} \Phi_{3}^{i}(z) \\
v_{s}^{i}(z)=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi}\left(\Phi_{1}^{i}(z)+\Phi_{2}^{i}(z)\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\Phi_{3}^{i}(z)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

We substitute (9) into (10). Passing to the limit, as $r \rightarrow R_{0}$ and $r \rightarrow R_{1}$ for the unknowns $Z_{m k}$ we obtain a system of algebraic equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(m) t^{m-2} Z_{1 m}+B(m) Z_{2 m}+C(m) t^{m} Z_{3 m}+E_{1}(m) Z_{4 m}=\eta_{m}^{0} \\
& A(m) Z_{1 m}+B(m) t^{m+2} Z_{2 m}+C(m) Z_{3 m}+E_{2}(m) Z_{4 m}=\eta_{m}^{1} \\
& A(m) t^{m-2} Z_{1 m}+B(m) Z_{2 m}+D(m) t^{m} Z_{3 m}+E_{3}(m) Z_{4 m}=\zeta_{m}^{0} \\
& A(m) Z_{1 m}+B(m) t^{m+2} Z_{2 m}+D(m) Z_{3 m}+E_{4}(m) Z_{4 m}=\zeta_{m}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=\frac{R_{0}}{R_{1}}, \quad e_{1}(m)=2(\lambda+\mu)(m+1), \quad e_{2}(m)=2(\lambda+\mu)(m-1), \\
& A(m)=\frac{2 \mu(m-1) m}{R_{1}^{2}}, \quad B(m)=\frac{2 \mu(m+1) m}{R_{0}^{2}}, \quad C(m)=-\frac{e_{1 m}(m-2)}{R_{1}^{2}}, \\
& D(m)=-\frac{e_{1}(m) m}{R_{1}^{2}}, \quad E_{1}(1)=\frac{2(2 \lambda+3 \mu)}{R_{0}}, \quad E_{1}(m)=-\frac{e_{2}(m)(m+2)}{R_{0}^{2}}, \\
& E_{2}(1)=\frac{2(2 \lambda+3 \mu)}{R_{1}}, \quad E_{2}(m)=-\frac{e_{2}(m)(m+2)}{\mu R_{0}} t^{m}, \quad E_{3}(1)=\frac{2 \mu}{R_{0}}, \quad E_{3}(m)=\frac{e_{2}(m) m}{R_{0}^{2}}, \\
& E_{4}(1)=\frac{2 \mu}{R_{1}} \ln R_{1}, \quad E_{4}(m)=\frac{e_{2}(m) t^{m}}{\mu R_{0}}, \quad m=2,3, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

If the principal vector and principal moment of external stresses is equal to zero, then we obtain

$$
R_{1}^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Psi_{s}^{1}(\theta) d \theta-R_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Psi_{s}^{0}(\theta) d \theta=0
$$

From here when $m=0$, we get: $R_{1}^{2} \zeta_{0}^{1}=R_{0}^{2} \zeta_{0}^{0}$. When $m=0$ for the unknowns $Z_{10}, Z_{20}$ and $Z_{40}$ we obtain the system

$$
-\frac{2 \mu}{R_{i}^{2}} Z_{10}+\frac{2(\lambda+\mu)}{R_{1}^{2}} Z_{20}=\frac{\zeta_{0}^{i}}{2}, \quad-\frac{2 \mu}{R_{0}^{2}} Z_{40}=\frac{\zeta_{0}^{0}}{2},
$$

$Z_{30}$ is an arbitrary constant, $i=0,1$.
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